

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Office of the Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing

[Docket No. N-95-3874; FR-3849-N-01]

NOFA for the Rental Voucher Program and Rental Certificate Program

AGENCY: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing, HUD.

ACTION: Notice of fund availability (NOFA) for FY 95 and procedures for allocating funds and approving housing agency (HA) applications.

SUMMARY: The purpose of the rental voucher and the rental certificate programs is to assist eligible families to pay the rent for decent, safe, and sanitary housing. This notice states the FY 95 fund allocations available for award for all subprograms of the rental voucher and rental certificate programs. The Department has decided in the interest of convenience and timeliness to publish all information on each subprogram of the Section 8 rental voucher and certificate programs in one NOFA for FY 95. Please review the table of contents in this NOFA and then refer to the separate sections of this NOFA for specific information on each subprogram. For ease of reference, the term "HUD Office" will be used throughout this NOFA to mean the HUD State Office, HUD Area Office and the HUD Native American Programs Office. If a particular type of HUD Office needs to be identified, e.g., the HUD Native American Programs Office, the appropriate office name will be used.

This notice also:

(1) Invites Public Housing Agencies (PHAs) and Indian Housing Authorities (IHAs), herein referred to as housing agencies (HAs), to submit applications for housing assistance funds;

(2) Provides instructions to HAs governing the submission of applications; and

(3) Describes procedures for rating, ranking, and approving HA applications.

DATES: Refer to Section I.(B) of this NOFA for a summary of the deadline dates for applications for all subprogram applications.

ADDRESSES: See section I.(B) of this NOFA.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Gerald J. Benoit, Director, Operations Branch, Rental Assistance Division, Office of Public and Indian Housing, Room 4220, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street,

S.W., Washington, D.C. 20410-8000, telephone (202) 708-0477. Hearing- or speech-impaired individuals may call HUD's TDD number (202) 708-4594. (These telephone numbers are not toll-free.) Copies of this NOFA will be made available on tape or large print for those with impaired vision that request them. Inquiries requesting clarification of items in this NOFA or requesting application materials should be directed to the Public Housing Director in the HUD Office serving the HA's jurisdiction.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Paperwork Reduction Act Statement

The information collection requirements contained in this notice have been approved by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), under section 3504(h) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 (44 U.S.C. 3501-3520), and have been assigned OMB control number 2577-0169.

Table of Contents

I. NOFA General

- (A) Authority
- (B) Subprogram Funding and Summary of Application Deadline Dates
- (C) Family Self-Sufficiency (FSS) Program Requirement
- (D) Application Submission Requirements—General
- (E) Selection Criteria—General
- (F) Local Government Comments
- (G) Corrections to Deficient Applications—General
- (H) HUD Corrections to Rating and Ranking FY 94 Applications
- (I) Notification of Funds Awarded

II. Fair Share Allocations

- (A) Fair Share Application Deadline Date
- (B) Fair Share Allocation Amounts
- (C) Fair Share Application Submission Requirements
- (D) Fair Share Application Rating Process
- (E) Corrections to Deficient Fair Share Applications
- (F) Fair Share Application Selection Process

III. Family Unification/Foster Care Program

- (A) Family Unification Application Deadline Date
- (B) Purpose and Substantive Description of Family Unification Program
- (C) Family Unification Allocation Amounts
- (D) Family Unification Application Submission Requirements
- (E) Family Unification Application Rating Process
- (F) Corrections to Deficient Family Unification Applications
- (G) Family Unification Application Selection Process

IV. Family Self-Sufficiency (FSS) Service Coordinators

- (A) FSS Service Coordinators Application Deadline Date
- (B) Purpose and Substantive Description of Funding for FSS Service Coordinators
- (C) FSS Service Coordinators Allocation Amounts

- (D) FSS Service Coordinators Application Submission Requirements
- (E) Corrections to Deficient FSS Service Coordinators Applications
- (F) Application Selection Process for FSS Service Coordinators

V. Section 8 Counseling

- (A) Application Deadline Date for Section 8 Counseling
- (B) Purpose and Substantive Description of Section 8 Counseling
- (C) Allocation Amounts for Section 8 Counseling
- (D) Application Submission Requirements for Section 8 Counseling
- (E) Corrections to Deficient Applications for Section 8 Counseling
- (F) Application Selection Process for Section 8 Counseling

VI. NOFA for Mainstream Housing Opportunities for Persons With Disabilities (Mainstream Program)

- (A) Mainstream program Application Deadline Date
- (B) Purpose and Substantive Description or Mainstream Program
- (C) Mainstream Program Allocation Amounts
- (D) Application Submission Requirements for Mainstream Program in Conjunction With Submission of Designated Housing Allocation Plans
- (E) Application Submission Requirements for General Mainstream Program for Persons With Disabilities
- (F) Corrections to Deficient Applications
- (G) Mainstream Program Application Selection Process

VII. Subprogram for Persons With HIV/AIDS

- (A) Application Deadline Date for Persons With HIV/AIDS Subprogram
- (B) Purpose and Substantive Description of Subprogram for Persons With HIV/AIDS
- (C) Allocation Amounts for Persons With HIV/AIDS
- (D) Application Submission Requirements for Persons With HIV/AIDS Subprogram
- (E) Corrections to Deficient Applications for Persons With HIV/AIDS Subprogram
- (F) Application Selection Process for Persons With HIV/AIDS Subprogram

VIII. Subprogram for Homeless Families

- (A) Application Deadline Date for Homeless Families Subprogram
- (B) Purpose and Substantive Description of Homeless Families Subprogram
- (C) Allocation Amounts for Homeless Families Subprogram
- (D) Application Submission Requirements for Homeless Families Subprogram
- (E) Corrections to Deficient Applications for Homeless Families Subprogram
- (F) Application Selection Process for Homeless Families Subprogram

IX. Other Allocations

- (A) Relocation, Demolition and Disposition (HOPE I, II, Section 5(h), Section 18, HOPE VI and "OPT-OUTS")
- (B) Rental Voucher and Rental Certificate Renewals
- (C) Section 23 Conversions
- (D) Section 8 Amendments
- (E) Housing Agency Portability Fees
- (F) Headquarters Reserve
- (G) Property Disposition
- (H) FY 94 NOFA for Homeless Person With Disabilities

- (I) FY 94 NOFA for Homeless Veterans With Severe Psychiatric or Substance Abuse Disorders
- (J) FY 94 NOFA for Family Unification
- (K) FY 94 NOFA for FSS Service Coordinators
- X. Other Matters
 - (A) Environmental Impact
 - (B) Federalism Impact
 - (C) Impact on the Family
 - (D) Section 102 of the HUD Reform Act: Documentation and Public Access Requirements
 - (E) Section 103 of the HUD Reform Act
 - (F) Prohibition Against Lobbying Activities
 - (G) Section 112 of the HUD Reform Act

I. NOFA General

(A) Authority

The regulations governing the rental certificate and the rental voucher programs are published at 24 CFR parts 882 and 887, respectively, and 24 CFR Part 982. The regulations for allocating housing assistance budget authority under section 213(d) of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974 are published at 24 CFR part 791, subpart D.

(B) Subprogram Funding and Summary of Application Deadline Dates

The application deadline for each subprogram under this NOFA is 3:00 p.m., local time. The local HUD Office is the official place of receipt for all applications on the date shown below except for applications for Section 8 Counseling (see Section V. of this NOFA). The local HUD Native American Programs Office is the place of official receipt for IHA applications except for applications for Section 8 Counseling (see Section V. of this NOFA). An IHA also must submit, at the same time, a copy of its application to the local HUD State or Area Office that has jurisdiction over the portion of the State in which the IHA is located. Local HUD Offices are responsible for notifying their HAs of the exact address and room number where applications are to be submitted.

Subprogram area	Number of units (approximate)	Deadline dates
Fair Share Allocations.	35,000	May 2, 1995.
Mainstream Housing.	2,500	June 1, 1995.
Homeless Families (Non-competitive Process).	12,000	Do.
Persons with AIDS (Non-competitive Process).	3,000	Do.

Subprogram area	Number of units (approximate)	Deadline dates
Section 8 Counseling.	N/A	May 2, 1995.
FSS Service Coordinators.	N/A	May 17, 1995.
Family Unification.	2,000	Do.

The above-stated application deadlines are firm as to date and hour. In the interest of fairness to all competing HAs, HUD will treat as ineligible for consideration any application that is not received before the application deadline. Applicants should take this practice into account and make early submission of their materials to avoid any risk of loss of eligibility brought about by unanticipated delays or other delivery-related problems. HUD will not accept, at any time during the NOFA competition, application materials sent via facsimile (FAX) transmission.

Other allocations of Section 8 funds, including the FY 94 funding and those allocations without specific application deadlines, are listed in Section IX. of the NOFA.

(C) Family Self-Sufficiency (FSS) Program Requirement

Unless specifically exempted by HUD, all rental voucher or rental certificate funding reserved in FY 95 (except funding for renewals or amendments) will be used to establish the minimum size of an HA's FSS program.

(D) Application Submission Requirements—General

(1) General

The provisions of this section I apply to all subprograms covered by this NOFA. Applications must meet the requirements of this section I as well as the requirements for each subprogram as shown in the pertinent section of this NOFA for the subprogram. Refer to the subprogram section for the specific application submission requirements.

Except as provided for Indian Housing Authorities or unless otherwise specified for a subprogram, only an original application and one copy should be submitted. It is not necessary to submit additional copies of the application.

(2) Application Procedures for HUD-Designated Housing Agencies with Major Program Findings

HUD will establish a pass or fail threshold for all HAs and those HAs that fail the threshold will not be eligible to apply without a contract

administrator. Some housing agencies currently administering the Section 8 rental voucher and certificate programs have, at the time of publication of this NOFA, major program management findings that are open and unresolved or other significant program compliance problems (e.g., HA has not implemented mandatory FSS program). HUD will not accept applications for additional funding from these HAs as contract administrators if, on the application deadline date, the findings are not closed to HUD's satisfaction. If these HAs want to apply for any of the subprograms listed in this NOFA, the HA must submit an application that designates another housing agency, non-profit agency, or contractor, that is acceptable to HUD and includes an agreement with the other housing agency or contractor to administer the new funding increment on behalf of the HA. The Office of Public Housing in the local HUD Office will notify, immediately after the publication of this NOFA, those HAs that are not eligible to apply. Applications submitted by these HAs without an agreement from another housing agency or contractor, approved by HUD, to serve as contract administrator will be rejected. Other agencies may be notified by HUD at other times as HUD deems appropriate.

(3) Forms

Application forms may be obtained from the local HUD Office. Applications for all of the subprograms must include, unless otherwise specifically excepted:

- (a) Form HUD-52515.
- An Application for Existing Housing, Form HUD-52515, must be completed in accordance with the rental voucher and certificate program regulations. An application for rental vouchers or certificates must include the information in Section H, Average Monthly Tenant Payment, of Form HUD-52515 in order for HUD to calculate the amount of Section 8 budget authority necessary to fund the requested number of units. HAs may obtain a copy of Form HUD-52515 from the local HUD Office. (Not applicable to subprograms for Family Self-Sufficiency Coordinators or Section 8 Counseling.)
- (b) Certification Regarding Drug-Free Workplace.

The Drug-Free Workplace Act of 1988 requires grantees of Federal Agencies to certify that they will provide a drug-free workplace. Thus, each HA must certify (even though it has done so previously) that it will comply with the drug-free workplace requirements in accordance with CFR part 24, subpart F. HAs may obtain a copy of this form from the local HUD Office.

(c) Certification Regarding Lobbying. Section 319 of the Department of the Interior Appropriations Act, Public Law 101-121, approved October 23, 1989, (31 U.S.C. 1352) (the "Byrd Amendment") generally prohibits recipients of Federal contracts, grants and loans from using appropriated funds for lobbying the Executive or Legislative Branches of the Federal Governments in connection with a specific contract, grant or loan.

The Department's regulations on these restrictions on lobbying are codified at 24 CFR part 87. To comply with 24 CFR 87.110, any HA submitting an application under this announcement for more than \$100,000 of budget authority must submit a certification and, if applicable, a Disclosure of Lobbying Activities (SF-LLL). IHAs established by an Indian tribe as a result of the exercise of the tribe's sovereign power are excluded from coverage of the Byrd Amendment, but IHAs established under State law are not excluded from the statute's coverage. HAs may obtain a copy of the certification and the Form SF-LLL from the local HUD Office.

(d) Application Requirements for Subprograms.

HAs must also comply with the additional application requirements for each subprogram identified in the section of the NOFA for the specific subprogram.

(e) HA Eligibility.

All HAs that meet the eligibility requirements for the various subprograms, other than those HAs notified under the provisions of paragraph I.(D)(2) of this NOFA and those with certain civil rights or program violations or deficiencies as specified in section I.(G)(2), are eligible to apply for funding.

(E) Selection Criteria—General

Each subprogram section of this NOFA has specific selection criteria to evaluate the applications submitted. Refer to the specific subprogram section in this NOFA for the selection criteria.

(F) Local Government Comments

Section 213 of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974 requires that HUD independently determine that there is a need for the housing assistance requested in applications, and solicit and consider comments relevant to this determination from the chief executive officer of the unit of general local government. The HUD Office will obtain Section 213 comments from the unit of general local government in accordance with 24 CFR part 791, subpart C, Applications for Housing Assistance in Areas Without

Housing Assistance Plans. Comments submitted by the unit of general local government must be considered before an application can be approved. The Section 213 requirements do not apply to applications for the FSS Service Coordinators and Section 8 Counseling subprograms.

For purposes of expediting the application process, the HA should encourage the chief executive officer of the unit of general local government to submit a letter with the HA application commenting on the HA application in accordance with Section 213. Since HUD cannot approve an application until the 30-day comment period is closed, the Section 213 letter should not only comment on the application, but also state that HUD may consider the letter to be the final comments and that no additional comments will be forthcoming from the unit of general local government.

(G) Corrections to Deficient Applications—General

(1) Acceptable Applications—General

To be eligible for processing, an application must be received by the appropriate HUD Office no later than the date and time specified in Section I.(B) of this NOFA. The HUD Office will initially screen all applications and notify HAs of technical deficiencies by letter.

If an application has technical deficiencies, the HA will have 14 calendar days from the date of the issuance of the HUD notification letter to submit the missing or corrected information to the HUD Office. Curable technical deficiencies relate only to items that do not improve the substantive quality of the application relative to the rating factors. The 14 calendar day technical correction period does not apply to NOFAs for Section 8 Counseling, Mainstream Housing Opportunities, Persons with HIV/AIDS and Homeless Families.

All HAs must submit corrections within 14 calendar days from the date of the HUD letter notifying the applicant of any such deficiency. Information received after 3 p.m. local time (i.e., the time in the appropriate HUD Office), of the fourteenth calendar day of the correction period will not be accepted and the application will be rejected as incomplete.

(2) Unacceptable Applications—General

(a) After the 14-calendar day technical deficiency correction period, the HUD Office will disapprove HA applications that it determines are not acceptable for processing. The HUD Office notification

of rejection letter must state the basis for the decision.

(b) Applications that fall into any of the following categories will not be processed:

(i) There is a pending civil rights suit against the HA instituted by the Department of Justice or there is a pending administrative action for civil rights violations instituted by HUD (including a charge of discrimination under the Fair Housing Act).

(ii) There has been an adjudication of a civil rights violation in a civil action brought against the HA by a private individual, unless the HA is operating in compliance with a court order, or implementing a HUD approved resident selection and assignment plan or compliance agreement designed to correct the areas of noncompliance.

(iii) There are outstanding findings of noncompliance with civil rights statutes, Executive Orders, or regulations, as a result of formal administrative proceedings, or the Secretary has issued a charge against the applicant under the Fair Housing Act, unless the applicant is operating under a conciliation or compliance agreement designed to correct the areas of non-compliance.

(iv) HUD has denied application processing under Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, the Attorney General's Guidelines (28 CFR 50.3), and the HUD Title VI regulations (24 CFR 1.8) and procedures (HUD Handbook 8040.1), or under section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 and HUD regulations (24 CFR 8.57).

(v) The HA has serious unaddressed, outstanding Inspector General audit findings, Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity monitoring review findings, or HUD Office management review findings for one or more of its Rental Voucher, Rental Certificate, or Moderate Rehabilitation Programs, or, in the case of an HA that is not currently administering a Rental Voucher, Rental Certificate, or Moderate Rehabilitation Program, for its Public Housing Program or Indian Housing Program. The only exception to this category is if the HA has been identified under the policy established in section I.(D)(2) of this NOFA and the HA makes application with a designated contract administrator.

(vi) The HA is involved in litigation and HUD determines that the litigation may seriously impede the ability of the HA to administer an additional increment of rental vouchers or rental certificates.

(vii) An HA application that does not comply with the requirements of 24 CFR 882.204(a) or 887.55(b) and this notice,

including the drug-free workplace certification and the anti-lobbying certification/disclosure requirements, after the expiration of the 14-calendar day technical deficiency correction period will be rejected from processing.

(viii) An HA application submitted after the deadline date for the subprogram of rental vouchers or certificates will be rejected from processing.

(ix) An HA must have achieved 90 percent lease-up of units in its HUD-approved budget for the HA fiscal year prior to application for funding in each of its rental voucher and certificate programs. Applications from HAs that have failed to achieve the 90 percent lease-up will be rejected from processing.

(H) HUD Corrections to Rating and Ranking FY 94 Applications

HUD is aware that a few HA applications submitted under the FY 94 NOFAs were not funded due to HUD field staff incorrectly rating and ranking some HAs' applications. Although the number of errors were limited, HUD believes that the errors must be corrected; therefore, HUD Offices that failed to fund certain HA applications for FY 1994 funding due to these errors by the HUD Office may correct those errors as follows:

(1) The HUD Office will reconstruct the FY 94 ranking process to include the application(s) missing from the original ranking process due to the error; and

(2) The HUD Office will determine the number of units and Section 8 budget authority that would have been awarded to the application(s) subject to the FY 94 funds available at the time and the funding selection process utilized in the FY 94 competition.

The HUD Office will correct the error by funding the FY 94 applications from the FY 95 fair share allocation for the same allocation area prior to the FY 95 competition. The funds for the allocation area remaining after correcting the FY 94 ranking error will be available for the FY 95 competition. The correction of the FY 94 error for an HA will not affect the HA's ability to compete for the remaining FY 95 funds and the FY 95 application will be considered as though no error had been made.

(I) Notification of Funds Awarded

(1) After the HUD Offices have reviewed, rated, and ranked applications, and the HUD Offices have approved the applications, each HUD Office must submit to Headquarters a list of all approved applications for each subprogram in this NOFA. The

application approval list is due in Headquarters (ATTENTION: Rental Assistance Division, Office of Public and Indian Housing) on the tenth working day following the date set by Headquarters for completion of application ranking and selections.

(2) The HUD Offices must provide the following information for each application approved:

(a) The name and address of the HA;

(b) The project number, and the number of rental vouchers and the number of rental certificates, as applicable, approved for the HA; and

(c) The amount of contract authority and budget authority, stated separately for rental vouchers and rental certificates.

The Field Offices of Native American Programs (FONAPs) also must send to Headquarters Office of Native American Programs a list of all approved IHA applications for each subprogram in this NOFA.

II. Fair Share Allocations

(A) Fair Share Application Deadline Date

The deadline date for applications for the Fair Share allocation is listed in Section I.(B) of the NOFA.

(B) Fair Share Allocation Amounts

(1) Housing Needs Formula

In FY 95, approximately \$1.2 billion of budget authority for the rental voucher and certificate programs is available for fair share formula allocation. Of this amount, approximately \$600 million is for rental vouchers and approximately \$600 million is for rental certificates. This budget authority is being allocated to each HUD Office under this NOFA, using the housing needs factors established in accordance with 24 CFR 791.402. In addition, approximately \$151 million is retained in a Headquarters Reserve that will not be allocated by formula.

(2) Metropolitan/Non-Metropolitan Mix

Separate housing needs factors were developed for the metropolitan and non-metropolitan allocation areas within each HUD Office jurisdiction. On a nationwide basis, approximately 90 percent of the FY 1995 "fair share" budget authority for the Rental Voucher Program and Rental Certificate Program is designated for allocation to metropolitan areas. The metropolitan housing needs factors were applied to the housing assistance budget authority available for metropolitan areas and the non-metropolitan housing needs factors were applied to the housing assistance

budget authority available for non-metropolitan areas.

The allocation areas were established by the HUD State and Area Offices to ensure sufficient competition among HAs (including State and regional or multi-county HAs) operating housing programs within the HUD-established allocation areas.

(3) Program Type

This notice announces the fair share allocation of housing assistance budget authority (See Attachment 1) for the Rental Voucher Program and for the Rental Certificate Program to each allocation area, based on the housing needs factors. The allocation of housing assistance budget authority to each allocation area, however, is the total for both programs. The allocations have been structured to give HUD Offices flexibility in approving HA applications for funding of rental vouchers or rental certificates. This Notice also provides an estimate of the total number of rental vouchers and rental certificates that could be funded from the housing assistance available in the allocation area based on the national average cost of rental assistance for a two-bedroom unit. The actual number of units assisted within each HUD Office will vary from the estimates prepared by Headquarters for each HUD Office since the actual costs of rental assistance for each HA vary from the average.

(4) Reimbursement for Portability Units

The Department has decided that it is appropriate to use up to 50 percent of the fair share allocation for each allocation area as reimbursement to HAs for the costs associated with families that have moved under the portability procedures of the programs. Each HA must designate, in an attachment to Form HUD-52515, the number of units that it is requesting as reimbursement for portability billings or absorptions. A receiving HA that currently bills an initial HA for the cost of rental assistance for a family and receives funding under this NOFA for reimbursement of the rental assistance must discontinue billing the initial HA upon execution of the amendment to the annual contributions contract (ACC). The initial HA will then be able to reissue the rental assistance to another family on the initial HA's waiting list.

Attachment 2 to this NOFA provides a suggested format for the receiving HA to list the number of units and to certify to the number of families assisted under the portability provisions. In order to be eligible for reimbursement for portability, the HA must have billed, during the most recent month prior to

the HA application to HUD for reimbursement, another HA for the cost of the families' rental assistance, including administrative fees, or have absorbed the families into its own program at any time during the last HA fiscal year. A receiving HA may apply for the number of rental vouchers or certificates for which the HA billed another HA during the most recent month prior to application or the number of rental vouchers or certificates for families absorbed at any time during the HA fiscal year.

HUD will, for each allocation area, fund HAs with the highest ratio of rental vouchers or certificates billed and families absorbed in the HA's total rental voucher and certificate program. HUD will divide the total number of rental vouchers and certificates billed and families absorbed by the total number of rental vouchers and certificates on the most recent HUD-approved budget in an HA's program. The resulting percentage (rounded to four decimal places) will be used to rank HAs for funding. Receiving HAs will be ranked in descending order based on the percentage of portable rental vouchers and certificates. When funds are not available to fund the last ranked HAs with the same percentage, the HUD Office will distribute the balance of the funds evenly to the HAs with the same percentage.

(5) Potential Additional Funding

If additional rental voucher or rental certificate funding becomes available for fair share use during FY 95, the Department plans to distribute any additional funding to HUD Offices using the same percentage distribution as reflected in Attachment 1 to this NOFA. Any additional funding will be used under the competitive requirements of this NOFA to fund HA applications which were approvable but not funded, or approved and funded at less than 100 percent of the requested amount.

(C) Fair Share Application Submission Requirements

(1) All the items in Section I.(D), Application Submission Requirements—General, of this NOFA must be included in the application submitted to the HUD Office.

(2) The application should include a narrative description of how the application meets, or will meet, the application selection criteria. Failure to submit a narrative description is not cause for application rejection; however, the HUD Office can only rate and rank the application based on information the HUD Office has on-hand.

(3) Attachment 1 to this notice lists the HUD Offices and an estimate of the number of rental vouchers and certificates and budget authority available for each allocation area within the HUD State or Area Office jurisdiction. HAs should limit their applications for the "fair share" program to a reasonable number of rental vouchers and rental certificates, based on the capacity of the HA to lease-up within 12 months of ACC execution. The number of rental vouchers or certificates on the HA application, excluding those designated as portability reimbursements, may not exceed the lesser of: (a) ten percent (10%) of the total rental vouchers and rental certificates on the most recent HUD-approved budget for the HA; or (b) 50 percent of the number of units available for the allocation area.

(4) Each HA that intends to apply for rental vouchers or certificates as reimbursement for families that exercised their rights to portability must attach the portability certification (See Attachment 2 to this NOFA) to its fair share application (Form HUD-52515). Otherwise, the HA application will be considered only for fair share funding. HAs must provide the following portability information to be considered for this special funding:

(i) List the number of units by bedroom size for all rental vouchers and certificates for which the receiving HA billed another HA and for all families that an HA absorbed into its program.

(ii) List the number of rental vouchers and certificates by bedroom size that the HA is requesting under the fair share funding.

(iii) Provide the certification as to the number of rental vouchers or certificates for which the receiving HA is billing under portability or the number of families it has absorbed.

(5) HAs may submit only one application (Form HUD-52515) for an allocation area and must submit a separate application for each allocation area. The total number of rental vouchers or certificates for which an HA applies may not exceed the lesser of ten percent of its program size or 50 percent of the rental vouchers and certificates available for the allocation area.

(6) The HUD Office will reduce the number of rental vouchers and certificates requested in any application that exceeds the established application limit to the lesser of ten percent of the total rental vouchers and rental certificates on the latest HUD-approved budget or 50 percent of the units available for the allocation area.

(D) Fair Share Application Rating Process

The HUD Office must use the Selection Criteria shown below for the rating of applications submitted in response to this NOFA. The maximum score under the selection criteria for fair share funding is 120.

(1) Selection Criterion 1: Unmet Housing Needs (50 points)

(a) Description: This criterion assesses the unmet housing need in the primary area specified in the HA's application compared to the unmet housing need for the allocation area. Unmet housing need is defined as the number of very low-income renter households with housing problems based on 1990 Census, minus the number of Federally-assisted housing units provided since the 1990 Census.

In awarding points under this criterion, HUD will, to the extent practicable, consider all units provided since the 1990 Census under the Section 8 Rental Voucher and Certificate programs, any other Section 8 programs, the Public and Indian Housing programs, the Section 202 program, and the Farmers Home Administrations's Section 515 Rural Rental Housing program.

(b) Rating and Assessment: The number of points assigned is based on the percentage of the allocation area's unmet housing need that is within the HA's primary area. State or Regional Housing Agencies will receive points based on the areas they intend to serve with this allocation, e.g., the entire allocation area or the localities within the allocation area specified in the application. The HUD Office will assign one of the following point totals:

- 50 points. If the HA's percentage of unmet housing need is greater than 50 percent of the allocation area's unmet need.
- 45 points. If the HA's percentage of unmet housing need is equal to or less than 50 percent but greater than 40 percent of the allocation area's unmet need.
- 40 points. If the HA's percentage of unmet housing need is equal to or less than 40 percent but greater than 30 percent of the allocation area's unmet need.
- 35 points. If the HA's percentage of unmet housing need is equal to or less than 30 percent but greater than 20 percent of the allocation area's unmet need.
- 30 points. If the HA's percentage of unmet housing need is equal to or less than 20 percent but greater than 10 percent of the allocation area's unmet need.

- 25 points. If the HA's percentage of unmet housing need is equal to or less than 10 percent but greater than 5 of the allocation area's unmet need.

- 20 points. If the HA's percentage of unmet housing is equal to or less than 5 percent (but greater than zero) of the allocation area's unmet need.

- 0 points. If the HA has no unmet housing need (zero percent). The HUD Office will not consider for funding any HA application receiving zero (0) points.

In accordance with Notice PIH 91-45, the HUD Office will notify the Farmers Home Administration (FmHA) of applications it receives and ask that FmHA provide advisory comments concerning the market for additional assisted housing or the possible impact the proposed units may have on FmHA projects. Applications for which FmHA has provided comments expressing concerns about market need or the continued stability of existing FmHA projects, with which HUD agrees, will receive zero points for this criterion.

(2) Selection Criterion 2: Efforts of HA to Provide Area-Wide Housing Opportunities for Families (60 points)

(a) Description: Many HAs have undertaken voluntary efforts to provide area-wide housing opportunities for families. The efforts described in response to this selection criterion must be beyond those required by federal law or regulation such as the portability provisions of the Section 8 rental voucher and certificate programs. HAs in metropolitan and non-metropolitan areas are eligible for points under this criterion. The HUD Office will assign points to HAs that have established cooperative agreements with other HAs or created a consortium of HAs in order to facilitate the transfer of families and their rental assistance between HA jurisdictions. In addition, the HUD Office will assign points to HAs that have established relationships with non-profit groups to provide families with additional counseling, or have directly provided counseling, to increase the likelihood of a successful move by the families to areas that do not have large concentrations of poverty.

(b) Rating and Assessment: The HUD Office will assign point values for any of the following assessments for which the HA qualifies and add the points for all the assessments (maximum of 60 points) to determine the total points for this Selection Criterion:

- 10 points—Assign 10 points if the HA documents that it participates in an area-wide rental voucher and certificate exchange program where all HAs absorb portable Section 8 families.

- 10 Points—Assign 10 points if the HA certifies that its administrative plan does not include a "residency preference" for selection of families to participate in its rental voucher and certificate programs or the HA certifies that it will eliminate immediately any "residency preference" currently in its administrative plan.

- 10 Points—Assign 10 points if the HA documents that it has established a contractual relationship with a non-profit agency or the local governmental entity to provide housing counseling for families that want to move to low-poverty or non-minority areas. The five HAs approved for the FY 93 Moving to Opportunity (MTO) for Fair Housing Demonstration and any other HAs that receive counseling funds from HUD in settlement of litigation involving desegregation may qualify for points under this assessment, but these HAs must identify all activities undertaken, other than those funded and required under the MTO Demonstration or the court-ordered plans, to expand housing opportunities.

- 10 Points—Assign 10 points if the HA documents that it requested from HUD, and HUD approved, the authority to utilize exceptions to the fair market rent limitations as allowed under 24 CFR 882.106(a)(4) to allow families to select units in low-poverty or non-minority areas.

- 10 Points—Assign 10 points if the HA documents that it participates with other HAs in using a metropolitan wide or combined waiting list for selecting participants in the program.

- 10 Points—Assign 10 points if the HA documents that it has implemented other initiatives that have resulted in expanding housing opportunities in areas that do not have undue concentrations of poverty or minority families.

(3) Selection Criterion 3: Local Initiatives (10 points)

(a) Description: The application must describe the extent to which the HA demonstrates locally initiated efforts in support of its Rental Voucher and Rental Certificate Program or comparable tenant-based rental assistance programs. Evaluation of a locality's contribution is measured competitively by the extent to which a locality is able to provide services or cash contributions or demonstrate its intention to provide this kind of support in the future, as compared to services or contributions provided by other localities of like program size.

(b) Rating and Assessment: The HUD Office will assign one of two point-values, as follows:

- 10 points: The State or locality provides significant local support (e.g., financial, manpower for inspection services) to its Rental Voucher or Rental Certificate Program.

- 0 points: The State or locality does not provide support to the HA's Rental Voucher or Rental Certificate Program.

(E) Corrections to Deficient Fair Share Applications

(1) Acceptable Applications

See Section I.(G)(1) of this NOFA.

(2) Unacceptable Applications

See Section I.(G)(2) of this NOFA.

(F) Fair Share Application Selection Process

(1) Maximum Funding Allowed

Excluding rental vouchers or certificates for portability reimbursements, the HUD Office may approve maximum funding for an HA under this NOFA that does not exceed the lesser of 10 percent of the HA rental vouchers and rental certificates on the latest HUD-approved budget or 50 percent of the number of rental vouchers and certificates available in the allocation area, whichever is less.

(2) Funding Procedure

The HUD Office must develop a procedure for approving applications (including applications rated by the Native American Programs Office) in rank order until all the housing assistance budget authority is used. The HUD Office may elect to approve applications for 100 percent of the rental vouchers or certificates requested, or the maximum number allowed for each HA under this NOFA (not to exceed the lesser of ten percent of the HA's program size or 50 percent of rental vouchers and certificates available for the allocation area), in all applications that score above a HUD Office-determined funding cut-off. The HUD Office may elect to approve all applications using a lower percentage, but may not approve applications at less than 80 percent of the rental vouchers and certificates requested in all applications that score above the HUD Office-determined funding cut-off.

The HUD Office may elect to divide applications into two categories and to approve a different percentage of requested rental vouchers and certificates for applications in each of the two ranking categories, but not less than 80 percent of the rental vouchers and certificates requested in all applications. The higher percentage of rental vouchers and certificates requested would be approved for all

applications in the higher category and a lower percentage of rental vouchers and certificates requested would be approved for all applications in the lower category. The HUD Office must approve the same percentage (at least 80 percent) of each application within each of the two ranking categories.

Where a HUD Office funds applications at 100 percent or 80 percent of the rental vouchers and certificates requested according to rank order, only to find it has some number of rental vouchers or certificates left but not enough to fund the next fundable application, the next rated application, or applications receiving the same score, can be funded to the extent of the number of rental vouchers or certificates available provided that each application receives the same percentage even though it is less than 80 percent.

If an HA applies for a specific program (i.e., rental vouchers or rental certificates) and funding for the specified program is not available in the metropolitan allocation area or non-metropolitan allocation area, the HUD Office will award the available form of assistance, even though not specifically requested by the applicant.

The HUD State or Area Office must promptly notify the applicable Native American Programs Office for each allocation area as to the status of any applications from IHAs and, if applicable, the amount of budget authority to be made available for IHA applications that were rated high enough to receive funding. The HUD State or Area Office must promptly notify Headquarters (Attention: Budget Division, Office of Public and Indian Housing) of any IHA to be awarded funding so that the funds may be re-assigned to the appropriate Native American Programs Office.

(3) Reallocations of Funds

Each HUD Office must make every reasonable effort to use all available funds. It may be necessary, however, to reallocate funds from one HUD Office to another when the funds are not likely to be used in the HUD Office to which they were initially assigned. In such cases, the following procedures shall be followed:

(a) *Reallocations within the Same State.* If the allocation of funds to a HUD Office cannot be awarded within the office jurisdiction during Fiscal Year 1995, funds will be reallocated to another HUD Office within the same State where they can be used during Fiscal Year 1995.

(b) *Reallocations Between States.* If a HUD Office cannot use funds within the same State, those funds will be re-

allocated to another HUD Office for use in a State where they can be used during Fiscal Year 1995.

(c) *Reallocations Between Metropolitan and Non-metropolitan Areas.* The HUD Office must follow the original fund assignments for metropolitan and non-metropolitan areas. If there are not enough approvable applications for the designated metropolitan or non-metropolitan budget authority, the HUD Office may switch the budget authority between a metropolitan and non-metropolitan area within the same State, provided that an offsetting switch can be made in another State within the HUD Office. If an offsetting switch cannot be made and the metropolitan or non-metropolitan amounts require changes to the fund assignments, the approval of the Budget Division, Office of Management and Policy, Office of Public and Indian Housing, must be obtained before switching budget authority between a metropolitan and a non-metropolitan area.

(d) *Requests for Reallocations.* A request for approval of a reallocation between States or between metropolitan and non-metropolitan areas must explain the reasons that funds cannot be used in the original State, or metropolitan and non-metropolitan area, the amount being withdrawn, the program type, and the metropolitan/non-metropolitan mix, and the amount to be reallocated. These requests must be submitted to Headquarters (ATTENTION: Budget Division, Office of Management and Policy, Office of Public and Indian Housing) for approval.

III. Family Unification/Foster Care Program

(A) Family Unification Application Deadline Date

The Deadline date for the Family Unification Program application is listed in Section I.(B) of the NOFA.

(B) Purpose and Substantive Description of Family Unification Program

(1) Authority

Section 8(x) of the U.S. Housing Act of 1937, 42 U.S.C. 1437f(x). The regulations governing the section 8 rental certificate program are codified at 24 CFR parts 882 and 982. This NOFA announces the availability of Family Unification funding under the VA, HUD-Independent Agencies Appropriations Act of 1995 (Pub.L. 103-327, approved September 28, 1994).

(2) Background

The Family Unification Program is a program under which Section 8 rental assistance is provided to families for whom the lack of adequate housing is a primary factor which would result in:

(a) The imminent placement of the family's child, or children, in out-of-home care, or

(b) The delay in the discharge of the child, or children, to the family from out-of-home care.

The purpose of the Family Unification Program is to promote family unification by providing rental assistance to families for whom the lack of adequate housing is a primary factor in the separation, or the threat of imminent separation, of children from their families.

Rental certificates awarded under the Family Unification Program are to be administered by HAs under HUD's regulations for the Section 8 rental certificate program (24 CFR parts 882 and subpart E of part 982). The HA may issue a rental voucher (24 CFR part 887 and subpart E of part 982) to a family selected for participation in the Family Unification Program if the family requests a rental voucher and the HA has one available.

(3) Eligibility of HAs

HAs currently administering a rental voucher or certificate program in the following sixteen states are eligible to apply except those HAs determined unacceptable under section I.(D)(2) of this NOFA: California, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Texas, and Virginia. Applications were limited to HAs in eleven States by Congress under the VA, HUD-Independent Agencies Appropriations Act of 1992, and the Senate Committee Report for the VA, HUD-Independent Agencies Act of 1993 allowed HUD to expand the program to five additional States. The selection of the five additional States was based on the caseload of families with children in foster care within the States. The information concerning families with children in foster care was provided to HUD by the Administration for Children and Families at the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS).

(4) Program Guidelines

(a) Definitions: For purposes of the Family Unification Demonstration Program:

(i) Family Unification eligible family means a family that:

(A) The public child welfare agency has certified is a family for whom the

lack of adequate housing is a primary factor in the imminent placement of the family's child, or children, in out-of-home care, or in the delay of discharge of a child, or children, to the family from out-of-home care; and

(B) The HA has determined is eligible for Section 8 rental assistance.

(ii) The lack of adequate housing means a situation in which a family:

(A) Is living in substandard housing or homeless, as defined in 24 CFR 982.212 and 982.213, or

(B) Is, or will be, involuntarily displaced from a housing unit because of actual or threatened violence against a family member under the circumstances described in 24 CFR 982.211.

(iii) Public child welfare agency (PCWA) means the public agency that is responsible under applicable State or Tribal law for determining that a child is at imminent risk of placement in out-of-home care or that a child in out-of-home care under the supervision of the public agency may be returned to his or her family.

(b) HA Responsibilities.

HAs must:

(i) Accept families certified by the PCWA as eligible for the Family Unification Program. If the HA has a closed waiting list, it must reopen the waiting list to accept Family Unification applicant families. The HA is not required to review its waiting list for eligible families. The HA upon receipt of the PCWA list of families currently in the PCWA caseload must compare the names with those of families already on the HA's Section 8 waiting list. Any family on the HA's Section 8 waiting list that matches with the PCWA's list must be assisted in order of their position on the waiting list in accordance with HA admission policies;

(ii) Determine if any applicants on its waiting list are living in temporary shelters or on the street, and refer such applicants to the PCWA;

(iii) Determine if families referred by the PCWA are eligible for Section 8 assistance and place eligible families on the Section 8 waiting list;

(iv) Amend the administrative plan in accordance with applicable program regulations and requirements;

(v) Administer the rental assistance in accordance with applicable program regulations and requirements; and

(vi) Assure the quality of the evaluation that HUD intends to conduct on the Family Unification Program, and cooperate with and provide requested data to the HUD office or HUD-approved contractor responsible for program evaluation.

(c) Public Child Welfare Agency (PCWA) Responsibilities. Public child welfare agencies must:

(i) Establish and implement a system to identify Family Unification eligible families within the agency's caseload and to review referrals from the HA;

(ii) Provide written certification to the HA that a family qualifies as a Family Unification eligible family;

(iii) Commit sufficient staff resources to ensure that Family Unification eligible families are identified and certified in a timely manner; and

(iv) Cooperate with the evaluation that HUD intends to conduct on the Family Unification Program, and submit a certification with the HA's application for Family Unification funding that the PCWA will agree to cooperate with and provide requested data to the HUD office or HUD-approved contractor having responsibility for program evaluation.

(d) Section 8 Rental Certificate Assistance.

The Family Unification Program provides assistance under the Section 8 rental assistance programs. Although HUD is providing a special allocation of rental certificates, the HA may use both rental vouchers and certificates to assist families under this program.

HAs must administer this program in accordance with HUD's regulations governing the Section 8 rental certificate and rental voucher programs. The HA may issue a rental voucher to a family selected to participate in the Family Unification Program if the family requests a rental voucher and the HA has one available. If Section 8 assistance for a family under this program is terminated, the rental assistance must be reissued to another Family Unification eligible family during the five-year term of the ACC for the Section 8 rental certificates provided under this program.

(C) Family Unification Allocation Amounts

This NOFA announces the availability of up to \$76 million for the Family Unification Program which will support assistance for about 2,000 families. Each HA may apply for funding for a maximum of 50 units.

The amounts allocated under this NOFA will be awarded under a national competition based on demonstrated need for such assistance and a lottery for selection from all approvable applications. The Family Unification Program is exempt from the fair share allocation requirements of section 213(d) of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, and from 24

CFR part 791, subpart D, the HUD regulation implementing section 213(d).

(D) Family Unification Application Submission Requirements

(1) Letter of Intent and Narrative

All the items in this Section and Section I.(D), Application Submission Requirements—General, must be included in the application submitted to the HUD Office. The application must include an explanation of how the application meets, or will meet, Threshold Criteria 1 through 4 in Section III.(E)(2) below.

The application must also include a letter of intent from the PCWA stating its commitment to provide resources and support for the Family Unification Program. The PCWA letter of intent must explain:

(i) The method used to identify eligible families,

(ii) The process to certify eligible families,

(iii) The PCWA assistance to families to locate suitable housing,

(iv) The staff resources committed to the program, and

(v) PCWA experience with the administration of similar programs including cooperation with an HA.

The PCWA serving the jurisdiction of the HA is responsible for providing the information for Threshold Criterion 4, PCWA Statement of Need for Family Unification Program, to the HA for submission with the HA application. The application must include a statement by the PCWA describing the need for a Family Unification Program. This should include a discussion of the case-load of the PCWA and information about homelessness, family violence resulting in involuntary displacement, number and characteristics of families who are experiencing the placement of children in out-of-home care as a result of inadequate housing, and the PCWA's experience in obtaining housing through HUD assisted housing programs and other sources for families lacking adequate housing. A State-wide Public Child Welfare Agency must provide information on Threshold Criterion 4, PCWA Statement of Need for Family Unification Program, to all HAs that request data; otherwise, HUD will not consider applications from any HAs with the State-wide PCWA as a participant in its program. The HA must state in its cover letter to the application whether it will accept a reduction in the number of rental certificates and the minimum number of rental certificates it will accept since the funding is limited and HUD may only have enough funds to approve a smaller amount than

the number of rental certificates requested.

(2) Evaluation Certifications

The HA and the PCWA in separate certifications must state that the HA and Public Child Welfare Agency agree to cooperate with HUD and provide requested data to the HUD office or HUD-approved contractor delegated the responsibility for the program evaluation. No specific language for this certification is prescribed by HUD.

(E) Family Unification Application Rating Process

(1) General

The HUD Office is responsible for rating the applications, and HUD Headquarters is responsible for selection of applications (including applications rated by the Native American Programs Office) that will receive assistance under the Family Unification Program. The HUD Office will initially screen all applications and determine any technical deficiencies based on the application submission requirements.

Each application submitted in response to the NOFA must receive, in order to be eligible for funding, at least 30 points for Threshold Criterion 1: Unmet Housing Needs; at least 10 points for Threshold Criterion 2: Efforts of HA to Provide Area-Wide Housing Opportunities for Families; and must meet the requirements for Threshold Criterion 3: Coordination between HA and Public Child Welfare Agency, and Threshold Criterion 4: Public Child Welfare Agency Statement of Need for Family Unification Program.

(2) Threshold Criteria

(a) Selection Criterion 1: Unmet Housing Needs (50 points).

(i) Description: This criterion assesses the unmet housing need in the primary area specified in the HA's application compared to the unmet housing need for the allocation area. Unmet housing need is defined as the number of very low-income renter households with housing problems based on 1990 Census, minus the number of Federally-assisted housing units provided since the 1990 Census.

In awarding points under this criterion, HUD will, to the extent practicable, consider all units provided since the 1990 Census under the Section 8 Rental Voucher and Certificate programs, any other Section 8 programs, the Public and Indian Housing programs, the Section 202 program, and the Farmers Home Administration's Section 515 Rural Rental Housing program.

(ii) Rating and Assessment: The number of points assigned is based on the percentage of the allocation area's unmet housing need that is within the HA's primary area. State or Regional Housing Agencies will receive points based on the areas they intend to serve with this allocation, e.g., the entire allocation area or the localities within the allocation area specified in the application. The HUD Office will assign one of the following point totals:

- 50 points. If the HA's percentage of unmet housing need is greater than 50 percent of the allocation area's unmet need.
- 45 points. If the HA's percentage of unmet housing need is equal to or less than 50 percent but greater than 40 percent of the allocation area's unmet need.
- 40 points. If the HA's percentage of unmet housing need is equal to or less than 40 percent but greater than 30 percent of the allocation area's unmet need.
- 35 points. If the HA's percentage of unmet housing need is equal to or less than 30 percent but greater than 20 percent of the allocation area's unmet need.
- 30 points. If the HA's percentage of unmet housing need is equal to or less than 20 percent but greater than 10 percent of the allocation area's unmet need.
- 25 points. If the HA's percentage of unmet housing need is equal to or less than 10 percent but greater than 5 of the allocation area's unmet need.
- 20 points. If the HA's percentage of unmet housing is equal to or less than 5 percent (but greater than zero) of the allocation area's unmet need.
- 0 points. If the HA has no unmet housing need (zero percent). The HUD Office will not consider for funding any HA application receiving zero (0) points.

In accordance with Notice PIH 91-45, the HUD Office will notify the Farmers Home Administration (FmHA) of applications it receives and ask that FmHA provide advisory comments concerning the market for additional assisted housing or the possible impact the proposed units may have on FmHA projects. Applications for which FmHA has provided comments expressing concerns about market need or the continued stability of existing FmHA projects, with which HUD agrees, will receive zero points for this criterion.

(b) Selection Criterion 2: Efforts of HA to Provide Area-Wide Housing Opportunities for Families (60 points).

(i) Description: Many HAs have undertaken voluntary efforts to provide area-wide housing opportunities for

families. The efforts described in response to this selection criterion must be beyond those required by federal law or regulation such as the portability provisions of the Section 8 rental voucher and certificate programs. HAs in metropolitan and non-metropolitan areas are eligible for points under this criterion. The HUD Office will assign points to HAs that have established cooperative agreements with other HAs or created a consortium of HAs in order to facilitate the transfer of families and their rental assistance between HA jurisdictions. In addition, the HUD Office will assign points to HAs that have established relationships with non-profit groups to provide families with additional counseling, or have directly provided counseling, to increase the likelihood of a successful move by the families to areas that do not have large concentrations of poverty.

(ii) Rating and Assessment: The HUD Office will assign point values for any of the following assessments for which the HA qualifies and add the points for all the assessments (maximum of 60 points) to determine the total points for this Selection Criterion:

- 10 points—Assign 10 points if the HA documents that it participates in an area-wide rental voucher and certificate exchange program where all HAs absorb portable Section 8 families.
- 10 points—Assign 10 points if the HA certifies that its administrative plan does not include a "residency preference" for selection of families to participate in its rental voucher and certificate programs or the HA certifies that it will eliminate immediately any "residency preference" currently in its administrative plan.
- 10 points—Assign 10 points if the HA documents that it has established a contractual relationship with a non-profit agency or the local governmental entity to provide housing counseling for families that want to move to low-poverty or non-minority areas. The five HAs approved for the FY 93 Moving to Opportunity (MTO) for Fair Housing Demonstration and any other HAs that receive counseling funds from HUD in settlement of litigation involving desegregation may qualify for points under this assessment, but these HAs must identify all activities undertaken, other than those funded and required under the MTO Demonstration or the court-ordered plans, to expand housing opportunities.
- 10 points—Assign 10 points if the HA documents that it requested from HUD, and HUD approved, the authority to utilize exceptions to the fair market rent limitations as allowed under 24 CFR 882.106(a)(4) to allow families to

select units in low-poverty or non-minority areas.

- 10 points—Assign 10 points if the HA documents that it participates with other HAs in using a metropolitan wide or combined waiting list for selecting participants in the program.

- 10 points—Assign 10 points if the HA documents that it has implemented other initiatives that have resulted in expanding housing opportunities in areas that do not have undue concentrations of poverty or minority families.

(c) Threshold Criterion 3: Coordination Between HA and Public Child Welfare Agency to Identify and Assist Eligible Families.

The application must describe the method that the HA and the public child welfare agency will use to identify and assist Family Unification eligible families. The application must include a letter of intent from the PCWA stating its commitment to provide resources and support for the program. The PCWA letter of intent and other information must be comprehensive and must include an explanation of the method used to identify eligible families, of the PCWA's certification process for determining Family Unification eligible families, of the responsibilities of each agency, of the PCWA assistance provided to families in locating housing units, of the PCWA staff resources committed to the program, of the past PCWA experience administering a similar program, and of the PCWA/HA cooperation in administering a similar program.

(d) Threshold Criterion 4: Public Child Welfare Agency Statement of Need for Family Unification Program.

The application must include a statement by the PCWA describing the need for a program providing assistance to families for whom lack of adequate housing is a primary factor in the placement of the family's children in out-of-home care, or in the delay of discharge of the children to the family from out-of-home care in the area to be served, as evidenced by the caseload of the public child welfare agency. The PCWA must adequately demonstrate that there is a need in the HA's jurisdiction for the Family Unification program which is not being met through existing programs. The narrative must include specific information relevant to the area to be served, about homelessness, family violence resulting in involuntary displacement, number and characteristics of families who are experiencing the placement of children in out-of-home care or the delayed discharge of children from out-of-home care as the result of inadequate housing,

and the PCWA's past experience in obtaining housing through HUD assisted programs and other sources for families lacking adequate housing.

(F) Corrections to Deficient Family Unification Applications

(1) Acceptable Applications

See Section I.(G)(1) of this NOFA.

(2) Unacceptable Applications

See Section I.(G)(2) of this NOFA.

(G) Family Unification Application Selection Process

After the HUD Office has screened HA applications and disapproved any applications unacceptable for further processing (See Section I.(G)(2) of this NOFA), the HUD Office will review and rate all approvable applications, utilizing the Threshold Criteria and the point assignments listed in this NOFA. Each HUD Office will send to HUD Headquarters the following information on each application that passes the Threshold Criteria:

- (1) Name and address of the HA;
- (2) Name and address of the Public Child Welfare Agency;
- (3) State Office, Area Office, or Native American Programs Office contact person and telephone number.
- (4) The number of rental certificates in the HA application and minimum number of rental certificates specified in the HA application and the corresponding budget authority acceptable to the HA; and
- (5) A completed fund reservation worksheet for the number of rental certificates requested in the application.

All Field Offices of Native American Programs also must send to Headquarters Office of Native American Programs the information listed in Section III.(G) (1)–(5) for each IHA application that passes the threshold criteria.

Headquarters will select eligible HAs to be funded based on a lottery. All HAs identified by the HUD Offices as meeting the Threshold Criteria identified in the NOFA will be eligible for the lottery selection process. As HAs are selected, the costs of funding the applications will be counted against the total funds available for the Family Unification program. In order to achieve geographic diversity, HUD Headquarters will limit the number of applications selected for funding under the lottery for any State to ten percent of the budget authority made available under this NOFA.

Applications will be funded in full for the number of rental certificates requested by the HA in accordance with

the NOFA. However, when remaining rental certificate funds are insufficient to fund the last HA application in full, HUD Headquarters may fund that application to the extent of the funding available and the applicant's willingness to accept a reduced number of rental certificates. Applicants that do not wish to have the size of their programs reduced may indicate in their applications that they do not wish to be considered for a reduced award of funds. HUD Headquarters will skip over these applicants if assigning the remaining funding would result in a reduced funding level.

IV. Family Self-Sufficiency (FSS) Service Coordinators

(A) FSS Service Coordinators Applications Deadline Date

The deadline date for the Family Self-Sufficiency (FSS) Service Coordinators subprogram is listed in Section I.(B) of the NOFA.

(B) Purpose and Substantive Description of Funding for FSS Service Coordinators

The FY 1995 HUD Appropriations Act (Pub. L. 103–327 approved September 28, 1994) makes available administrative fees under section 23(h) of the U.S. Housing Act of 1937 for the Section 8 FSS program. Section 23(h) establishes a fee for the costs incurred in administering the Section 8 FSS program and requires the Secretary to revise the fee upon submission by the General Accounting Office (GAO) of a report determining the additional costs to HAs under FSS programs. In April 1992, the GAO issued its report; however, the report indicated that it was premature to make a recommendation for changes in the fee. As a result, the Department determined to make a sufficient fee available under this NOFA, to enable the smaller HAs (i.e., those with programs of less than 1,500 total rental vouchers and certificates) with required FSS programs of at least 25 slots, to hire up to one FSS program coordinator for one year at a reasonable cost, as determined by the HA and HUD, based on salaries for similar positions in the locality.

(1) Eligible Activity

Funds are available under this NOFA to employ or otherwise retain the services of up to one FSS program coordinator for one year. A part-time FSS program coordinator may be retained where appropriate. Under the FSS program, HAs are required to use Section 8 rental assistance together with public and private resources to provide supportive services to enable

participating families to achieve economic independence and self-sufficiency. Effective delivery of supportive services is a critical element in a successful program.

(a) Program Coordinator Role.

HAs administering the FSS program use program coordinating committees (PCCs) to assist them to secure resources for and implement the FSS program. The program coordinating committee is made up of representatives of local government, job training and employment agencies, local welfare agencies, educational institutions, child care providers, nonprofit service providers, and businesses.

An FSS program coordinator works with the PCC, and with local service providers to assure that program participants are linked to the supportive services they need to achieve self-sufficiency. The FSS program coordinator may ensure, through case management, that the services included in participants' contracts of participation are provided on a regular, ongoing and satisfactory basis, and that participants are fulfilling their responsibilities under the contracts.

(b) Staffing Guidelines.

Under normal circumstances, a full-time FSS program coordinator should be able to serve approximately 50 FSS participants, depending on the coordinator's case management functions.

(c) Eligibility of HAs.

All HAs funded under the FY 94 NOFA that wish to obtain funding for another year do not need to re-apply. HUD will automatically fund the FY 94 recipients at 103 percent of the FY 94 amount unless the HA submits a new application or notifies HUD not to fund the HA for an FSS Service Coordinator. All HAs which did not receive FSS coordinator funding in FY 94 and that currently administer a rental voucher and certificate program of less than 1,500 total rental vouchers and certificates and that received FY 1992 FSS incentive award funding, or FY 1993 and later rental voucher or certificate funding (other than renewal funding), and as a result are required to administer an FSS program of at least 25 FSS slots are eligible to apply. HAs with less than 1,500 total rental vouchers and certificates and with FSS programs of fewer than 25 slots may also apply, if they apply jointly with one or more other eligible HAs so that between or among the HAs they administer at least 25 FSS slots. If eligible applicants apply jointly, their combined total program size may exceed 1,500 total rental vouchers and certificates, but the \$40,000 maximum amount that may be

requested still applies. Joint applicants must specify a lead coapplicant which will receive and administer the FSS program coordinator funding. A state or regional (i.e., multi-county jurisdiction) HA that administers a program of more than 1,500 rental vouchers and certificates may apply if it is required to administer an FSS program of fewer than 1,500 FSS slots.

HUD has limited eligibility under this NOFA to HAs with less than 1,500 total Section 8 rental vouchers and certificates and to state and multi-county regional HAs that are required to administer FSS programs of at least 25 but fewer than 1,500 FSS slots, because the \$17.3 million appropriated for FSS program coordinators is insufficient to fund all HAs administering FSS programs. HUD determined that HAs administering large Section 8 programs are more likely than smaller HAs to have access to other resources for FSS program administration. State HAs indicated an interest in FY 94 in submitting applications for funding under the FSS Service Coordinators NOFA regardless of the 600 total program size limitation in FY 94. In response, HUD has decided to allow a state or multi-county regional HA that administers an FSS program in more than one location to submit an application if the state or multi-county regional HA is required to administer an FSS program of at least 25 but fewer than 1,500 FSS slots.

HUD is requiring that applicants under this NOFA administer FSS programs of at least 25 FSS slots (based on FY 1992 FSS incentive award funding or FY 1993 and later rental voucher and certificate funding (other than renewal funding)) to ensure that the limited program coordinator funds are used in a cost-effective manner. The Department expects that FSS programs of less than 25 FSS slots can be managed within HA resources.

(2) Eligible Applicants With HUD Approved Exceptions to Mandatory Minimum Size

If HUD has approved either a full or partial exception to implementing an FSS program of the mandatory minimum size for an eligible applicant with less than 1,500 rental vouchers and certificates, solely because of a lack of funds for reasonable administrative costs, the approval of the exception is hereby automatically rescinded, since funding for an FSS program coordinator is now available under this NOFA.

(C) FSS Service Coordinators Allocation Amounts

For FY 1995, the HUD Appropriations Act made \$17.3 million available for HA administrative fees for Section 8 FSS Service Coordinators. Of this amount, up to \$8.7 million will be provided to those HAs that received FY 94 funds in response to the NOFA published on August 29, 1994. This is the second fiscal year of funding for FSS Service Coordinators. All HAs that received funding for FSS Service Coordinators funding under the FY 94 NOFA will receive 103 percent of the amount awarded under the FY 94 NOFA without submitting a new application in response to this NOFA, unless the HA notifies the HUD Office that it does not want additional funding. Any previously funded HA has the flexibility to submit a new application in response to this NOFA in order to change the funded amount up to the maximums established in this NOFA. Any other HA with less than 1,500 total rental vouchers and certificates may submit an application for initial funding under this subprogram.

An eligible HA may apply for a maximum of \$40,000 to support up to one FSS program coordinator for one year. An eligible state HA or multi-county regional HA may apply for a maximum of \$40,000. HUD may fund applications at less than the requested amount, based on the HUD Office application review.

(D) FSS Service Coordinators Application Submission Requirements

Each application for funding under this NOFA must contain the following items:

(1) Request for FSS Service Coordinator Funds

All applications must contain the following information stated in a letter from the Executive Director of the HA to the Director of the Office of Public Housing in the local HUD Office or to the Administrator of the Native American Programs Office (see sample letter format, Attachment 6A):

(a) The total number of currently enrolled FSS families.

(b) The total number of required FSS slots (based on FY 1992 incentive award funding and FY 1993 and later rental voucher and certificate funding).

(c) The annual salary proposed for the FSS program coordinator, plus any fringe benefits. Do not include costs of training, transportation, clerical support, equipment, supplies, or other administrative costs or overhead. The service coordinator salary should be set as follows:

(i) Determine the salary level, taking into consideration salaries for comparable jobs, modified by the hours worked.

(ii) Set the annual salary, including any fringe benefits that pertain to the job.

(d) Evidence that demonstrates salary comparability with similar positions in the local jurisdiction.

(e) Joint applicants must indicate which HA will be the lead applicant and will receive and administer the FSS program coordinator funding.

(2) Required Certification Form

The HA must submit the Certification Regarding Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity included as Attachment 6B to this NOFA.

(E) Corrections to Deficient FSS Service Coordinators Applications

(1) Acceptable Applications

See Section I.(G)(1) of this NOFA.

(2) Unacceptable Applications

See Section I.(G)(2) of this NOFA.

(F) Application Selection Process for FSS Service Coordinators

The funds available under this subprogram are not being awarded on a competitive basis. The Department anticipates that there may be sufficient funds available under the NOFA to fund all applications that meet the NOFA requirements. Applications will be reviewed by the HUD Office to determine whether or not they are technically adequate based on the NOFA requirements.

Upon completion of the HUD Office review, a list of all technically adequate applications, each applicant's total program size and FSS program size, and the amount approved for each applicant will be forwarded by the HUD Office to the Rental Assistance Division in HUD Headquarters which will then allocate the available funding among approvable applications. All technically adequate applications will be funded to the extent funds are available. If HUD receives applications for funding greater than the amount made available under this NOFA, HUD will fund applications from the smallest HAs first (i.e., those HAs with the smallest combined rental voucher and certificate programs, or, in the case of state and multi-county regional HAs, smallest FSS program size) and will not fund applications from the larger HA applicants. The size of a State or multi-county regional HA's program will be determined based on the number of FSS slots it plans to administer with the funds for the FSS Coordinator.

V. Section 8 Counseling

(A) Application Due Date for Section 8 Counseling

Applications for Section 8 Counseling are to be submitted directly to HUD Headquarters at the following address: Mr. Laurence Pearl, Office of Program Standards and Evaluation, Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity, Room 5226, 451 Seventh Street, SW, Washington, DC 20410. The deadline date for applications for the subprogram for Section 8 Counseling is listed in Section I.(B) of the NOFA.

(B) Purpose and Substantive Description of Section 8 Counseling

(1) General

This NOFA announces the availability of approximately \$150 million for HA administrative fees for Section 8 Counseling to facilitate a wide range of housing options for rental voucher and certificate holders and program participants. HUD has determined that families assisted under the Section 8 program frequently have a lack of knowledge of the rental market and this has limited the housing options of these families. HUD has decided to set-aside a portion of the FY 95 Section 8 funding (See Attachment 5) for administrative fees for housing agencies (HAs) to establish counseling services programs to assist families in expanding housing opportunities for families. The set-aside is designed to provide eligible families with information about a wide range of housing options in neighborhoods throughout a metropolitan area so that the families may make informed decisions about the selection of housing units. This goal will be accomplished by a combination of intensive counseling and outreach to landlords. Each HA funded under this NOFA for Section 8 Counseling must use the counseling funds only for those families eligible under this NOFA and may not counsel families for which the HA is funded from other sources, e.g., funds provided by HUD to assist and to counsel families benefiting from the settlement of litigation or involving desegregation.

(2) Housing Agency/Non-Profit Organization Partnership

The HA identified on Attachment 5 is designated as the lead HA for the metropolitan area for which funds are allocated. The lead HA must form a partnership with other HAs within the metropolitan area that have a high proportion of families living in poverty concentrated census tracts and with a non-profit organization (NPO) in order to undertake the housing counseling

services on a metropolitan-wide basis unless the HAs believe they can perform the housing counseling. The lead HA will submit an application to HUD on behalf of all the HAs participating in the Section 8 Counseling provided by the NPO or in some cases the HAs and, the lead HA will be responsible for budgeting and financial management activities. HUD will allow HAs to undertake the housing counseling services without an NPO only if the HA can demonstrate in its application that the HA has the capability and the experience to perform the required services on a metropolitan-wide basis. HUD will not consider requests for "pre-approval" of HA capability and experience to perform these services under this set-aside. An HA without sufficient capability and experience that submits an application without an NPO must modify its application (i.e., add an NPO) during the 30 calendar day correction period or the HA will not be funded under this set-aside.

(3) Housing Counseling Services

The housing counseling services to be provided must be consistent with current program rules and, at a minimum, must include the services identified below; however, it is not necessary that each of these services be provided to every family:

(i) Outreach to private landlords in low-poverty neighborhoods (i.e., neighborhoods where the concentration of families at or below the poverty is less than 10 percent) throughout the metropolitan area, and counseling and referral services for eligible families consistent with a policy of avoiding unduly concentrating assisted families in a particular neighborhood;

(ii) Review eligible families for credit, housekeeping and criminal backgrounds to ensure suitability for counseling services;

(iii) Conduct home visits and escort families to potential units selected by the families;

(iv) Assist families in negotiating rent incentives and inducements from landlords during housing search;

(v) Coordinate support services and provide counseling on opportunities for educational, child care, medical care and employment after families move to new units;

(vi) Monitor activities for compliance with fair housing laws; and,

(vii) Monitor rents in low poverty neighborhoods and compare with the Section 8 fair market rents (FMRs) every six months to determine the impact of the FMRs on the range of housing opportunities on families.

HUD encourages HAs to undertake additional housing counseling activities that have the potential of expanding housing opportunities for eligible families. HUD will provide funds, in excess of the amount listed in Attachment 5, from the \$10 million set-aside retained for this purpose to lead HAs contingent upon documentation of satisfactory performance based on application and administrative guidance, and review and approval by HUD, that propose innovative housing counseling services. HUD will determine the amount of additional funds based on the proposed services to be provided.

(4) Eligible Families

A family is eligible to receive housing counseling services under this set-aside if the family is a current participant in the rental voucher or certificate programs or if the family has received a rental voucher or certificate from the HA to search for a unit. A family is also eligible if it receives rental assistance under the subprograms of this NOFA for Persons with HIV/AIDS, Mainstream Housing, and Homeless Families.

An HA must provide housing counseling services to eligible families, that are current participants or if the family has received a rental voucher or rental certificate from the HA to search for a unit, in the order set forth below:

- (a) Families that currently receive income from work;
- (b) Families that currently are work-ready, i.e., enrolled in an education or job training program; and then,
- (c) All other eligible families. The other eligible families may be ranked at the HA's discretion to meet the HA's goals for policies established by the HA in its administrative plan.

(5) Program Record Keeping Requirements

In addition to the normal documentation required for the Section 8 program, each HA must maintain separate records for this set-aside as follows:

- (a) the amount of time that staff devote to Section 8 counseling activities;
- (b) a general description of the amount of landlord outreach effort for the set-aside to include the names and addresses of landlords contacted; the types of units under their control or management; whether the landlord has accepted Section 8 previously, and the outcome of the outreach effort;
- (c) the average costs of the housing counseling services per counseled family;

(d) periodic surveys to measure the satisfaction of counseled families (customer satisfaction form to be provided by HUD);

(e) a summary of the racial, ethnic and income composition of families counseled under the set-aside and correlated with the number and location of units shown to each family and the neighborhood in which the family successfully leases a unit;

(f) information on the employment or educational training status of families at the time of counseling and any problems encountered;

(g) a record of all housing referrals to landlords and the results of these referrals; and

(h) periodic surveys to measure the satisfaction of landlords participating in this program for families that received housing counseling services (landlord satisfaction forms to be provided by HUD).

Each HA must maintain its records to show that NPO, or in some cases the HA, counseled only those families eligible for these services.

(C) Allocation Amounts for Section 8 Counseling

The availability of HA administrative fees is based on a formula allocation and is not a competition for funding. Each lead HA must submit an approvable application that identifies all the HAs participating in the Section 8 Counseling program to receive the administrative fee funding identified in Attachment 5. The award of Section 8 Counseling funds is contingent upon documentation of satisfactory performance based on application and administrative guidance, and review and approval by HUD.

Section 8 Counseling funds of \$115 million have been allocated to the metropolitan areas with the highest concentrations of persons living in poverty-concentrated census tracts, i.e., census tracts where 30 percent or more of the persons live below the poverty level according to the 1990 census.

HUD allocated the \$115 million Section 8 Counseling funds to the metropolitan areas using the Fair Share factors. The remaining \$10 million will be awarded to eligible HAs, i.e., only those HAs listed on Attachment 5, as bonus funding to supplement the funding for those HAs and NPOs that have demonstrated successes in operating counseling programs of this type and that intend to undertake counseling with unique features such as innovative methods of counseling, metropolitan-wide housing search assistance, and other varied combinations of services. HUD

encourages HAs to propose unique methods for increasing the housing opportunities for Section 8 rental voucher and certificate holders and participant families.

(D) Application Submission Requirements for Section 8 Counseling

Each lead HA must submit the items identified in Section I.(D) of the NOFA, Application Submission Requirements - General, and this section of the NOFA for Section 8 Counseling.

(1) HA Application

Each HA listed in Attachment 5 to this NOFA may submit an application for Section 8 Counseling as the lead HA for the metropolitan area. All other HAs intending to participate in the Section 8 Counseling program must be identified and must submit a letter of support for the lead HA's application.

(2) Counseling Services

The application must describe the HA's proposed counseling program over the five-year ACC term and must include a complete, specific statement outlining the proposed methods of housing counseling, landlord recruitment and data collection. HAs must describe the methods proposed to provide housing counseling services that increase housing opportunities for rental voucher and certificate holders and participant families beyond those normally provided under the Section 8 program. HAs should also identify goals for the housing counseling services, including those performed by an NPO subcontractor, against which their success may be measured.

The application must include information concerning the site at which the housing counseling will be conducted. An HA may provide the housing counseling services through a subcontract with an NPO to perform these functions on its behalf or, under certain circumstances, provide the services itself. Counseling services provided either by the HA or by a non-profit organization (NPO) must contain, at a minimum, the services described in Section V.(B)(3) of this NOFA.

Any HA that proposes to undertake housing counseling services in excess of those required under this set-aside must separately identify the additional activities and additional funds requested for the innovative services as well as the proposed cost of the additional services during the five-year ACC term. HUD has not established a maximum amount per HA to be provided from the \$10 million of the funding for this set-aside that has been retained for innovative services.

(3) Counseling Subcontractor

The HA must submit a letter from the NPO with which it intends to subcontract with its application that describes the services, unless the HA decides to undertake the counseling services itself. The letter from the NPO must explain in detail the services to be performed on behalf of the HA and the experience or qualifications of the subcontractor to perform the services. The NPO letter will explain the timing and method of payment from the HA. In order for HUD to evaluate the cost of the proposed services, the HA and the NPO subcontractor must include information on the indirect cost arrangement to be established between the HA and the NPO. The NPO must submit documentation as a part of the application that verifies the 501(c)(3) (IRS Code) status of the NPO and its legal authority to operate throughout the metropolitan area. If the HA intends to perform the counseling services itself, the HA must provide the details of its capability to undertake the proposed counseling as required by section V.(D)(2) of this NOFA.

(4) Eligible Families

The application must describe the order of priorities for families to receive counseling services. Section V.(B)(4) of this NOFA establishes the first order of priorities of families to receive counseling. HAs have the discretion to establish preferences within the order of priorities and add priorities to the end of the list identified by HUD. The application must also include the number of Section 8 rental voucher and certificate holders as well as current Section 8 participants that are anticipated to be eligible for housing counseling under this set-aside and the subprograms of this NOFA for Persons with HIV/AIDS, Mainstream Housing, and Homeless Families.

(5) Management and Staffing Plans and Budget

The HA must submit a management plan, staffing plan and complete a five-year budget for the housing counseling program that, at a minimum meets the requirements of Section V.(B)(3) of this NOFA. The staffing plan should include a brief resume of each principal of the NPO and a list of its Board of Directors or other governing body.

If the HA proposes services beyond those required in Section V.(B)(3) of this NOFA, the application must include a separate and comprehensive, five-year budget that reflects all minimum and extra services to be provided. This budget is not in lieu of, but is in

addition to, the budget for the minimum services.

(6) Application Revisions After Submission to HUD

HUD will provide the applicant an opportunity to revise its application after submission to HUD in response to specific written comments from HUD. The HA will have a thirty calendar day period from the date of HUD's letter to satisfy all issues with HUD. Applicants will be allowed to respond to HUD comments more than once during the thirty day correction period so long as the negotiations are completed by the thirty calendar day deadline.

(E) Corrections to Deficient Applications for Section 8 Counseling**(1) Acceptable Applications**

See Section V.(D)(6) of this NOFA for the applicable provisions.

(2) Unacceptable Applications

The 14 calendar day technical correction period does not apply to this NOFA. See Section VIII.(D)(6) of this NOFA for the applicable provisions.

(F) Application Selection Process for Section 8 Counseling**(1) General**

The funds available under this NOFA are being awarded on a formula basis as listed in Attachment 5 of the NOFA. An HA must submit an application to receive the Section 8 counseling funds. Applications will be reviewed by HUD Headquarters to determine whether or not they are technically adequate and responsive to the application submission criteria based on the NOFA requirements. An application must receive a minimum score of 40 points under the threshold criteria shown below in order to be approvable for funding. To expedite the review of applications and the award of funds under this subprogram, HUD Headquarters may initiate its review of any application received prior to the deadline established for submission.

HUD will allocate the available funding to the HAs identified in technically adequate and responsive applications. If HUD receives applications for funding less than the amount made available for an area under this NOFA, due to failure of an applicant to apply or due to technically inadequate applications, HUD will make these funds available to other applicants.

(2) Application Threshold Criteria

(a) Threshold Criterion 1: Proposed Methodology for Housing Counseling Services (40 points).

HUD Headquarters will assign up to 40 points for the proposed methodologies for conducting the housing counseling program, landlord outreach and program evaluation. The highest assessment of points is limited to those applications where the applicant provides an excellent understanding of the services to be performed and proposed innovative techniques to achieve the goals of the set-aside.

(b) Threshold Criterion 2: Experience and Capability of NPO/HA (35 points).

HUD Headquarters will assign up to 35 points for an application where the NPO/HA providing the housing counseling services has the capability and experience to successfully undertake the housing counseling services. No points will be awarded for an application that shows the service provider has minimal experience or experience only in the normal Section 8 Equal Opportunity Housing Plan activities.

VI. NOFA for Mainstream Housing Opportunities for Persons With Disabilities (Mainstream Program)

(A) Mainstream Program Application Deadline Date

The deadline date for Mainstream Program applications is listed in Section I.(B) of the NOFA.

(B) Purpose and Substantive Description of Mainstream Program**(1) Purpose**

The Secretary has established a Mainstream Housing Opportunities for Persons with Disabilities Program (Mainstream Program) to provide rental vouchers and certificates to enable persons with disabilities to rent affordable private housing of their choice, in a nonsegregated environment.

Federal housing assistance for persons with disabilities has generally been provided under project-based programs targeted for groups with special needs (i.e., housing exclusively for persons with disabilities or housing exclusively for the elderly and disabled populations). This approach partly reflects the disparity between the needs of persons with disabilities and the availability of decent, affordable and accessible housing in an integrated setting. In addition, persons with disabilities often face difficulties in locating suitable and accessible housing on the private market and linking their

housing environment with any supportive services that they may want or need.

The Mainstream Program will reach communities that have concentrations of persons with disabilities in need of housing assistance, including those living in housing of an institutional nature. The Department expects the program to be of particular interest to HAs with public housing reserved for occupancy by elderly families and disabled families that wish to provide nonpublic housing options to some of their disabled residents.

(a) *Application Options.* HUD will award funding for rental vouchers and/or certificates under the Mainstream Program through two application options: (1) An application option open only to HAs that submit an allocation plan to designate public housing for occupancy by elderly families, and that also administer a Section 8 rental certificate, rental voucher or moderate rehabilitation program; and (2) an application option for general use rental assistance for persons with disabilities, open to all HAs which currently administer a Section 8 rental certificate, rental voucher or moderate rehabilitation program. Eligible HAs may apply for assistance under either or both application options.

Under the first application option, HUD will make available approximately 1,250 rental vouchers and certificates to support approvable HA allocation plans to designate housing for elderly families. The rental vouchers and certificates will enable HAs to meet the designated housing regulatory requirement to make available sufficient housing resources to provide assistance to at least the number of nonelderly disabled families that would have been housed by the HA if occupancy in the designated public housing project were not restricted to elderly households. Applicants who choose to apply under this option should be familiar with the Public Housing Designated Housing rule, published in the Federal Register April 13, 1994 (24 CFR part 945). HUD intends to fund all approvable applications under the designated housing allocation plan application option, unless HUD receives applications for more funding than available for this option. In that case, HUD will select applications for funding by lottery.

Under the second application option, for general use rental assistance for persons with disabilities, HUD will make available approximately 1,250 Section 8 rental vouchers and certificates for HAs to increase the supply of mainstream housing

opportunities available to persons with disabilities. HUD will select HA applications for funding under the general use application option by lottery.

(b) *Limit on Rental Assistance Requested.* An eligible HA applying under the general use application option may apply for up to 150 rental vouchers and/or certificates. An HA applying in conjunction with submission of a designated housing allocation plan may apply for only the number of units needed to meet the requirements of the allocation plan to provide housing resources for persons who otherwise would have received public housing, up to a maximum of 150 rental vouchers and certificates.

(2) Guidelines

(a) Definitions.

Allocation plan. A HUD-approved allocation plan required of HAs seeking to designate a project for occupancy by elderly families. See 24 CFR 945.203.

Disabled Family. A family whose head, spouse or sole member is a person with disabilities. The term "disabled family" may include two or more persons with disabilities living together, and one or more persons with disabilities living with one or more persons who are determined to be essential to the care or well-being of the person or persons with disabilities. A disabled family may include persons with disabilities who are elderly.

Person with disabilities. A person who—

(a) Has a disability as defined in section 223 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 423), or

(b) Is determined to have a physical, mental or emotional impairment that:

(i) is expected to be of long-continued and indefinite duration;

(ii) substantially impedes his or her ability to live independently; and

(iii) is of such a nature that such ability could be improved by more suitable housing conditions, or

(c) Has a developmental disability as defined in section 102 of the Developmental Disabilities Assistance and Bill of Rights Act (42 U.S.C. 6001(5)).

The term "person with disabilities" does not exclude persons who have the disease of acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) or any conditions arising from the etiologic agent for acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (HIV).

Section 8 Counseling. Services to increase access by program participants to housing units in a variety of neighborhoods and to assist participants in locating and obtaining a unit suited

to their needs. See Section V of this NOFA.

Supportive services. Assistance that addresses the needs of eligible persons, provides appropriate services or assists such persons in obtaining appropriate services, including health care, mental health treatment, substance and alcohol abuse services, child care services, case management services, counseling, supervision, education, job training and placement, and other services essential for achieving and maintaining independent living. Inpatient acute hospital care does not qualify as a supportive service.

Supportive service provider (or service provider). A person or organization (including peer support and consumer-run organizations) licensed or otherwise qualified to provide supportive services either for profit or not for profit that has been in existence for at least one year and delivers some or all of the above services to a client population.

(b) Eligible HAs.

(i) Rental assistance in conjunction with designated housing allocation plans. HAs that submit an allocation plan to designate public housing for occupancy by elderly families, and that also administer a Section 8 rental certificate, rental voucher or moderate rehabilitation program.

(ii) General use rental assistance for persons with disabilities. HAs which currently administer a Section 8 rental certificate, rental voucher or moderate rehabilitation program.

(iii) Additional Eligibility Requirements. See sections I(D)(2) and I(G)(2) of this NOFA.

(c) Eligible Participants.

(i) Rental assistance in conjunction with designated housing allocation plans. Only persons with disabilities and disabled families who live in public housing that has been designated for occupancy by the elderly, or disabled families who are on the HA's public housing waiting list, may receive a rental voucher or certificate awarded under the application option in conjunction with designated housing allocation plans. Nonelderly persons with disabilities and disabled families who live in public housing designated for the elderly in accordance with an allocation plan submitted in response to this NOFA, need not be listed on the Section 8 waiting list in order to be offered and receive Section 8 rental assistance as an incentive for a voluntary transfer from the designated project. These families may be admitted to the Section 8 program as a special admission (24 CFR 982.203).

(ii) General use rental assistance for persons with disabilities. Only persons

with disabilities and disabled families may receive a rental voucher or certificate awarded under the general use application option. However, in selecting disabled applicants from the Section 8 waiting list, HAs must provide assistance on a priority basis to nonelderly disabled persons who reside in public housing reserved for occupancy by elderly families and disabled families, or who are on the public housing waiting list and will not be housed because a public housing project has been designated for elderly families.

(d) Rental Voucher and Certificate Assistance.

(i) Section 8 regulations. HAs must administer the Mainstream Program in accordance with HUD regulations governing the Section 8 rental voucher and certificate programs.

(ii) Section 8 admissions requirements. Section 8 assistance must be provided to eligible applicants in conformity with applicable rules governing the Section 8 program including the federal preference rules, and in accordance with the terms of the HA's designated housing allocation plan where applicable, and with HUD-approved administrative and equal opportunity housing plans.

If there is an insufficient pool of persons with disabilities and disabled families on the HA Section 8 waiting list, an HA may conduct outreach to encourage eligible persons to apply for the general use Mainstream Program. Outreach may include contacting independent living centers, disabled advocacy organizations, and medical, mental health, and social service providers for referrals of persons receiving such services who would benefit from the general use Mainstream Program.

If the HA's Section 8 waiting list is closed, and if the HA has insufficient applicants on its Section 8 waiting list to use all awarded Mainstream Program rental vouchers and certificates, the HA may open the waiting list to only those who qualify for the Mainstream Program.

(iii) Turnover. When a rental voucher or rental certificate under this program becomes available for reissue (e.g., the individual or family initially selected for the program drops out of the program or is unsuccessful in the search for a unit), the rental assistance may be used only for another individual or family eligible for assistance under this program during the five-year term of the ACC for the Mainstream Program.

(e) HA Responsibilities. In addition to normal HA responsibilities under the Section 8 programs and under HUD

regulations for nondiscrimination based on handicap (24 CFR 8.28), HAs that receive rental voucher or certificate funding under the Mainstream Program must:

(i) assist program participants to gain access to supportive services available within the community, and to identify public or private funding sources for accessibility features, when participants request such assistance;

(ii) not deny persons who qualify for rental assistance under the Mainstream Program other housing opportunities for which they are eligible;

(iii) not deny other housing opportunities, or otherwise restrict access to HA programs, to eligible applicants who choose not to participate in the Mainstream Program; and

(iv) not require eligible applicants to accept supportive services in order to participate in the Mainstream Program.

(C) Mainstream Program Allocation Amounts

This NOFA announces the availability of up to \$85.7 million of budget authority that will support approximately 2,500 rental vouchers or certificates for the Mainstream Program. The Mainstream Program includes an option for HAs to apply for rental vouchers and certificates in conjunction with submission of an allocation plan to designate public housing for elderly families. HUD will make available approximately \$42.9 million of the \$85.7 million total, to support approximately 1,250 rental vouchers and certificates, for the application option to support designated housing allocation plans. Remaining funding of approximately \$42.9 million will support approximately 1,250 rental vouchers and certificates for general use rental assistance for persons with disabilities and disabled families. In the event HUD receives insufficient approvable applications for the designated housing allocation plan option, the surplus funding will be made available to the general use Mainstream Program applicants.

(D) Application Submission Requirements for Mainstream Program in Conjunction With Submission of Designated Housing Allocation Plans

Note that a separate application is required for each application option under the Mainstream Program. An HA may request only the number of rental vouchers and certificates supported by the designated housing allocation plan, not to exceed 150. Each application must include the items identified in Section I.(D) of the NOFA, Application Submission Requirements—General,

and items in this section of the NOFA for the Mainstream Program in support of designated housing allocation plans.

(1) Approvable Designated Housing Allocation Plan

The application must include an approvable allocation plan to designate housing for the elderly in accordance with 24 CFR 945.203.

(2) Description of Need for Mainstream Program Rental Vouchers and Certificates

The application must include a description of how the rental assistance is necessary to meet the requirement of 24 CFR 945.203(6)(iv) to provide assistance to at least the number of nonelderly disabled families that would have been housed by the HA if occupancy in units in the designated project were not restricted to elderly families. HAs may not request more than the number of rental voucher and certificates necessary to meet the above obligation, up to a maximum of 150.

(3) Description of Program Implementation

The application must include a narrative description of how the HA will operate its Mainstream Program. The description must include:

(a) Eligibility. A description of which portions of the eligible population the HA will serve (i.e., nonelderly disabled families on the public housing waiting list, nonelderly disabled families currently residing in housing designated for the elderly, or both).

(b) HA Assistance in Obtaining Accessibility Features. A description of how the HA will carry out its responsibilities under 24 CFR 8.28 to assist recipients in locating units with needed accessibility features. A description of the assistance the HA will provide to identify public or private funding sources (including any HA resources) for accessibility features such as ramps, grab bars, visual smoke alarms and fire detectors, accessible electrical controls, thermostats, and door hardware, and structural changes to dwelling units or common areas.

(c) Section 8 Counseling. A description of the assistance the HA will provide to eligible applicants to locate suitable housing in the private market. See Section V of this NOFA.

(E) Application Submission Requirements for General Mainstream Program for Persons With Disabilities

Note that a separate application is required for each application option under the Mainstream Program. An HA may request up to a maximum of 150

rental vouchers and certificates under the general use Mainstream Program. Each application must include the items identified in Section I.(D) of the NOFA, Application Submission Requirements—General, and items in this section of the NOFA for the general use Mainstream Program.

(1) Description of Need for Mainstream Program Rental Assistance

The application must demonstrate a significant need for Mainstream Program rental vouchers and certificates, and demonstrate that the demand for such housing would equal or exceed the requested number of units.

(2) Mainstream Program Operating Plan

The application must include a description of an adequate plan for operating a program to serve eligible persons with disabilities, including a description of how the HA will carry out its responsibilities under 24 CFR 8.28 to assist recipients in locating units with needed accessibility features.

(3) Supportive Services Plan

The application must describe an adequate plan to assist recipients of Mainstream Program rental assistance, should they request such assistance, to gain access to supportive services available within the community. The application must demonstrate that the HA has identified service providers who will assist recipients to overcome impediments to success in the Mainstream Program. Such provider may include nonprofit organizations able to fund accessibility renovation and supportive services providers able to assist recipients to meet such lease obligations as adequate housekeeping and timely rental payments.

(F) Corrections to Deficient Applications

(1) Acceptable Applications

See Section I.(G)(1) of this NOFA.

(2) Unacceptable Applications

See Section I.(G)(2) of this NOFA.

(G) Mainstream Program Application Selection Process

(1) Application Option in Support of Designated Housing Allocation Plans

(a) HUD Office Review. Upon receipt, the Office of Public Housing in the HUD Office will screen HA applications and stop processing any applications found unacceptable for further processing. Immediately after screening an unacceptable application, the Offices of Public Housing and Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity in the HUD Office

will review the applicant's designated housing allocation plan in accordance with 24 CFR 945.203.

If, within the 45-day or 90-day review period provided under 24 CFR 945.203(e)(2), the HUD Office finds an allocation plan approvable, subject to receipt of the requested rental assistance, the Offices of Public Housing and Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity will review the rest of the Mainstream Program application to determine if the application is technically adequate and responsive to the requirements of the NOFA. If the HUD Office determines that an allocation plan is approvable, and that the remainder of the Mainstream Program application is technically adequate and responsive, it will recommend to HUD Headquarters that the application be funded.

If the HUD Office disapproves an allocation plan submitted in response to this NOFA, the HA's application under the Mainstream Program will be rejected and the HA will not be eligible for the rental vouchers and certificates available under this Mainstream Program NOFA. However, the HA may continue to pursue its plans to designate housing for elderly families if it can identify other additional housing resources that it will need to meet the designated housing allocation plan requirements under 24 CFR 945.203.

(b) Funding. Headquarters will fund all applications that are recommended for funding by the HUD Offices, unless HUD receives approvable applications for more funds than allocated for the designated housing allocation plan application option. If HUD receives approvable applications for more funding than is available for the allocation plan option, HUD will select applicants to be funded by lottery. All HAs identified by the HUD Offices as having submitted technically adequate and responsive applications will be included in the lottery. As HAs are selected, the cost of funding the applications will be subtracted from the funds available. In order to achieve geographic diversity, HUD Headquarters will limit the number of applications selected for funding from any state to 10 percent of the budget authority available for the designated housing allocation plan application option.

(2) Application Option for General Use Mainstream Program

After the HUD Office has screened HA applications and disapproved any applications found unacceptable for further processing (see Section I.(G)(2) of this NOFA), the HUD Office will review all acceptable applications to

ensure that they are technically adequate and responsive to the requirements of the NOFA. Each HUD Office will send to HUD Headquarters the following information on each application that is found technically adequate and responsive:

- (a) Name and address of the HA;
- (b) HUD Office contact person and telephone number;

- (c) The completed fund reservation worksheet, indicating the number of units requested in the HA application and approved by the HUD Office during the course of its review, and the corresponding budget authority.

Headquarters will select eligible HAs to be funded by lottery. All HAs identified by the HUD Offices as having submitted technically adequate and responsive applications will be included in the lottery. As HAs are selected, the cost of funding the applications will be subtracted from the funds available. In order to achieve geographic diversity, HUD Headquarters will limit the number of applications selected for funding from any state to 10 percent of the budget authority available for the general use Mainstream Program.

Applications will be funded for the total number of units requested by the HA and approved by the HUD Office in accordance with the NOFA. However, when remaining budget authority is insufficient to fund the last selected HA application in full, HUD Headquarters will fund that application to the extent of the funding available.

(3) Program Type

If an HA application specifically requests funding for either rental vouchers or rental certificates, and funding for the specified program is not available, HUD will award the available form of assistance, notwithstanding the program type specified in the HA application.

VII. NOFA for Persons With HIV/AIDS

(A) Application Deadline Date for Persons With HIV/AIDS Subprogram

The Deadline Date for applications for the subprogram for Persons with HIV/AIDS is listed in Section I.(B) of the NOFA.

(B) Purpose and Substantive Description of Subprogram for Persons With HIV/AIDS

(1) General

The two subprograms for Persons with HIV/AIDS and for Homeless Families together provide approximately \$514 million in budget authority for an estimated 15,000 rental vouchers and certificates for homeless

families. Approximately \$103 million, or 3,000 rental vouchers, will be set-aside for persons with HIV/AIDS and their families who are homeless or who are at risk of homelessness.

Approximately \$411 million, or approximately 12,000 rental vouchers and certificates, will be made available to provide rental assistance to homeless families living in transitional housing or emergency shelters. Section 8 budget authority for each of these subprograms is allocated by formula as described in this section and in section VIII of this NOFA.

The Department recognizes that the HIV/AIDS epidemic has had a wide-spread and perverse impact on the ability of communities to provide the appropriate housing and care for their residents who are living with HIV/AIDS. The findings of the National Commission on AIDS in *Housing and the HIV/AIDS Epidemic* (issued in June 1992) state that there is "frequently desperate need for safe shelter that provides not only protection and comfort, but also a base in which and from which to receive services, care and support." Since 1992, the Department has provided assistance to communities to address these needs under the Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA) program. The HOPWA program supports community planning and coordination of public and private efforts and supports programs that provide housing and supportive services for low-income persons living with HIV/AIDS and their families. This set-aside of rental vouchers is intended to complement the community efforts already underway by providing permanent housing resources and ensuring coordination of housing and health-care programs with HAs, governmental agencies and community-based organizations.

The set-aside of rental vouchers for persons with HIV/AIDS and their families is an initiative of the Department that will help communities establish a continuum of care for this population by creating additional permanent housing options. This initiative combines rental voucher assistance to selected HAs in conjunction with State and local governments that have been implementing planning efforts and programs that provide housing and services for persons with HIV/AIDS under the Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA) program.

This notice stipulates the roles of the HA, the HOPWA grantee and community-based organizations which provide assistance to persons with HIV/AIDS and their families. These

community planning and program efforts generally involve experienced non-profit housing and service providers engaged in connecting housing assistance for persons with HIV/AIDS to appropriate case management, comprehensive health services and other supportive services for clients. Community-based organizations will undertake outreach efforts to identify eligible people with HIV/AIDS in need of permanent housing. The assistance will be given on a priority basis to persons with HIV/AIDS who are homeless and on a lower priority basis to persons with HIV/AIDS who are at risk of homelessness. Community-based organizations will also assist these clients in finding and securing permanent housing and provide or assist clients in accessing appropriate supportive services.

The Department expects that HOPWA grantees and HAs will be able to initiate their programs within 120 days after approval of their application. To expedite the administration of this program, the area's HOPWA formula grantee should consider how HOPWA funds might be used in support of this set-aside of rental vouchers, including technical assistance and resource identification activities to assist in the delivery of this housing resource.

(2) Guidelines

Under this initiative HAs will provide Section 8 rental vouchers to persons with HIV/AIDS in conjunction with program planning and comprehensive supportive services from other sources which are coordinated by the HOPWA grantee. The initiative will be implemented at the local level through partnerships of grantees of the HOPWA program, HAs and community-based organizations. The roles and responsibilities of each participating entity are detailed in this notice. The application must be submitted jointly by an area's HOPWA grantee and one or more eligible HAs that the HOPWA grantee designates and that agree to administer the rental vouchers for use in conjunction with services provided by community-based organizations. The application must designate the number of rental vouchers for the area or subarea to be administered by each HA. HAs identified by HUD with certain civil rights or major program violations are ineligible to apply.

Rental vouchers under this subprogram will be administered in conjunction with existing community planning processes for housing assistance and supportive services for persons with HIV/AIDS. This connection will provide greater program

efficiencies, reduce the need for additional planning mechanisms, ensure coordination of the program with other current related efforts and help ensure an appropriate response to the person's or family's individual housing and related service needs. The HOPWA grantee must ensure that the supportive service component will be made available for the term of the Section 8 funding.

(a) Definitions.

The definition of family for the purposes of this set-aside is a definition similar to that established for the Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA) program at 24 CFR 574.3.

"Family" means a household composed of two or more related persons. The term family also includes one or more eligible persons living with another person or persons who are determined to be important to their care or well being, and the surviving member or members of any family described in this definition who were living in a unit assisted under this program with the person with AIDS at the time of his or her death.

While the Department expects that most families who apply for this set-aside will be single persons, the families of eligible persons may also be assisted. This NOFA uses the term "person" frequently, although the eligible population for this set-aside, as with the regular rental assistance programs, includes the family of any person selected to participate in the set-aside.

(b) HOPWA Grantee Responsibilities. The grantee for the 1995 HOPWA formula allocation, or its designated representative, will have responsibilities that include:

(i) planning for the use of this set-aside in coordination with HAs and existing HIV/AIDS housing and services programs and providers in the entitlement area, including participation by persons living with HIV/AIDS and their families;

(ii) establishing participation agreements with HAs to implement this initiative; if more than one HA in an area will be participating, deciding how to suballocate available funding for the service area between or among the participating HAs;

(iii) establishing participation agreements with community-based organizations as service providers to implement this initiative; these agreements can be used to implement a plan for the provision of supportive services, including outreach to identify eligible persons and assistance in locating and securing suitable housing, ongoing case management, health-care

and other services; these services will be funded from sources other than this program; and

(iv) coordinating the submission of an application to HUD for its service area that designates the participating HA(s) and community-based organizations and is responsive to the criteria established in the Application Submission Requirements of this notice.

(c) **HA Responsibilities.** HAs are responsible for administering the rental voucher program in accordance with HUD regulations and requirements, including:

(i) conducting initial and periodic Housing Quality Standards inspections and contracting with landlords;

(ii) reviewing the Section 8 waiting list to determine if there are any individuals already on the waiting list who may be eligible for the Section 8 set-aside for persons with HIV/AIDS who are homeless or at risk or homelessness and referring them to service providers;

(iii) after proper verification of Section 8 eligibility of individuals referred by the service providers, adding individuals to the Section 8 waiting list and issuing rental vouchers;

(iv) amending its administrative plan and equal opportunity housing plan to provide for a preference for persons with HIV/AIDS to participate in the Section 8 set-aside for persons with HIV/AIDS in a number equal to the number of rental vouchers provided under this subprogram for the area;

(v) provide technical assistance to service providers and others in understanding and utilizing this Section 8 set-aside; and

(vi) maintaining records and providing information for evaluation purposes, as required by HUD.

(d) **Service Provider Responsibilities.** Community-based organizations that provide housing and/or services may agree to undertake responsibilities which include:

(i) providing outreach to identify participants who are eligible for the Section 8 set-aside for persons with HIV/AIDS who are homeless or at risk of homelessness;

(ii) assisting HAs in verifying income and other eligibility criteria;

(iii) referring eligible individuals to the HAs for determination of Section 8 eligibility and placement on the Section 8 waiting list;

(iv) providing or assisting clients in arranging for supportive services and health care as needed, such as case management, counseling, day care, outpatient health services, in-home nursing care, hospitalization and hospice care;

(v) providing housing search assistance, assistance in securing housing, move-in assistance and housing counseling as appropriate; and

(vi) maintaining records and providing information for evaluation purposes, as required by HUD.

(e) **Participant Eligibility.** Eligible person means a person with acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) or infection with the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) and his or her family who qualify as very low-income. Assistance will be provided on a priority basis to eligible persons who are homeless and on a lower priority basis to eligible persons who are at risk of homelessness. Programs may target assistance to eligible persons based on additional criteria of need, such as need for higher levels of care or disabling conditions, but not persons institutionalized or hospitalized.

(f) **Rental Voucher Assistance.** HAs must administer this program in accordance with HUD's regulations governing the Section 8 rental voucher and certificate programs. The HA may issue a rental certificate instead of a rental voucher to an individual selected to participate in the initiative if the individual requests a rental certificate and the HA has one available. If Section 8 assistance for a participant under this demonstration ends during the five-year term of the ACC for the Section 8 rental vouchers provided under this demonstration, the rental assistance must be reissued to another eligible person with HIV/AIDS. In order to receive rental assistance provided under this Section 8 set-aside, a person or family must:

(i) have been identified by a participating service provider as meeting the program criteria and referred to a participating HA and placed on its Section 8 waiting list; or

(ii) have been identified by the HA from the Section 8 waiting list as an eligible person and referred to a participating service provider.

(C) Allocation Amounts for Persons With HIV/AIDS

This NOFA provides approximately \$103 million for approximately 3,000 rental vouchers set aside for persons with HIV/AIDS and their families who are homeless or at risk of homelessness. The rental vouchers are allocated to 66 areas that have had the greatest number of reported cases of AIDS and in which community planning, housing assistance and supportive services are being provided by the governmental agency that is administering the Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA) program in the area.

This notice allocates rental voucher budget authority based on the HOPWA formula allocation established by the AIDS Housing Opportunities Act (42 U.S.C. 12901). That formula provides a mechanism to provide assistance to areas that have the highest numbers of reported cases of AIDS and to metropolitan areas that have a higher-than-average incidence of AIDS within their population. The Act also recognizes the need for the coordinated response to AIDS within the metropolitan area or within a State. In FY 95, HOPWA formula allocations were made to 66 grantees, including 43 qualifying cities in Eligible Metropolitan Statistical Areas (EMSA) and 23 States for areas outside of these EMSAs. Rental vouchers under this subprogram are allocated based on this formula for the areas listed in Attachment 3, arranged by HUD Offices.

(D) Application Submission Requirements for Persons With HIV/AIDS Subprogram

Each HA must submit the items identified in Section I.(D) of the NOFA, Application Submission Requirements—General, and this section of the NOFA for Persons with HIV/AIDS. If more than one HA is designated by the HOPWA grantee to administer the rental vouchers, each HA must submit the required information under Section VII.(D)(1).

(1) Need for Subprogram for Persons with AIDS

The application must describe the need for a rental assistance program targeted to persons with HIV/AIDS and their families who are homeless or at risk of homelessness as evidenced by data which show the unmet need in the area to be served.

(2) Program Implementation

The application must describe the timely implementation of a plan that will result in eligible participants finding permanent housing. The plan must have all of the following elements and must link all the elements in a consistent framework:

(a) the participating HA(s) and participating community-based organizations providing services are identified;

(b) the proposed outreach and selection efforts ensure that the targeted population in the area to be served is served;

(c) the plan provides housing search assistance that will help eligible persons obtain appropriate housing; and

(d) the plan describes an evaluation component that will result in an

evaluation of program effectiveness by the HOPWA grantee, the participating HA, and the participating community-based organizations undertaking housing-related activities.

(3) Linkage to Supportive Services

The application must demonstrate that supportive services will be made available to meet the needs of the targeted population. This is evidenced by:

- (a) the identification of participating community-based organization(s);
- (b) the identification of supportive services that are appropriate to the needs of the population proposed to be served;
- (c) a description of procedures to ensure that participants have access to these services; and
- (d) a plan for monitoring and evaluating the supportive services provided to eligible persons to ensure that services are appropriate to their changing needs on an individual basis.

(4) Application Revisions After Submission to HUD

HUD will provide the applicant an opportunity to revise its application after submission to HUD in response to specific written comments from HUD. The joint applicants will have a thirty calendar day period from the date of HUD's letter to satisfy all issues with HUD. Applicants will be allowed to respond to HUD comments more than once during the thirty calendar day correction period so long as the negotiations are completed by the thirty calendar day deadline.

(E) Corrections to Deficient Applications for Persons With HIV/AIDS Subprogram

(1) Acceptable Applications

See Section VII.(D)(4) of this NOFA for the applicable provisions.

(2) Unacceptable Applications

The 14 calendar day technical correction period does not apply to this NOFA. See Section VII.(D)(4) of this NOFA for the applicable provisions.

(F) Application Selection Process for Persons With HIV/AIDS Subprogram

The funds available under this NOFA are being awarded on a formula basis as listed in Attachment 3 of the NOFA. Applications will be reviewed by the HUD Office to determine whether or not they are technically adequate and responsive to the application submission criteria based on the NOFA requirements. To expedite the review of applications and the award of funds under this subprogram, the HUD Office may initiate its review of any

application received prior to the deadline established for submission.

The Office of Public Housing in the HUD Office will initially screen all applications to determine if an application is complete, technically adequate and responsive to the general application selection requirements. The Community Planning and Development (CPD) Office for the area will review the application to determine if an application is responsive to the criteria for need, program implementation, and quality of supportive services. If an application is found to be unresponsive to a criterion, the HUD Office will notify the applicant of its finding and permit the applicant to revise the application as provided in Section VII.(D)(4).

The HUD Office of Public Housing will approve applications that are technically adequate and responsive applications. If a local HA and HOPWA grantee do not submit a joint application by the deadline date specified in the NOFA, or if its application is disapproved, the allocation for that HOPWA jurisdiction will be reallocated to another HOPWA jurisdiction within the same State. If no other HOPWA grantee within the same State applied, the allocation will be reallocated to HOPWA grantees in other States.

VIII. Subprogram for Homeless Families

(A) Application Deadline Date for Homeless Families Subprogram

The deadline date for applications for the set-aside of rental vouchers and certificates for Homeless Families is listed in Section I.(B) of the NOFA.

(B) Purpose and Substantive Description of the Homeless Families Subprogram

(1) General

The two subprograms for Homeless Families and for Persons with HIV/AIDS together provide approximately \$514 million in budget authority for an estimated 15,000 rental vouchers and certificates for homeless Americans. Approximately \$411 million, or 12,000 rental vouchers and certificates, will be made available to provide rental assistance to homeless families living in transitional housing or emergency shelters. Approximately \$103 million, or 3,000 rental vouchers and certificates will be set-aside for persons with HIV/AIDS and their families who are homeless or who are at risk of homelessness. These allocations of Section 8 budget authority will each be allocated by formula as described in the NOFA.

Communities across the country are developing or refining comprehensive

homeless assistance strategies following the continuum of care approach. The fundamental components of a continuum of care system include: an emergency shelter/needs assessment to identify an individual's or family's needs; transitional housing and appropriate supportive services to help those individuals and families who are not prepared to make the transition to permanent housing and independent living; and permanent housing or permanent supportive housing. Permanent housing resources are essential to the success of efforts to alleviate homelessness. Homeless individuals and families who lack these resources become trapped in emergency shelters and transitional housing, occupying space and using limited resources that could benefit other homeless persons.

This program is designed to support local homeless assistance strategies by providing Section 8 rental vouchers and certificates to assist homeless families who are currently living in transitional housing or emergency shelters and are immediately ready for permanent housing. The program is also designed to ensure that the homeless families moving to permanent housing will receive appropriate assistance in finding housing, moving into the permanent housing, adjusting to their new surroundings, and obtaining needed services, such as day care. These services will help the formerly homeless families remain in permanent housing and avoid future homelessness.

The program depends on a partnership between the HA, the ESG jurisdiction, and homeless provider organizations. Homeless provider organizations identified to participate in the set-aside program must be chosen through a community-wide process. The ESG jurisdiction and the homeless provider organizations will be responsible for providing housing counseling, follow-up and other supportive services to participating families as needed for stabilization in permanent housing. The homeless provider organizations will identify the homeless families currently living in transitional housing or emergency shelters that are immediately ready for permanent housing. The homeless provider organizations will certify to the HA that the families meet the "immediately ready for permanent housing" definition. The HA will provide rental assistance based on the criteria established in this NOFA.

(2) Definitions

(a) Emergency Shelter Grant Jurisdictions.

An ESG jurisdiction is a State, metropolitan city or urban county that is eligible to receive a FY 95 grant under the Emergency Shelter Grants Program, as described in 24 CFR 576.43.

(b) Homeless Provider Organizations.

An organization, including non-profits and others, that delivers housing search assistance and other services to homeless persons and families.

(c) Immediately Ready for Permanent Housing.

A family, that prior to receipt of rental assistance under this NOFA, has received an intensive needs assessment to determine if the family is immediately ready for permanent housing. Specifically, a family that is immediately ready for permanent housing has:

- (i) needs that were appropriately addressed through a transitional housing program; or
- (ii) needs that can be addressed by receiving appropriate services and/or treatment in permanent housing; and
- (iii) the life skills needed to succeed in the rental assistance program.

(3) ESG Grantee Responsibilities

The ESG grantee is responsible for initiating the community-wide process and forming the partnership with the HA as well as identifying and involving homeless provider organizations. The ESG grantee is responsible for coordinating the joint application with the HA. The ESG grantee as part of the ongoing partnership is responsible for ensuring that eligible homeless participants are being served in the program. The ESG grantee is also responsible for ensuring that housing search assistance and stabilization services are being provided by the homeless provider organizations.

(4) Housing Agency Responsibilities

HAs are responsible for administering the rental voucher program in accordance with HUD regulations and requirements, including:

- (a) conducting initial and periodic Housing Quality Standards inspections and contracting with landlords;
- (b) reviewing the Section 8 waiting list to determine if there are any individuals already on the Section 8 waiting list who may be eligible for the Section 8 set-aside for Homeless Families and referring them to homeless provider organizations;
- (c) after proper verification of Section 8 eligibility of individuals referred by the homeless provider organization, adding individuals to the Section 8 waiting list and issuing rental vouchers or certificates;
- (d) amending its administrative plan and equal opportunity housing plan to

provide for a preference for Homeless Families referred by homeless provider organizations that meet the

“immediately ready for permanent housing” requirement to participate in the Section 8 set-aside for Homeless Families in a number equal to the number of rental vouchers and certificates provided under this subprogram for the area;

(e) providing technical assistance to homeless provider organizations and others in understanding and utilizing this Section 8 set-aside; and

(f) maintaining records and providing information for evaluation purposes, as required by HUD.

(5) Homeless Provider Organization Responsibilities

The homeless provider is responsible for identifying and referring eligible families that are immediately ready for permanent housing and for providing housing counseling and the family stabilization services. HUD does not define those services in this NOFA although the services should be adequate to meet the needs of the families during their search for permanent housing and the ensuing adjustment period after moving into permanent housing. These services may include move-in assistance, housing counseling, services to help the family adjust to new surroundings and connecting to community-based services and/or treatment, and any other services needed to allow the family to remain in permanent housing.

(C) Allocation Amounts for Homeless Families Subprogram

The Department will make available approximately \$411 million in budget authority to support an estimated 12,000 rental vouchers and certificates. The Department has decided to allocate the rental assistance budget authority for the Homeless Families subprogram to each jurisdiction that is eligible to receive an Emergency Shelter Grant (ESG) program allocation for FY 95. Attachment 4 to this NOFA lists the ESG jurisdictions and the allocation of budget authority for use in each jurisdiction based on the FY 95 ESG formula. The ESG formula was modified to ensure that 75 percent of the budget authority is allocated for use in ESG metropolitan cities and urban counties and 25 percent of the budget authority is allocated for use by states in other jurisdictions not receiving a direct formula allocation under this NOFA.

(D) Application Submission Requirements for Homeless Families Subprogram

The HA, in conjunction with the ESG jurisdiction, must submit the items identified in Section I(D) of the NOFA, Application Submission Requirements—General, and must include the descriptions required by this section and explained in further detail in the Application Selection section.

(1) Joint Application

HUD will make a set-aside of rental assistance budget authority for Homeless Families only if there is a joint application by the housing agency (HA) and the ESG jurisdiction that describes the partnership among the HA, the ESG jurisdiction and homeless provider organizations and describes the community-wide process for involving homeless provider organizations in the program. The application must demonstrate evidence of an agreement between the ESG jurisdiction and the HA(s) spelling out the responsibilities of the respective parties to achieve the objective of this NOFA. Homeless provider organizations help the ESG jurisdiction identify and refer homeless families to the HA and provide needed housing counseling and supportive services. At the time of application, participating homeless provider organizations may be identified, but this is not required. Any HA that has the legal authority to operate a rental assistance program within the ESG jurisdiction may submit, in conjunction with the ESG jurisdiction, an application in order to receive the available rental vouchers or certificates.

(2) Application Content

Each ESG jurisdiction must initiate, as a part of the application preparation, a community-wide process to involve homeless provider organizations in the development and implementation of the set-aside program. Each applicant must:

- (a) Describe the Community-wide Process.
Describe the community-wide process used to involve homeless provider organizations in the planning and implementation of the rental assistance program. The application must also describe how participating homeless provider organizations, that will enter into agreements to provide services, have been or will be identified through a request for proposals process or other form of selection.
- (b) Identify Eligible Homeless Families.
The method that will be used to identify and refer to the HA homeless

families currently living in emergency shelters or transitional housing within the ESG jurisdiction, who are "immediately ready for permanent housing" as defined in this NOFA.

(c) Section 8 Waiting List.

The method that the homeless provider organizations and the HA will use to verify and ensure that each identified family meets the "immediately ready" criteria and is either on the Section 8 waiting list or will be added to the waiting list.

(d) Involvement of Homeless Provider Organizations.

Describe the agreements with homeless provider organizations that will ensure each family receiving Section 8 rental assistance under this NOFA will receive the following:

(i) Housing search assistance, assistance in securing housing, move-in assistance and housing counseling, as appropriate;

(ii) Stabilization services for a minimum of six months to assist the family in adjusting to their new surroundings and connecting to community-based services and/or treatment, as appropriate; and

(iii) Other community-based services and/or treatment as needed to allow the family to remain in permanent housing.

(e) Project-Based Assistance. The method that will be used to determine what amount, if any, of the rental certificate funding is proposed to be used for project-based rental assistance for Single Room Occupancy (SRO) in accordance with 24 CFR part 882, subpart G, Project-Based Certificate Assistance rules.

(3) Application Revisions After Submission to HUD

HUD will provide the applicant an opportunity to revise its application after submission to HUD in response to specific written comments from HUD. The joint applicants will have a thirty calendar day period from the date of HUD's letter to satisfy all issues with HUD. Applicants will be allowed to respond to HUD comments more than once during the thirty day correction period so long as the negotiations are completed by the thirty calendar day deadline.

(E) Corrections to Deficient Applications for Homeless Families Subprogram

(1) Acceptable Applications

See Section VIII.(D)(3) of this NOFA for the applicable provisions.

(2) Unacceptable Applications

The 14 day technical correction period does not apply to this NOFA. See

Section VIII.(D)(3) of this NOFA for the applicable provisions.

(F) Application Selection Process for Homeless Families Subprogram

The funds available under this NOFA are being allocated on a formula basis as listed in Attachment 4 of the NOFA. Applications will be reviewed by the HUD Office to determine whether or not they are technically adequate and responsive to the application submission criteria based on the NOFA requirements. To expedite the review of applications and the award of funds under this subprogram, the HUD Office may initiate its review of any application received prior to the deadline established for submission.

The Office of Public Housing in the HUD Office will initially screen all applications to determine if an application is complete, technically adequate and responsive to the general application selection requirements. The Community Planning and Development (CPD) Office will review the application to determine if an application is responsive to the application submission requirements including consistency with the locality's homeless assistance strategy, adequacy of community-wide process, and the procedures for assisting homeless families. If an application is found to be unresponsive, the HUD Office will notify the applicant of its finding and permit the applicant to revise the application as provided in Section VIII.(D)(3).

The HUD Office of Public Housing will approve HA applications that are technically adequate and responsive. If any local HA and ESG jurisdiction does not submit a joint application by the due date specified in the NOFA, or if its application is disapproved, the allocation for that ESG jurisdiction will be reallocated to the State in which the jurisdiction is located. If a State does not apply by the due date, or if its application is disapproved, the allocation for that State will be reallocated to other States receiving allocations.

IX. Other Allocations

In addition to the budget authority for "fair share" rental vouchers and rental certificates, additional budget authority (including carryover budget authority) is available for allocation in Fiscal Year 1995 for rental vouchers and rental certificates for the following purposes:

(A) Relocation, Demolition and Disposition and Replacement Housing (HOPE I, II, Section 5(h), Section 18, and HOPE VI and "OPT-OUTS")

Headquarters will assign funds directly to the HUD Offices to assist families living in public housing projects that are being demolished or disposed of with HUD approval; to provide replacement housing in connection with Section 18, HOPE VI, or Section 5(h) activities; or relocation assistance to families affected by HOPE I, HOPE II, and Section 5(h) activities; or assistance to non-purchasing families affected by HOPE II activities. Headquarters will also assign funds directly to HUD Offices to assist families living in a Section 8 New Construction or Substantial Rehabilitation, or Loan Management Set-Aside Projects, where the Section 8 Housing Assistance Payments Contract ends. HUD Office requests for funding under this category will be approved on a first-come, first-served basis. HUD Office requests should include all data pertinent to determining the eligibility of the request for funding under the appropriate program and the amount of funds required. Replacement housing assistance will be provided in the form of 5-year rental voucher or rental certificate funding. (Approximately 9,425 units and \$323 million in budget authority.)

(B) Rental Voucher and Rental Certificate Renewals

Headquarters will allocate funds directly to the HUD Offices for the renewal of rental voucher and rental certificate funding increments expiring in Fiscal Year 1995. Renewal funding will be provided in-kind (i.e., rental voucher funding for expiring rental voucher increments, and rental certificate funding for expiring rental certificate increments). (Approximately 126,000 units and \$2.9 billion in budget authority.)

(C) Section 23 Conversions

Headquarters will allocate rental certificate funds directly to the HUD Offices for tenant-based rental assistance to residents of Section 23 leased housing for which leases are expiring. HUD Office requests for funding under this category will be approved on a first-come, first-served basis. HUD Offices must include all data necessary to determine the amount of funds required. (Approximately 320 units and \$6.5 million in budget authority.)

(D) Section 8 Amendments

Headquarters will allocate Rental Certificate Program cost amendments to provide budget authority increases to HA rental certificate programs. Headquarters will allocate the funds on an as needed basis. (Approximately \$185 million in budget authority.)

(E) Housing Agency Portability Fees

Headquarters will allocate these funds to pay special preliminary fees to HAs under Rental Voucher and Rental Certificate Program portability provisions. The Department issued a HUD Notice PIH 92-14 (PHA), dated April 22, 1992, that describes administrative procedures for requesting the special preliminary fees. These funds will be allocated to the HAs on a first-come, first-served basis. (Approximately \$9.5 million in budget authority.)

(F) Headquarters Reserve

Headquarters will retain in the Headquarters Reserve sufficient funding to meet the requirements for the following purposes: (1) Natural disasters, (2) other housing emergencies, (3) litigation, and (4) desegregation of public housing. (Approximately 4,300 units and \$151 million in budget authority.)

(G) Property Disposition

Headquarters will assign funds directly to the HUD Offices to assist families living in a HUD-owned property when it is sold. HUD Office requests for funding under this category will be approved on a first-come, first-served basis. (Approximately 900 units and \$30 million in budget authority.)

(H) FY 94 NOFA for Homeless Persons With Disabilities

HUD published a NOFA for a FY 94 Section 8 Rental Voucher Set-Aside for Homeless Persons with Disabilities on February 1, 1994. The February 1, 1994 NOFA is independent of any subprogram funding published in this NOFA. HUD expects to issue the funds shortly to the HUD State and Area Offices for reservation of those funds for the HAs selected under the February 1, 1994 NOFA.

(I) FY 94 NOFA for Homeless Veterans With Severe Psychiatric or Substance Abuse Disorders

HUD published a NOFA for the FY 94 Section 8 Rental Voucher Set-Aside for Homeless Veterans with Severe Psychiatric or Substance Abuse Disorders on July 14, 1994. The July 14, 1994 NOFA is independent of any subprogram funding published in this

NOFA. HUD expects to issue the funds shortly to the HUD State and Area Offices for reservation of those funds for the HAs selected under the July 14, 1994 NOFA.

(J) FY 94 NOFA for Family Unification

HUD published a NOFA for the FY 94 Family Unification Program on August 29, 1994. The August 29, 1994 NOFA is independent of any subprogram funding published in this NOFA. HUD expects to issue the funds shortly to the HUD State and Area Offices for reservation of those funds for the HAs selected under the August 29, 1994 NOFA.

(K) FY 94 NOFA for FSS Service Coordinators

HUD published a NOFA for the Family Self-Sufficiency (FSS) Service Coordinators for the Section 8 Rental Certificate and Rental Voucher Programs on August 29, 1994. The August 29, 1994 NOFA is independent of any subprogram funding published in this NOFA. HUD expects to issue the funds shortly to the HUD State and Area Offices for reservation of those funds for the HAs selected under the August 29, 1994 NOFA.

X. Other Matters*(A) Environmental Impact*

A Finding of No Significant Impact with respect to the environment for all funding available under this NOFA has been made in accordance with the Department's regulations at 24 CFR Part 50, which implement section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4332). The Finding is available for public inspection between 7:30 a.m. and 5:30 p.m. weekdays in the Office of the Rules Docket Clerk, Office of General Counsel, Department of Housing and Urban Development, room 10276, 451 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20410.

(B) Federalism Impact

The General Counsel, as the Designated Official under section 6(a) of Executive Order 12612, *Federalism*, has determined that the policies contained in this notice will not have substantial direct effects on states or their political subdivisions, or the relationship between the federal government and the states, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. As a result, the notice is not subject to review under the Order. This notice is a funding notice and does not substantially alter the established roles of the Department, the States, and local governments, including HAs.

(C) Impact on the Family

The General Counsel, as the Designated Official under Executive Order 12606, *The Family*, has determined that this notice does not have potential for significant impact on family formation, maintenance, and general well-being within the meaning of the Executive Order and, thus, is not subject to review under the Order. This is a funding notice and does not alter program requirements concerning family eligibility.

(D) Section 102 of the HUD Reform Act: Documentation and Public Access Requirements

HUD will ensure that documentation and other information regarding each application submitted pursuant to this NOFA are sufficient to indicate the basis upon which assistance was provided or denied. This material, including any letters of support, will be made available for public inspection for a five-year period beginning not less than 30 calendar days after the award of the assistance. Material will be made available in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) and HUD's implementing regulations at 24 CFR part 15. In addition, HUD will include the recipients of assistance pursuant to this NOFA in its quarterly Federal Register notice of all recipients of HUD assistance awarded on a competitive basis. (See 24 CFR 12.14(a) and 12.16(b), and the notice published in the Federal Register on January 16, 1992 (57 FR 1942), for further information on these requirements.)

(E) Section 103 of the HUD Reform Act

HUD's regulation implementing section 103 of the Department of Housing and Urban Development Reform Act of 1989 (42 U.S.C. 3537a) (Reform Act) is codified as 24 CFR part 4, applies to the funding competition announced today. The requirements of the rule continue to apply until the announcement of the selection of successful applicants.

HUD employees involved in the review of applications and in the making of funding decisions are restrained by part 4 from providing advance information to any person (other than an authorized employee of HUD) concerning funding decisions, or from otherwise giving any applicant an unfair competitive advantage. Persons who apply for assistance in this competition should confine their inquiries to the subject areas permitted under 24 CFR part 4.

Applicants who have questions should contact the HUD Office of Ethics

(202) 708-3815 (TDD/Voice). (This is not a toll-free number.) The Office of Ethics can provide information of a general nature to HUD employees, as well. However, a HUD employee who has specific program questions, such as whether particular subject matter can be discussed with persons outside the Department, should contact his or her HUD Office Counsel, or Headquarters counsel for the program to which the question pertains.

(F) Prohibition Against Lobbying Activities

The use of funds awarded under this NOFA is subject to the disclosure requirements and prohibitions of section 319 of the Department of Interior and Related Agencies Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 1990 (31 U.S.C. 1352) (the "Byrd Amendment") and the implementing regulations at 24 CFR part 87. These authorities prohibit recipients of Federal contracts, grants, or loans from using appropriated funds for lobbying the Executive or Legislative Branches of the Federal Government in connection with specific contract, grant, or loan. The prohibition also covers the awarding of contracts, grants, cooperative agreements, or loans unless

the recipient has made an acceptable certification regarding lobbying. Under 24 CFR part 87, applicants, recipients, and subrecipients of assistance exceeding \$100,000 must certify that no Federal funds have been or will be spent on lobbying activities in connection with the assistance. IHAs established by an Indian tribe as a result of the exercise of the tribe's sovereign power are excluded from coverage of the Byrd Amendment, but IHAs established under State law are not excluded from the statute's coverage.

(G) Section 112 of the HUD Reform Act

Section 112 of the HUD Reform Act added a new section 13 to the Department of Housing and Urban Development Act (42 U.S.C. 3537b). Section 13 contains two provisions dealing with efforts to influence HUD's decisions with respect to financial assistance. The first imposes disclosure requirements on those who are typically involved in these efforts—those who pay others to influence the award of assistance or the taking of a management action by the Department and those who are paid to provide the influence. The second restricts the payment of fees to those who are paid

to influence the award of HUD assistance, if the fees are tied to the number of housing units received or are based on the amount of assistance received, or if they are contingent upon the receipt of assistance.

Section 13 was implemented by final rule published in the Federal Register on May 17, 1991 (56 FR 22912). If readers are involved in any efforts to influence the Department in these ways, they are urged to read the final rule, particularly the examples contained in Appendix A of the rule. Any questions about the rule should be forwarded to the Director, Office of Ethics, room 2158, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20410-3000. Telephone: (202) 708-3815 (TDD/Voice) (this is not a toll-free number.) Forms necessary for compliance with the rule may be obtained from the local HUD office.

Dated: February 6, 1995.

Michael B. Janis,

General Deputy Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing.

BILLING CODE 4210-33-P

ATTACHMENT 1

PAGE 01

FISCAL YEAR 1995 SECTION 8 CERTIFICATE AND VOUCHER ALLOCATION
DOLLARS UNITS-COMPONENT PARTS OF ALLOCATION AREA

New England

Massachusetts State Office
METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS
Western Massachusetts

5,554,473	126	BERKSHIRE county towns of: Adams town, Cheshire town Dalton town, Hinsdale town, Lanesborough town, Lee town Lenox town, Pittsfield city, Richmond town, Stockbridge town FRANKLIN county towns of: Sunderland town, HAMPDEN county towns of: Agawam town, Chicopee city, East Longmeadow to Hampden town, Holyoke city, Longmeadow town, Ludlow town Monson town, Montgomery town, Palmer town, Russell town Southwick town, Springfield city, Westfield city West Springfield t, Wilbraham town, HAMPSHIRE county towns of: Amherst town, Belchertown town, Easthampton town Granby town, Hadley town, Hatfield town, Huntington town Northampton city, Southampton town, South Hadley town Ware town, Williamsburg town
3,999,396	90	MIDDLESEX county towns of: Ashby town, WORCESTER county towns of: Ashburnham town, Fitchburg city, Gardner city Leominster city, Lunenburg town, Templeton town Westminster town, Winchendon town, HAMPDEN county towns of: Holland town, WORCESTER county towns of: Auburn town Barre town, Boylston town, Brookfield town, Charlton town Clinton town, Douglas town, Dudley town, East Brookfield to Grafton town, Holden town, Leicester town, Millbury town Northborough town, Northbridge town, North Brookfield t Oakham town, Oxford town, Paxton town, Princeton town Rutland town, Shrewsbury town, Southbridge town, Spencer town Sterling town, Sturbridge town, Sutton town, Uxbridge town Webster town, Westborough town, West Boylston town West Brookfield to, Worcester city
24,389,590	549	BRISTOL county towns of: Berkley town, Dighton town Mansfield town, Norton town, Taunton city, ESSEX county towns of: Amesbury town, Beverly city, Danvers town, Essex town Gloucester city, Hamilton town, Ipswich town, Lynn city Middleton town, Manchester town, Marblehead town Peabody city, Rockport town, Rowley town, Salem city Salisbury town, Saugus town, Swampscott town, Topsfield town Wenham town, MIDDLESEX county towns of: Acton town Arlington town, Ashland town, Ayer town, Bedford town Belmont town, Boxborough town, Burlington town, Cambridge city Carlisle town, Concord town, Everett city, Framingham town Holliston town, Hopkinton town, Hudson town, Lexington town Lincoln town, Littleton town, Malden city, Marlborough city Maynard town, Medford city, Melrose city, Natick town Newton city, North Reading town, Reading town, Sherborn town Shirley town, Somerville city, Stoneham town, Stow town Sudbury town, Townsend town, Wakefield town, Waltham city Watertown town, Wayland town, Weston town, Wilmington town Winchester town, Woburn city, NORFOLK county towns of: Bellingham town, Braintree town, Brookline town, Canton town Cohasset town, Dedham town, Dover town, Foxborough town

CENTRAL

Boston

PAGE 02

FISCAL YEAR 1995 SECTION 8 CERTIFICATE AND VOUCHER ALLOCATION
DOLLARS UNITS- COMPONENT PARTS OF ALLOCATION AREA

Franklin town, Holbrook town, Medfield town, Medway town
 Millis town, Milton town, Needham town, Norfolk town
 Norwood town, Plainville town, Quincy city, Randolph town
 Sharon town, Stoughton town, Walpole town, Wellesley town
 Westwood town, Weymouth town, Wrentham town, PLYMOUTH county
 towns of: Carver town, Duxbury town, Hanover town
 Hingham town, Hull town, Kingston town, Marshfield town
 Norwell town, Pembroke town, Plymouth town, Rockland town
 Scituate town, Wareham town, SUFFOLK county towns of:
 Boston city, Chelsea city, Revere city, Winthrop town
 WORCESTER county towns of: Berlin town, Blackstone town
 Bolton town, Harvard town, Hopdale town, Lancaster town
 Mendon town, Milford town, Millville town, Southborough town
 Upton town

78 ESSEX county towns of: Andover town, Boxford town
 Georgetown town, Groveland town, Haverhill city, Lawrence city
 Merrimac town, Methuen town, North Andover town
 West Newbury town, MIDDLESEX county towns of: Billerica town
 Chelmsford town, Dracut town, Dunstable town, Groton town
 Lowell city, Peppereil town, Tewksbury town, Tyngsborough town
 Westford town

3,484,993

Northeast

113 BARNSTABLE county towns of: Barnstable town, Brewster town
 Chatham town, Dennis town, Eastham town, Harwich town
 Mashpee town, Orleans town, Sandwich town, Yarmouth town
 BRISTOL county towns of: Easton town, Raynham town, NORFOLK
 county towns of: Avon town, PLYMOUTH county towns of:
 Abington town, Bridgewater town, Brockton city
 East Bridgewater t, Halifax town, Hanson town, Lakeville town
 Middleborough town, Plympton town, West Bridgewater t
 Whitman town, BRISTOL county towns of: Acushnet town
 Dartmouth town, Fairhaven town, Freetown town
 New Bedford city, PLYMOUTH county towns of: Marion town
 Mattapoisett town, Rochester town, BRISTOL county towns of:
 Attleboro city, Fall River city, North Attleborough
 Rehoboth town, Seekonk town, Somerset town, Swansea town
 Westport town

5,102,683

Southeast

NONMETROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS
 Nonmetropolitan Statewide

25 BARNSTABLE county towns of: Bourne town, Falmouth town
 Provincetown town, Truro town, Wellfleet town, BERKSHIRE
 county towns of: Alford town, Becket town, Clarksburg town
 Egremont town, Florida town, Great Barrington t, Hancock town
 Monterey town, Mount Washington t, New Ashford town
 New Marlborough to, North Adams city, Otis town, Peru town
 Sandisfield town, Savoy town, Sheffield town, Tyringham town
 Washington town, West Stockbridge t, Williamstown town
 Windsor town, DUKES, FRANKLIN county towns of: Ashfield town
 Bernardston town, Buckland town, Charlemont town, Colrain town
 Conway town, Deerfield town, Erving town, Gill town
 Greenfield town, Hawley town, Heath town, Leverett town
 Leyden town, Monroe town, Montague town, New Salem town
 Northfield town, Orange town, Rowe town, Shelburne town
 Shutesbury town, Warwick town, Wendell town, Whately town
 HAMPDEN county towns of: Blandford town, Brimfield town

1,038,305

FISCAL YEAR 1995 SECTION 8 CERTIFICATE AND VOUCHER ALLOCATION
DOLLARS

PAGE 03

UNITS-COMPONENT PARTS OF ALLOCATION AREA

Chester town, Granville town, Tolland town, Wales town
HAMPSHIRE county towns of: Chesterfield town, Cummington town
Goshen town, Middlefield town, Pelham town, Plainfield town
Westhampton town, Worthington town, NANTUCKET, WORCESTER
county towns of: Athol town, Hardwick town, Hubbardston town
New Braintree town, Petersham town, Phillipston town
Royalston town, Warren town

Connecticut State Office
METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS
SOUTHWEST METRO CT

6,399,627	134	FAIRFIELD county towns of: Bridgeport town, Easton town Fairfield town, Monroe town, Shelton town, Stratford town Trumbull town, NEW HAVEN county towns of: Ansonia town Beacon Falls town, Derby town, Milford town, Oxford town Seymour town, FAIRFIELD county towns of: Bethel town Brookfield town, Danbury town, New Fairfield town Newtown town, Redding town, Ridgefield town, Sherman town LITCHFIELD county towns of: Bridgewater town, New Milford town Roxbury town, Washington town, FAIRFIELD county towns of: Darien town, Greenwich town, New Canaan town, Norwalk town Stamford town, Weston town, Westport town, Wilton town LITCHFIELD county towns of: Bethlehem town, Thomaston town Watertown town, Woodbury town, NEW HAVEN county towns of: Middletown town, Naugatuck town, Prospect town, Southbury town Waterbury town, Wolcott town
4,987,791	104	MIDDLESEX county towns of: Clinton town, Killingworth town NEW HAVEN county towns of: Bethany town, Branford town Cheshire town, East Haven town, Guilford town, Hamden town Madison town, Meriden town, New Haven town, North Branford town North Haven town, Orange town, Wallingford town West Haven town, Woodbridge town, MIDDLESEX county towns of: Old Saybrook town, NEW LONDON county towns of: Bozrah town East Lyme town, Franklin town, Griswold town, Groton town Ledyard town, Lisbon town, Montville town, New London town North Stonington t, Norwich town, Old Lyme town, Preston town Salem town, Sprague town, Stonington town, Waterford town WINDHAM county towns of: Canterbury town, Plainfield town WINDHAM county towns of: Thompson town

SOUTH-SOUTHEAST METRO CT

HARTFORD MSA

6,868,283	143	HARTFORD county towns of: Avon town, Berlin town Bloomfield town, Bristol town, Burlington town, Canton town East Granby town, East Hartford town, East Windsor town Enfield town, Farmington town, Glastonbury town, Granby town Hartford town, Manchester town, Marlborough town New Britain town, Newington town, Plainville town Rocky Hill town, Simsbury town, Southington town South Windsor town, Suffield town, West Hartford town Wethersfield town, Windsor town, Windsor Locks town LITCHFIELD county towns of: Barkhamsted town, Harwinton town New Hartford town, Plymouth town, Winchester town, MIDDLESEX county towns of: Cromwell town, Durham town, East Haddam town East Hampton town, Haddam town, Middlefield town Middletown town, Portland town, NEW LONDON county towns of: Colchester town, Lebanon town, TOLLAND county towns of:
-----------	-----	--

FISCAL YEAR 1995 SECTION 8 CERTIFICATE AND VOUCHER ALLOCATION DOLLARS UNITS-COMPONENT PARTS OF ALLOCATION AREA PAGE 04

Andover town, Bolton town, Columbia town, Coventry town
Ellington town, Hebron town, Mansfield town, Somers town
Stafford town, Tolland town, Vernon town, Willington town
WINDHAM county towns of: Ashford town, Chaplin town
Windham town

NONMETROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS
NONMETROPOLITAN CT

597,442

14 HARTFORD county towns of: Hartland town, LITCHFIELD county
towns of: Canaan town, Colebrook town, Cornwall town
Goshen town, Kent town, Litchfield town, Morris town
Norfolk town, North Canaan town, Salisbury town, Sharon town
Torrington town, Warren town, MIDDLESEX county towns of:
Chester town, Deep River town, Essex town, Westbrook town
NEW LONDON county towns of: Lyme town, Voluntown town, TOLLAND
county towns of: Union town, WINDHAM county towns of:
Brooklyn town, Eastford town, Hampton town, Killingly town
Pomfret town, Putnam town, Scotland town, Sterling town
Woodstock town

New Hampshire State Office
METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS
METROPOLITAN Maine/New Hampshire/Vermont

5,796,540

149 PENOBSCOT county towns of: Bangor city, Brewer city
Eddington town, Glenburn town, Hampden town, Hermon town
Holden town, Kenduskeag town, Milford town, Old Town city
Orono town, Orrington town, Penobscot Indian I, Veazie town
WALDO county towns of: Winterport town, ANDROSCOGGIN county
towns of: Auburn city, Greene town, Lewiston city, Lisbon town
Mechanic Falls tow, Poland town, Sabattus town, Turner town
Wales town, CUMBERLAND county towns of: Cape Elizabeth tow
Casco town, Cumberland town, Falmouth town, Freeport town
Gorham town, Gray town, North Yarmouth tow, Portland city
Raymond town, Scarborough town, South Portland cit
Standish town, Westbrook city, Windham town, Yarmouth town
YORK county towns of: Buxton town, Hollis town, Limington town
Old Orchard Beach, YORK county towns of: Berwick town
Elliot town, Kittery town, South Berwick town, York town
ROCKINGHAM county towns of: Brentwood town, East Kingston town
Epping town, Exeter town, Greenland town, Hampton town
Hampton Falls town, Kensington town, New Castle town
Newfields town, Newington town, Newmarket town
North Hampton town, Portsmouth city, Rye town, Stratham town
STRAFFORD county towns of: Barrington town, Dover city
Durham town, Farmington town, Lee town, Madbury town
Milton town, Rochester city, Rollinsford town
Somersworth city, ROCKINGHAM county towns of: Seabrook town
South Hampton town, ROCKINGHAM county towns of: Atkinson town
Chester town, Danville town, Derry town, Fremont town
Hampstead town, Kingston town, Newton town, Plaistow town
Raymond town, Salem town, Sandown town, Windham town
HILLSBOROUGH county towns of: Palham town, HILLSBOROUGH county
towns of: Bedford town, Goffstown town, Manchester city
Weare town, MERRIMACK county towns of: Allenstown town
Hooksett town, ROCKINGHAM county towns of: Auburn town
Candia town, Londonderry town, HILLSBOROUGH county towns of:

PAGE 05

FISCAL YEAR 1995 SECTION 8 CERTIFICATE AND VOUCHER ALLOCATION
DOLLARS

UNITS-COMPONENT PARTS OF ALLOCATION AREA

Amherst town, Brookline town, Greenville town, Hollis town
Hudson town, Litchfield town, Mason town, Merrimack town
Milford town, Mont Vernon town, Nashua city, New Ipswich town
Wilton town, CHITTENDEN county towns of: Burlington city
Charlotte town, Colchester town, Essex town, Hinesburg town
Jericho town, Milton town, Richmond town, St. George town
Shelburne town, South Burlington c, Williston town
Winoski city, FRANKLIN county towns of: Fairfax town
Georgia town, St. Albans city, St. Albans town, Swanton town
GRAND ISLE county towns of: Grand Isle town, South Hero town

NONMETROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS
NONMETRO Maine/New Hampshire/Vermont

4, 873, 101	145	ANDROSCOGGIN county towns of: Durham town, Leeds town Livermore town, Livermore Falls to, Minot town, ARDUSTOOK CUMBERLAND county towns of: Baldwin town, Bridgton town Brunswick town, Harpswell town, Harrison town, Naples town New Gloucester tow, Pownal town, Sebago town, FRANKLIN HANCOCK, KENNEBEC, KNOX, LINCOLN, OXFORD, PENOBSCOT county towns of: Alton town, Argyle unorg., Bradford town Bradley town, Burlington town, Carmel town, Carroll plantation Charleston town, Chester town, Clifton town, Corinna town Corinth town, Dexter town, Dixmont town, Drew plantation East Central Penob. East Millinocket t, Edinburg town Enfield town, Etna town, Exeter town, Garland town Greenbush town, Greenfield town, Howland town, Hudson town Kingman unorg., Lagrange town, Lakeville town, Lee town Levant town, Lincoln town, Lowell town, Mattawamkeag town Maxfield town, Medway town, Millinocket town, Mount Chase town Newburgh town, Newport town, North Penobscot un Passadumkeag town, Patten town, Plymouth town Prentiss plantatio, Sebosis plantation, Springfield town Stacyville town, Stetson town, Twombly unorg. Webster plantation, Whitney unorg., Winn town, Woodville town PISCATAQUIS, SAGadahoc, SOMERSET, WALDO county towns of: Belfast city, Belmont town, Brooks town, Burnham town Frankfort town, Freedom town, Islesboro town, Jackson town Knox town, Liberty town, Lincolnville town, Monroe town Montville town, Morrill town, Northport town, Palermo town Prospect town, Seasmont town, Searsport town Stockton Springs t, Swanville town, Thorndike town, Troy town Unity town, Waldo town, WASHINGTON, YORK county towns of: Acton town, Alfred town, Arundel town, Biddeford city Cornish town, Dayton town, Kennebunk town, Kennebunkport town Lebanon town, Limerick town, Lyman town, Newfield town North Berwick town, Ogunquit town, Parsonfield town Saco city, Sanford town, Shapleigh town, Waterboro town Wells town, BELKNAP, CARROLL, CHESHIRE, COUS, GRAFTON HILLSBOROUGH county towns of: Antrim town, Bennington town Deering town, Frances town, Greenfield town, Hancock town Hillsborough town, Lyndeborough town, New Boston town Peterborough town, Sharon town, Temple town, Windsor town MERRIMACK county towns of: Andover town, Boscawen town Bow town, Bradford town, Canterbury town, Chichester town Concord city, Danbury town, Dunbarton town, Epsom town
-------------	-----	--

FISCAL YEAR 1995 SECTION 8 CERTIFICATE AND VOUCHER ALLOCATION
DOLLARS

PAGE 06

UNITS-COMPONENT PARTS OF ALLOCATION AREA

Franklin city, Henniker town, Hill town, Hopkinton town
Loudon town, Newbury town, New London town, Northfield town
Pembroke town, Pittsfield town, Salisbury town, Sutton town
Warner town, Webster town, Wilmet town, ROCKINGHAM county
towns of: Deerfield town, Northwood town, Nottingham town
STRAFFORD county towns of: Middleton town, New Durham town
Strafford town, SULLIVAN, ADDISON, BENNINGTON, CALEDONIA
CHITTENDEN county towns of: Bolton town, Buels Gore
Huntington town, Underhill town, Westford town, ESSEX
FRANKLIN county towns of: Bakersfield town, Berkshire town
Enosburg town, Fairfield town, Fletcher town, Franklin town
Highgate town, Montgomery town, Richford town, Sheldon town
GRAND ISLE county towns of: Alburg town, Isle La Motte town
North Hero town, LAMDILLE, ORANGE, ORLEANS, RUTLAND
WASHINGTON, WINDHAM, WINDSOR

Rhode Island State Office
METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS
PROVIDENCE, RHODE ISLAND

5,926,951

150 WASHINGTON county towns of: Hopkinton town, Westerly town
BRISTOL county towns of: Barrington town, Bristol town
Warren town, KENT county towns of: Coventry town
East Greenwich tow, Warwick city, West Greenwich tow
West Warwick town, NEWPORT county towns of: Jamestown town
Little Compton tow, Tiverton town, PROVIDENCE county towns
of: Burrillville town, Central Falls city, Cranston city
Cumberland town, East Providence ci, Foster town
Glocester town, Johnston town, Lincoln town
North Providence t, North Smithfield t, Pawtucket city
Providence city, Scituate town, Smithfield town
Woonsocket city, WASHINGTON county towns of: Charlestown town
Exeter town, Narragansett town, North Kingstown to
Richmond town, South Kingstown to

NONMETROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS
PROVIDENCE, RHODE ISLAND, NONMETROPOLITAN

397,355

8 NEWPORT county towns of: Middletown town, Newport city
Portsmouth town, WASHINGTON county towns of: New Shoreham town

New York/New Jersey

Buffalo Area Office

METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS
ALBANY-SCHENECTADY-TROY/GLENS FALLS, NY MSA'S

4,755,320

148 ALBANY, MONTGOMERY, RENSSELAER, SARATOGA, SCHENECTADY
SCHOHARIE, WARREN, WASHINGTON

BUFFALO-NIAGARA FALLS/JAMESTOWN, NY MSA'S

8,249,275

259 ERIE, NIAGARA, CHAUTAQUA

SYRACUSE/UTICA-ROME/BINGHAMTON/ELMIRA, NY MSA

6,848,600

214 BROOME, CAYUGA, CHEMUNG, HERKIMER, MADISON, ONEIDA, ONONDAGA
OSWEGO, TIoga

ROCHESTER, NEW YORK MSA

5,237,366

165 GENESEE, LIVINGSTON, MONROE, ONTARIO, ORLEANS, WAYNE

NONMETROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS

NORTHEAST, NEW YORK

1,716,382

59 CLINTON, ESSEX, FRANKLIN, FULTON, HAMILTON, JEFFERSON, LEWIS
ST. LAWRENCE

SOUTHEAST, NEW YORK

1,554,613

53 CHENANGO, COLUMBIA, CORTLAND, DELAWARE, GREENE, OTSEGO
TOMPKINS

SOUTHWEST, NEW YORK

1,057,272

37 ALLEGANY, CATTARAUGUS, SCHUYLER, SENECA, STEUBEN, WYOMING
YATES

FISCAL YEAR 1995 SECTION 8 CERTIFICATE AND VOUCHER ALLOCATION
DOLLARS UNITS-COMPONENT PARTS OF ALLOCATION AREA

New York State Office METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS New York PMSA	158,942,685	3089	BRONX, KINGS, NEW YORK, PUTNAM, QUEENS, RICHMOND, ROCKLAND WESTCHESTER
DUTCHESS AND ORANGE COUNTIES, NEW YORK	3,532,062	69	DUTCHESS, ORANGE
NASSAU COUNTY, NEW YORK	4,332,329	84	NASSAU
SUFFOLK COUNTY, NEW YORK	4,259,791	83	SUFFOLK
NONMETROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS SULLIVAN AND ULSTER COUNTIES, NEW YORK	1,066,370	26	SULLIVAN, ULSTER
New Jersey State Office METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS Bergen-Passaic NJ	8,042,920	158	BERGEN, PASSAIC
Jersey City NJ	8,434,934	166	HUDSON
Newark NJ	14,512,011	285	ESSEX, MORRIS, SUSSEX, UNION, WARREN
Southern New Jersey	8,152,090	160	ATLANTIC, CAPE MAY, BURLINGTON, CAMDEN, GLOUCESTER, SALEM CUMBERLAND
Central New Jersey	9,544,991	187	HUNTERDON, MIDDLESEX, SOMERSET, MONMOUTH, OCEAN, MERCER
Mid-Atlantic			
Maryland State Office METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS MARYLAND METROPOLITAN	13,475,786	362	ALLEGANY, ANNE ARUNDEL, BALTIMORE, CARROLL, HARFORD, HOWARD QUEEN ANNE'S, BALTIMORE CITY, WASHINGTON, CALVERT, CHARLES FREDERICK, CECIL
NONMETROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS MARYLAND NONMETROPOLITAN	1,072,185	33	CAROLINE, DORCHESTER, GARRETT, KENT, ST. MARY'S, SOMERSET TALBOT, WICOMICO, WORCESTER
West Virginia State Office METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS METRO WEST VIRGINIA	2,139,454	89	KANAWHA, PUTNAM, CABELL, WAYNE, WOOD, BROOKE, HANCOCK MARSHALL, OHIO, MINERAL, BERKELEY, JEFFERSON
NONMETROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS NONMETRO NORTH	1,098,821	53	BARBOUR, CALHOUN, DODDRIDGE, GILMER, GRANT, HAMPSHIRE, HARDY HARRISON, JACKSON, LEWIS, MARION, MONONGALIA, MORGAN PENDLETON, PLEASANTS, PRESTON, RANDOLPH, RITCHIE, ROANE TAYLOR, TUCKER, TYLER, UPSHUR, WETZEL, WIRT
NONMETRO SOUTH	1,027,245	51	BOONE, BRAXTON, CLAY, FAYETTE, GREENBRIER, LINCOLN, LOGAN MCDOWELL, MASON, MERCER, MINGO, MONROE, NICHOLAS, POCAHONTAS RALEIGH, SUMMERS, WEBSTER, WYOMING
Pennsylvania State Office METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS Philadelphia, PA PMSA	18,699,776	507	BUCKS, CHESTER, DELAWARE, MONTGOMERY, PHILADELPHIA
ALLENTOWN-BETHLEHEM-EASTON MSA	2,526,731	68	CARBON, LEHIGH, NORTHAMPTON
HARRISBURG-LEBANON-CARLISLE MSA	2,526,468	68	CUMBERLAND, DAUPHIN, LEBANON, PERRY
LANCASTER MSA/YORK MSA	3,108,490	84	LANCASTER, YORK
SCRANTON/WILKES-BARRE/HAZLETON MSA	3,364,117	91	COLUMBIA, LACKAWANNA, LUZERNE, WYOMING
WILLIAMSPORT/STATE COLLEGE/READING/NEWBURGH	3,053,444	82	LYCOMING, CENTRE, BERKS, PIKE
WILMINGTON-NEWARK MSA/DOVER MSA	2,132,861	58	NEW CASTLE, KENT

FISCAL YEAR 1995 SECTION 8 CERTIFICATE AND VOUCHER ALLOCATION		UNITS-COMPONENT PARTS OF ALLOCATION AREA		PAGE 08
DOLLARS				
NONMETROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS				
NON-METRO PENNSYLVANIA & DELAWARE				
Pittsburgh Area Office				
METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS				
METRO 1	2,492,912	94	SUSSEX, ADAMS, BRADFORD, CLINTON, FRANKLIN, JUNIATA, MIFFLIN MONROE, MONTGOMERY, NORTHUMBERLAND, SCHUYLKILL, SNYDER, SULLIVAN SUSQUEHANNA, TIOGA, UNION, WAYNE	
METRO 2	5,554,940	206	ALLEGHENY	
NONMETROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS				
NONMETRO WESTERN PENNSYLVANIA		243	BEAVER, BUTLER, FAYETTE, WASHINGTON, WESTMORELAND, BLAIR, ERIE CAMBRIA, SOMERSET, MERCER	
		93	ARMSTRONG, BEDFORD, CAMERON, CLARION, CLEARFIELD, CRAWFORD ELK, FOREST, FULTON, GREENE, HUNTINGDON, INDIANA, JEFFERSON LAWRENCE, MC KEAN, POTTER, VENANGO, WARREN	
Virginia State Office				
METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS				
All Metro Areas	11,600,051	357	ALBEMARLE, FLUVANNA, GREENE, CHARLOTTESVILLE CITY, CLARKE CULPEPER, PITTSYLVANIA, DANVILLE CITY, SCOTT, WASHINGTON BRISTOL CITY, KING GEORGE, AMHERST, BEDFORD, CAMPBELL BEDFORD CITY, LYNCHBURG CITY, GLOUCESTER, ISLE OF WIGHT JAMES CITY, MATHEWS, YORK, CHESAPEAKE CITY, HAMPTON CITY NEWPORT NEWS CITY, NORFOLK CITY, POQUOSON CITY PORTSMOUTH CITY, SUFFOLK CITY, VIRGINIA BEACH CITY WILLIAMSBURG CITY, CHARLES CITY, CHESTERFIELD, DINWIDDIE GOOCHLAND, HANOVER, HENRICO, NEW KENT, POWHATAN, PRINCE GEORGE COLONIAL HEIGHTS CITY, HOPEWELL CITY, PETERSBURG CITY RICHMOND CITY, BOTETOURT, ROANOKE, ROANOKE CITY, SALEM CITY WARREN, FAUQUIER, SPOTSYLVANIA, STAFFORD, FREDERICKSBURG CITY	
NONMETROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS				
All Nonmetro Areas	3,162,131	130	ACCOMACK, ALLEGHANY, AMELIA, APPOMATTOX, AUGUSTA, BATH, BLAND BRUNSWICK, BUCHANAN, BUCKINGHAM, CAROLINE, CARROLL, CHARLOTTE CRAIG, CUMBERLAND, DICKENSON, ESSEX, FLOYD, FRANKLIN FREDERICK, GILES, GRAYSON, GREENSVILLE, HALIFAX, HENRY HIGHLAND, KING AND QUEEN, KING WILLIAM, LANCASTER, LEE, LOUISA LUNENBURG, MADISON, MECKLENBURG, MIDDLESEX, MONTGOMERY, NELSON NORTHAMPTON, NORTHUMBERLAND, NOTTOWAY, ORANGE, PAGE, PATRICK PRINCE EDWARD, PULASKI, RAPPAHANNOCK, RICHMOND, ROCKBRIDGE ROCKINGHAM, RUSSELL, SHENANDOAH, SMYTH, SOUTHAMPTON, SURRY SUSSEX, TAZEWELL, WESTMORELAND, WISE, WYTHE, ROCKBRIDGE ALLEGHANY, ALLEGHANY, GREENSVILLE, SOUTHAMPTON, CARROLL ROCKINGHAM, ROCKBRIDGE, HENRY, WISE, MONTGOMERY, HALIFAX AUGUSTA, AUGUSTA, FREDERICK	
District of Columbia Office				
METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS				
Washington, DC	19,565,931	384	DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, MONTGOMERY, PRINCE GEORGE'S, ARLINGTON FAIRFAX, LOUDOUN, PRINCE WILLIAM, ALEXANDRIA CITY FAIRFAX CITY, FALLS CHURCH CITY, MANASSAS CITY MANASSAS PARK CITY	
Southeast				
Georgia State Office				

FISCAL YEAR 1995 SECTION 8 CERTIFICATE AND VOUCHER ALLOCATION			UNITS-COMPONENT PARTS OF ALLOCATION AREA		PAGE 09	
DOLLARS						
METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS						
METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREA 1						
	5,962,232	182	BARTOW, CARROLL, CATOOSA, CHEROKEE, COBB, COWETA, DADE DOUGLAS, FAYETTE, FULTON, HARRIS, HENRY, PAULDING, PICKENS SPALDING, WALKER			
METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREA 2						
	3,916,494	119	BARROW, CLARKE, CLAYTON, DEKALB, FORSYTH, GWINNETT, MADISON NEWTON, OCONEE, ROCKDALE, WALTON			
METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREA 3						
	5,754,755	174	BIBB, BRYAN, CHATHAM, CHATTAHOOCHEE, COLUMBIA, DOUGHERTY EFFINGHAM, HOUSTON, JONES, LEE, MCDUFFIE, MUSCOGEE, PEACH RICHMOND, TWIGGS			
NONMETROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS						
NONMETROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREA 1						
	4,626,950	207	APPLING, ATKINSON, BACON, BAKER, BALDWIN, BANKS, BEN HILL BERRIEN, BLECKLEY, BRANTLEY, BROOKS, BULLOCH, BURKE, BUTTS CALHOUN, CAMDEN, CANDLER, CHARLTON, CHATTOOGA, CLAY, CLINCH COFFEE, COLQUITT, COOK, CRAWFORD, CRISP, DAWSON, DECATUR DODGE, DOOLY, EARLY, ECHOLS, ELBERT, EMANUEL, EVANS, FANNIN FLOYD, FRANKLIN, GILMER, GLASCOCK, GLYNN, GORDON, GRADY GREENE, HABERSHAM, HALL, HANCOCK, HARALSON, HART, HEARD, IRWIN JACKSON, JASPER, JEFF DAVIS, JEFFERSON, JENKINS, JOHNSON LAMAR, LANIER, LAURENS, LIBERTY, LINCOLN, LONG, LOWNDES LUMPKIN, MCINTOSH, MACON, MARION, MERIWETHER, MILLER, MITCHELL MONROE, MONTGOMERY, MORGAN, MURRAY, OGLETHORPE, PIERCE, PIKE POLK, PULASKI, PUTNAM, QUITMAN, RABUN, RANDOLPH, SCHLEY SCREVEN, SEMINOLE, STEPHENS, STEWART, SUMTER, TALBOT TALIAFERRO, TATTNALL, TAYLOR, TELFAIR, TERRELL, THOMAS, TIFT TOOMBS, TOWNS, TREUTLEN, TROUP, TURNER, UNION, UPSON, WARE WARREN, WASHINGTON, WAYNE, WEBSTER, WHEELER, WHITE, WHITFIELD WILCOX, WILKES, WILKINSON, WORTH			
Alabama State Office						
METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS						
METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREA 1						
	1,326,175	51	DALE, HOUSTON, AUTAUGA, ELMORE, MONTGOMERY, RUSSELL			
METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREA 2						
	1,222,367	48	BALDWIN, MOBILE			
METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREA 3						
	3,199,895	123	CALHOUN, BLOUNT, JEFFERSON, ST. CLAIR, SHELBY, TUSCALOOSA			
METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREA 4						
	1,493,636	58	LAWRENCE, MORGAN, COLBERT, LAUDERDALE, ETOWAH, LIMESTONE MADISON			
NONMETROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS						
ALABAMA STATE NONMETRO						
	2,364,388	120	BARBOUR, BIBB, BULLOCK, BUTLER, CHAMBERS, CHEROKEE, CHILTON CHOCTAW, CLARKE, CLAY, CLEBURNE, COFFEE, CONECUH, COOSA COVINGTON, CRENSHAW, CULLMAN, DALLAS, DEKALB, ESCAMBIA FAYETTE, FRANKLIN, GENEVA, GREENE, HALE, HENRY, JACKSON, LAMAR LEE, LOWNDES, MACON, MARENGO, MARION, MARSHALL, MONROE, PERRY PICKENS, PIKE, RANDOLPH, SUMTER, TALLADEGA, TALLAPOOSA, WALKER WASHINGTON, WILCOX, WINSTON			
Caribbean Office						
METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS						
METRO ALLOCATION AREA #1						
	1,296,414	50	FLORIDA MUNICIPIO, HORMIGUEROS MUNICIPIO, CEIBA MUNICIPIO LUQUILLO MUNICIPIO, COMERIO MUNICIPIO, BARCELONETA MUNICIPIO GUAYANILLA MUNICIPIO, PENUELAS MUNICIPIO, NAGUABO MUNICIPIO SABANA GRANDE MUNICIPIO, VILLALBA MUNICIPIO, ANASCO MUNICIPIO MOROVIS MUNICIPIO, AGUAS BUENAS MUNICIPIO LAS PIEDRAS MUNICIPIO, NARANJITO MUNICIPIO, GURABO MUNICIPIO CAMUY MUNICIPIO, LOIZA MUNICIPIO, JUNCOS MUNICIPIO			

FISCAL YEAR 1995 SECTION 8 CERTIFICATE AND VOUCHER ALLOCATION		DOLLARS	UNITS-COMPONENT PARTS OF ALLOCATION AREA	PAGE 10
METRO ALLOCATION AREA #2		1,713,813	DORADO MUNICIPIO, HATILLO MUNICIPIO, MOCA MUNICIPIO COROZAL MUNICIPIO 66 VEGA ALTA MUNICIPIO, CATANO MUNICIPIO, SAN GERMAN MUNICIPIO SAN LORENZO MUNICIPIO, CIDRA MUNICIPIO, AGUADA MUNICIPIO YABUCOA MUNICIPIO, CANOVANAS MUNICIPIO, FAJARDO MUNICIPIO CABO ROJO MUNICIPIO, MANATI MUNICIPIO, YAUCO MUNICIPIO TOA ALTA MUNICIPIO, JUANA DIAZ MUNICIPIO, RIO GRANDE MUNICIPIO CAYEY MUNICIPIO, HUMACAO MUNICIPIO, VEGA BAJA MUNICIPIO 73 AGUADILLA MUNICIPIO, TRUJILLO ALTO MUNICIPIO TOA BAJA MUNICIPIO, GUAYNABO MUNICIPIO, ARECIBO MUNICIPIO MAYAGUEZ MUNICIPIO, CAGUAS MUNICIPIO 164 CAROLINA MUNICIPIO, PONCE MUNICIPIO, BAYAMON MUNICIPIO SAN JUAN MUNICIPIO	
METRO ALLOCATION AREA #3		1,917,666		
METRO ALLOCATION AREA #4		4,290,873		
NONMETROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS NON-METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREA		1,854,316	70 ADJUNTAS MUNICIPIO, AIBONITO MUNICIPIO, ARROYO MUNICIPIO BARRANQUITAS MUNICIPIO, CIALES MUNICIPIO, COAMO MUNICIPIO CULEBRA MUNICIPIO, GUANICA MUNICIPIO, GUAYAMA MUNICIPIO ISABELA MUNICIPIO, JAYUYA MUNICIPIO, LAJAS MUNICIPIO LARES MUNICIPIO, LAS MARIAS MUNICIPIO, MARICAO MUNICIPIO MAUNABO MUNICIPIO, OROCOVIS MUNICIPIO, PATILLAS MUNICIPIO QUEBRADILLAS MUNICIPIO, RINCON MUNICIPIO, SALINAS MUNICIPIO SAN SEBASTIAN MUNICIPIO, SANTA ISABEL MUNICIPIO UTUADO MUNICIPIO, VIEQUES MUNICIPIO, VIRGIN ISLANDS	
South Carolina State Office METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS UPPER STATE METRO MID-STATE METRO LOWER STATE METRO NONMETROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS STATEWIDE NON-METRO		2,459,008 2,188,474 2,030,188 2,105,827	88 ANDERSON, CHEROKEE, GREENVILLE, PICKENS, SPARTANBURG, YORK 77 FLORENCE, LEXINGTON, RICHLAND, AIKEN, EDGEFIELD, SUMTER 72 HORRY, BERKELEY, CHARLESTON, DORCHESTER 92 ABBEVILLE, ALLENDALE, BAMBERG, BARNWELL, BEAUFORT, CALHOUN CHESTER, CHESTERFIELD, CLARENDON, COLLETON, DARLINGTON, DILLON FAIRFIELD, GEORGETOWN, GREENWOOD, HAMPTON, JASPER, KERSHAW LANCASTER, LAURENS, LEE, MCCORMICK, MARION, MARLBORO, NEWBERRY OCONEE, ORANGEBURG, SALUDA, UNION, WILLIAMSBURG	
North Carolina State Office METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS Charlotte-Gastonia Greensboro--Winston-Salem--High Point Raleigh-Durham-Chapel Hill Metro West Metro East NONMETROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS Non-metro West		2,764,745 2,920,623 2,827,641 2,063,163 2,519,155 2,256,186	94 CABARRUS, GASTON, LINCOLN, MECKLENBURG, ROWAN, UNION 101 ALAMANCE, DAVIDSON, DAVIE, FORSYTH, GUILFORD, RANDOLPH, STOKES YADKIN 97 CHATHAM, DURHAM, FRANKLIN, JOHNSTON, ORANGE, WAKE 71 BUNCOMBE, MADISON, CUMBERLAND, ALEXANDER, BURKE, CALDWELL CATAWBA 85 WAYNE, PITT, ONSLOW, CURRITUCK, EDGEcombe, NASH, BRUNSWICK NEW HANOVER 99 ALLEGHANY, ANSON, ASHE, AVERY, CASWELL, CHEROKEE, CLAY CLEVELAND, GRAHAM, GRANVILLE, HAYWOOD, HENDERSON, IREDELL JACKSON, LEE, MCDOWELL, MACON, MITCHELL, MONTGOMERY, MOORE PERSON, POLK, RICHMOND, ROCKINGHAM, RUTHERFORD, STANLY, SURRY SWAIN, TRANSYLVANIA, VANCE, WARREN, WATAUGA, WILKES, YANCEY 106 BEAUFORT, BERTIE, BLADEN, CAMDEN, CARTERET, CHOWAN, COLUMBUS	
Non-metro East		2,494,511		

FISCAL YEAR 1995 SECTION 8 CERTIFICATE AND VOUCHER ALLOCATION			DOLLARS		UNITS-COMPONENT PARTS OF ALLOCATION AREA		PAGE 11
Mississippi State Office							
METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS							
Metropolitan Allocation Area 1							
2,455,168	89	HANCOCK, HARRISON, JACKSON, FORREST, LAMAR, HINDS, MADISON RANKIN, DESOTO					
NONMETROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS							
Nonmetropolitan Allocation Area 1							
3,584,292	174	ADAMS, ALCORN, AMITE, ATTALA, BENTON, BOLIVAR, CALHOUN CARROLL, CHICKASAW, CHOCTAW, CLAIBORNE, CLARKE, CLAY, COAHOMA COPIAH, COVINGTON, FRANKLIN, GEORGE, GREENE, GRENADA, HOLMES HUMPHREYS, ISSAQUENA, ITAWAMBA, JASPER, JEFFERSON JEFFERSON DAVIS, JONES, KEMPER, LAFAYETTE, LAUDERDALE LAWRENCE, LEAKE, LEE, LEFLORE, LINCOLN, LOWNDES, MARION MARSHALL, MONROE, MONTGOMERY, NESHOMA, NEWTON, NOXUBEE OKTIBBEHA, PANOLA, PEARL RIVER, PERRY, PIKE, PONTOTOC PRENTISS, QUITMAN, SCOTT, SHARKEY, SIMPSON, SMITH, STONE SUNFLOWER, TALLAHATCHIE, TATE, TIPPAAH, TISHOMINGO, TUNICA UNION, WALTHALL, WARREN, WASHINGTON, WAYNE, WEBSTER, WILKINSON WINSTON, YALOBUSHA, YAZOO					
Jacksonville Area Office							
METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS							
Metropolitan Area No 1							
11,568,095	311	DADE					
2,988,359	80	PALM BEACH, MARTIN, ST. LUCIE					
6,688,032	180	HERNANDO, HILLSBOROUGH, PASCO, PINELLAS					
9,496,268	257	CLAY, DUVAL, NASSAU, ST. JOHNS, MARION, FLAGLER, VOLUSIA ALACHUA					
Metropolitan Area No 5							
4,458,259	119	LAKE, ORANGE, OSCEOLA, SEMINOLE, BREVARD					
4,128,714	112	ESCAMBIA, SANTA ROSA, OKALOOSA, BAY, GADSDEN, LEON					
3,811,169	102	POLK, MANATEE, SARASOTA, COLLIER, LEE, CHARLOTTE					
3,506,246	94	BROWARD					
NONMETROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS							
Nonmetro Area No 1							
1,772,971	67	BAKER, BRADFORD, CALHOUN, CITRUS, COLUMBIA, DESOTO, DIXIE FRANKLIN, GILCHRIST, GLADES, GULF, HAMILTON, HARDEE, HENDRY HIGHLANDS, HOLMES, INDIAN RIVER, JACKSON, JEFFERSON, LAFAYETTE LEVY, LIBERTY, MADISON, MONROE, OKEECHOBEE, PUTNAM, SUMTER SUWANNEE, TAYLOR, UNION, WAKULLA, WALTON, WASHINGTON					
Kentucky State Office							
METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS							
Metropolitan Allocation Area 1							
4,027,908	152	BOURBON, CLARK, FAYETTE, JESSAMINE, MADISON, SCOTT, WOODFORD BULLITT, JEFFERSON, OLDHAM					
2,029,009	77	BOONE, CAMPBELL, KENTON, CHRISTIAN, HENDERSON, GALLATIN, GRANT BOYD, CARTER, GREENUP, DAVIESS, PENDLETON					
METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREA 2							
NONMETROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS							
NORTHEAST NONMETROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREA							
388,677	19	BATH, BRACKEN, ELLIOTT, FLEMING, JOHNSON, LAWRENCE, LEWIS MARTIN, MASON, MENIFEE, MONTGOMERY, MORGAN, POWELL, ROBERTSON ROWAN					
533,713	27	ADAIR, ANDERSON, CASEY, CLINTON, CUMBERLAND, FRANKLIN, GARRARD GREEN, HARRISON, LINCOLN, MCCREARY, NICHOLAS, PULASKI, RUSSELL					

FISCAL YEAR 1995 SECTION 8 CERTIFICATE AND VOUCHER ALLOCATION		UNITS-COMPONENT PARTS OF ALLOCATION AREA		PAGE 12	
DOLLARS					
SOUTHEAST NONMETROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREA		TAYLOR, WAYNE			
957,183		45 BELL, BREATHITT, CLAY, ESTILL, FLOYD, HARLAN, JACKSON, KNOTT			
		KNOX, LAUREL, LEE, LESLIE, LETCHER, MAGOFFIN, OWSLEY, PERRY			
		PIKE, ROCKCASTLE, WHITLEY, WOLFE			
539,508		28 ALLEN, BARRIN, BUTLER, EDMONSON, HANCOCK, HART, LOGAN, MCLEAN			
		METCALFE, MONROE, OHIO, SIMPSON, UNION, WARREN, WEBSTER			
514,061		23 BALLARD, CALDWELL, CALLOWAY, CARLISLE, CRITTENDEN, FULTON			
		GRAVES, HICKMAN, HOPKINS, LIVINGSTON, LYON, MCCracken			
		MARSHALL, MUHLBERG, TODD, TRIGG			
594,360		30 BOYLE, BRECKINRIDGE, CARROLL, GRAYSON, HARDIN, HENRY, LARUE			
		MARION, MEADE, MERCER, NELSON, OWEN, SHELBY, SPENCER, TRIMBLE			
		WASHINGTON			
Knoxville Area Office					
METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS					
		133 HAMILTON, MARION, CARTER, HAWKINS, SULLIVAN, UNICOI			
3,400,874		WASHINGTON, ANDERSON, BLOUNT, KNOX, LOUDON, SEVIER, UNION			
NONMETROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS					
		44 BLEDSOE, BRADLEY, CAMPBELL, CLAIBORNE, COCKE, CUMBERLAND			
923,928		FENTRESS, GRAINGER, GREENE, GRUNDY, HAMBLIN, HANCOCK			
		JEFFERSON, JOHNSON, MCINN, MEIGS, MONROE, MORGAN, PICKETT			
		POLK, RHEA, ROANE, SCOTT, SEQUATCHIE			
Tennessee State Office					
METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS					
		133 MADISON, FAYETTE, SHELBY, TIPTON			
3,764,984		105 MONTGOMERY, CHEATHAM, DAVIDSON, DICKSON, ROBERTSON, RUTHERFORD			
3,032,093		SUMNER, WILLIAMSON, WILSON			
NONMETROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS					
		78 BEDFORD, BENTON, CANNON, CARROLL, CHESTER, CLAY, COFFEE			
1,613,572		CROCKETT, DECATUR, DEKALB, DYER, FRANKLIN, GIBSON, GILES			
		HARDMAN, HARDIN, HAYWOOD, HENDERSON, HENRY, HICKMAN, HOUSTON			
		HUMPHREYS, JACKSON, LAKE, LAUDERDALE, LAWRENCE, LEWIS, LINCOLN			
		MCNATRY, MACON, MARSHALL, MAURY, MOORE, OBION, OVERTON, PERRY			
		PUTNAM, SMITH, STEWART, TROUSDALE, VAN BUREN, WARREN, WAYNE			
		WEAKLEY, WHITE			
Midwest					
Illinois State Office					
METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS					
		870 COOK			
34,560,102		184 LAKE, KANE, KENDALL, GRUNDY, WILL, MCHEERY, DUPAGE, DEKALB			
7,285,341		72 BOONE, OGLE, WINNEBAGO, HENRY, ROCK ISLAND			
2,835,295		83 MCLEAN, CHAMPAIGN, MACON, KANKAKEE			
3,314,920		69 PEORIA, TAZEWELL, WOODFORD, MENARD, SANGAMON			
2,773,221		67 CLINTON, JERSEY, MADISON, MONROE, ST. CLAIR			
2,634,471					
NONMETROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS					
		88 ADAMS, BROWN, BUREAU, CARROLL, CASS, DE WITT, FORD, FULTON			
1,931,796		HANCOCK, HENDERSON, IROQUOIS, JO DAVIESS, KNOX, LA SALLE, LEE			
		LIVINGSTON, LOGAN, MARSHALL, MASON, MCDONOUGH, MERCER, PIATT			
		PUTNAM, SCHUYLER, STARK, STEPHENSON, VERMILION, WARREN			
		WHITESIDE			

FISCAL YEAR 1995 SECTION 8 CERTIFICATE AND VOUCHER ALLOCATION			UNITS-COMPONENT PARTS OF ALLOCATION AREA		PAGE 13
NON-METRO SOUTH			DOLLARS		
Cincinnati Area Office			2,079,529	97	ALEXANDER, BOND, CALHOUN, CHRISTIAN, CLARK, CLAY, COLES CRAWFORD, CUMBERLAND, DOUGLAS, EDGAR, EDWARDS, EFFINGHAM FAYETTE, FRANKLIN, GALLATIN, GREENE, HAMILTON, HARDIN, JACKSON JASPER, JEFFERSON, JOHNSON, LAWRENCE, MACOUPIN, MARION, MASSAC MONTGOMERY, MORGAN, MOUTRIE, PERRY, PIKE, POPE, PULASKI RANDOLPH, RICHLAND, SALINE, SCOTT, SHELBY, UNION, WABASH WASHINGTON, WAYNE, WHITE, WILLIAMSON
METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS					
CINCINNATI OFFICE - METROPOLITAN			9,776,154	327	BUTLER, GREENE, MIAMI, MONTGOMERY, CLERMONT, HAMILTON, WARREN BROWN
NONMETROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS					
CINCINNATI OFFICE - NONMETROPOLITAN			434,703	18	ADAMS, CLINTON, DARKE, HIGHLAND, PREBLE
Cleveland Area Office					
METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS					
AKRON-CANTON MSA AREA			3,995,845	136	PORTAGE, SUMMIT, CARROLL, STARK
CLEVELAND-LORAIN-ELYRIA MSA AREA			9,340,187	317	ASHTABULA, CUYAHOGA, GEauga, LAKE, LORAIN, MEDINA
OTHER CLEVELAND OFFICE MSA AREA			5,779,081	195	CRAWFORD, RICHLAND, JEFFERSON, FULTON, LUCAS, WOOD, COLUMBIANA MAHONING, TRUMBULL
NONMETROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS					
CLEVELAND OFFICE - NONMETROPOLITAN			1,671,319	67	ASHLAND, DEFIANCE, ERIE, HANCOCK, HARRISON, HENRY, HOLMES HURON, OTTAWA, PAULDING, SANDUSKY, SENECA, TUSCARAWAS, WAYNE WILLIAMS, WYANDOT
Ohio State Office					
METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS					
COLUMBUS OFFICE - METROPOLITAN			6,856,298	240	ALLEN, AUGLAIZE, BELMONT, CLARK, DELAWARE, FAIRFIELD, FRANKLIN LAWRENCE, LICKING, MADISON, PICKAWAY, WASHINGTON
NONMETROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS					
COLUMBUS OFFICE - NONMETROPOLITAN NORTH			1,237,512	54	CHAMPAIGN, COSHOCTON, GUERNSEY, HARDIN, KNOX, LOGAN, MARION MERCER, MONROE, MORROW, MUSKINGUM, NOBLE, PUTNAM, SHELBY UNION, VAN WERT
COLUMBUS OFFICE - NONMETROPOLITAN SOUTH			1,296,995	55	ATHENS, FAYETTE, GALLIA, HOCKING, JACKSON, MEIGS, MORGAN PERRY, PIKE, ROSS, SCIOTO, VINTON
Michigan State Office					
METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS					
ANN ARBOR, FLINT, SAGINAW-BAY-MIDLAND MSAs			6,040,086	177	WASHTENAW, LENAWEE, LIVINGSTON, GENESEE, SAGINAW, BAY, MIDLAND
WAYNE COUNTY			13,844,897	408	WAYNE
DETROIT PMSA LESS WAYNE COUNTY			5,292,388	155	LAPEER, MACOMB, MONROE, OAKLAND, ST. CLAIR
NONMETROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS					
DETROIT OFFICE NON-METRO			676,418	30	ALCONA, ALPENA, ARENAC, GLADWIN, HURON, IOSCO, MONTMORENCY OGEMAW, OSCODA, PRESQUE ISLE, SANILAC, SHIAWASSEE, TUSCOLA
Grand Rapids Area Office					
METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS					
GRAND RAPIDS-MUSKEGON-HOLLAND, LANSING MSAs			4,402,103	144	KENT, MUSKEGON, OTTAWA, ALLEGAN, INGHAM, CLINTON, EATON
BERRIAN, CALHOUN, KALAMAZOO, JACKSON CO			2,981,145	97	BERRIEN, CALHOUN, KALAMAZOO, JACKSON, VAN BUREN
NONMETROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS					
GRAND RAPIDS OFFICE NON-METRO			2,711,452	114	ALGER, ANTRIM, BARAGA, BARRY, BENZIE, BRANCH, CASS, CHARLEVOIX CHEBOYGAN, CHIPPEWA, CLARE, CRAWFORD, DELTA, DICKINSON, EMMET

FISCAL YEAR 1995 SECTION 8 CERTIFICATE AND VOUCHER ALLOCATION		DOLLARS		UNITS-COMPONENT PARTS OF ALLOCATION AREA		PAGE 14	
Indiana State Office				GOGEBIC, GRAND TRAVERSE, GRATIOT, HILLSDALE, HOUGHTON, IONIA			
METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS				IRON, ISABELLA, KALKASKA, KEWEENAW, LAKE, LEELANAU, LUCE			
INDIANAPOLIS OFFICE - METROPOLITAN NORTH		5,227,887	174	MACKINAC, MANISTEE, MARQUETTE, MASON, MECOSTA, MENOMINEE			
INDIANAPOLIS OFFICE - METROPOLITAN SOUTH		2,191,046	74	MISSAUKEE, MONTCALM, NEWAYGO, OCEANA, ONTONAGON, OSCEOLA			
INDIANAPOLIS OFFICE - METROPOLITAN CENTRAL		5,660,962	191	OTSEGO, ROSCOMMON, ST. JOSEPH, SCHOOLCRAFT, WEXFORD			
NONMETROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS							
INDIANAPOLIS OFFICE - NONMETROPOLITAN NORTH		1,637,941	68	BENTON, BLACKFORD, CARROLL, CASS, FOUNTAIN, FULTON, GRANT			
INDIANAPOLIS OFFICE - NONMETROPOLITAN SOUTH		1,197,079	52	HENRY, JASPER, JAY, KOSCIUSKO, LAGRANGE, LA PORTE, MARSHALL			
				MIAMI, MONTGOMERY, NEWTON, NOBLE, PULASKI, RANDOLPH, STARKE			
				STEUBEN, WABASH, WARREN, WAYNE, WHITE			
				BARTHOLOMEW BROWN, CRAWFORD, DAVIESS, DECATUR, DUBOIS			
				FAYETTE, FRANKLIN, GIBSON, GREENE, JACKSON, JEFFERSON			
				JENNINGS, KNOX, LAWRENCE, MARTIN, ORANGE, OWEN, PARKE, PERRY			
				PIKE, PUTNAM, RIPLEY, RUSH, SPENCER, SULLIVAN, SWITZERLAND			
				UNION, WASHINGTON			
Wisconsin State Office							
METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS							
Metropolitan Allocation Area #1		4,414,804	139	CALUMET, OUTAGAMIE, WINNEBAGO, DOUGLAS, CHIPPEWA, EAU CLAIRE			
		4,454,855	140	BROWN, LA CROSSE, ST. CROIX, SHEBOYGAN, MARATHON, PIERCE			
		8,603,659	269	ROCK, KENOSHA, DANE, RACINE			
Metropolitan Allocation Area #2		1,624,162	70	MILWAUKEE, OZAUKEE, WASHINGTON, WAUKESHA			
Metropolitan Allocation Area #3							
NONMETROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS							
Nonmetropolitan Allocation Area #1		1,673,331	72	COLUMBIA, CRAWFORD, DODGE, GRANT, GREEN, IOWA, JEFFERSON			
				LAFAYETTE, RICHLAND, SAUK, VERNON, WALWORTH, ADAMS, DOOR			
				FOND DU LAC, GREEN LAKE, KEWAUNEE, MANITOWOC, MARQUETTE			
				WAUSHARA			
Nonmetropolitan Allocation Area #2		2,907,293	82	ASHLAND, BARRON, BAYFIELD, BUFFALO, BURNETT, CLARK, DUNN, IRON			
		8,192,286	231	JACKSON, JUNEAU, MONROE, PEPIN, POLK, PRICE, RUSK, SAWYER			
				TAYLOR, TREMPERLEAU, WASHBURN, WOOD, FLORENCE, FOREST			
				LANGLADE, LINCOLN, MARINETTE, OCONTO, ONEIDA, PORTAGE, SHAWANO			
				VILAS, WAUPACA, MENOMINEE			
Minnesota State Office							
METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS							
GREATER MINNESOTA METRO		2,907,293	82	OLMSTED, ST. LOUIS, BENTON, STEARNS, SHERBURNE, WRIGHT, CLAY			
MINNEAPOLIS/ST. PAUL METRO		8,192,286	231	HOUSTON, POLK			
				ANKA, CARVER, CHISAGO, DAKOTA, HENNEPIN, ISANTI, RAMSEY			
				SCOTT, WASHINGTON			
NONMETROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS							
SOUTHERN NON-METRO		1,227,622	52	BLUE EARTH, BROWN, COTTONWOOD, DODGE, FARIBAULT, FILLMORE			
				FREEBORN, GOODHUE, JACKSON, LE SUEUR, LINCOLN, LYON, MARTIN			
				MOWER, MURRAY, NICOLLET, NOBLES, PIPESTONE, REDWOOD, RICE			
				ROCK, SIBLEY, STEELE, WABASHA, WASECA, WATONWAN, WINONA			

FISCAL YEAR 1995 SECTION 8 CERTIFICATE AND VOUCHER ALLOCATION			UNITS-COMPONENT PARTS OF ALLOCATION AREA		PAGE 15
DOLLARS					
NORTHERN NON-METRO			56	AITKIN, BECKER, BELTRAMI, BIG STONE, CARLTON, CASS, CHIPPEWA CLEARWATER, COOK, CROW WING, DOUGLAS, GRANT, HUBBARD, ITASCA KANABEC, KANDIYOHI, KITTSN, KOCHICHING, LAC QUI PARLE, LAKE LAKE OF THE WOODS, MCLEOD, MAHNOMEN, MARSHALL, MECKER MILLE LACS, MORRISON, NORMAN, OTTER TAIL, PENNINGTON, PINE POPE, RED LAKE, RENVILLE, ROSEAU, STEVENS, SWIFT, TODD TRAVERSE, WADENA, WILKIN, YELLOW MEDICINE	
1,323,287			269	COLLIN, DALLAS, DENTON, ELLIS, HUNT, KAUFMAN, ROCKWALL HENDERSON	
8,429,601			249	ARCHER, WICHITA, HOOD, JOHNSON, PARKER, TARRANT, BELL, CORYELL GREGG, HARRISON, UPSHUR, GRAYSON, BOWIE, SMITH, MCLENNAN	
7,795,476			243	TAYLOR, POTTER, RANDALL, EL PASO, LUBBOCK, ECTOR, MIDLAND TOM GREEN	
7,679,693			83	BERNALILLO, SANDOVAL, VALENCIA, DONA ANA, LOS ALAMOS, SANTA FE	
2,649,553			130	ANDERSON, ANDREWS, ARMSTRONG, BAILEY, BAYLOR, BORDEN, BOSQUE BREWSTER, BRISCOE, BROWN, CALLAHAN, CAMP, CARSON, CASS, CASTRO CHEROKEE, CHILDRESS, CLAY, COCHRAN, COKE, COLEMAN COLLINGSWORTH, COMANCHE, CONCHO, COOKE, COTTE, CRANE CROCKETT, CROSBY, CULBERSON, DALLAM, DAWSON, DEAF SMITH, DELTA DICKENS, DONLEY, EASTLAND, ERATH, FALLS, FANNIN, FISHER, FLOYD FOARD, FRANKLIN, FREESTONE, GAINES, GARZA, GLASSCOCK, GRAY HALE, HALL, HAMILTON, HANSFORD, HARDEMAN, HARTLEY, HASKELL HEMPHILL, HILL, HOCKLEY, HOPKINS, HOWARD, HUDSPETH, HUTCHINSON IRION, JACK, JEFF DAVIS, JONES, KENT, KIMBLE, KING, KNOX LAMAR, LAMB, LAMPASAS, LIMESTONE, LIPSCOMB, LOVING, LYNN MCCULLOCH, MARION, MARTIN, MASON, MENARD, MILAM, MILLS MITCHELL, MONTAGUE, MOORE, MORRIS, MOTLEY, NAVARRO, NOLAN OCHILTREE, OLDHAM, PALO PINTO, PANOLA, PARNER, PECOS, PRESIDIO RAINS, REAGAN, RED RIVER, REEVES, ROBERTS, RUNNELS, RUSK SAN SABA, SCHLEICHER, SCURRY, SHACKELFORD, SHERMAN, SOMERVELL STEPHENS, STERLING, STONEWALL, SUTTON, SWISHER, TERRELL, TERRY THROCKMORTON, TITUS, UPTON, VAN ZANDT, WARD, WHEELER WILBARGER, WINKLER, WISE, WOOD, YOAKUM, YOUNG	
1,359,742			64	CATRON, CHAVES, CIBOLA, COLFAX, CURRY, DEBACA, EDDY, GRANT GUADALUPE, HARDING, HIDALGO, LEA, LINCOLN, LUNA, MCKINLEY MORA, OTERO, QUAY, RIO ARriba, ROOSEVELT, SAN JUAN, SAN MIGUEL SIERRA, SOCORRO, TAOS, TORRANCE, UNION	
NEW MEXICO NONMETRO			121	HARDIN, JEFFERSON, ORANGE, BRAZORIA, BRAZOS, GALVESTON	
4,055,806			390	CHAMBERS, FORT BEND, HARRIS, LIBERTY, MONTGOMERY, WALLER	
12,997,692			54	ANGELINA, AUSTIN, BURLESON, COLORADO, GRIMES, HOUSTON, JASPER LEON, MADISON, MATAGORDA, NACOGDOCHES, NEWTON, POLK, ROBERTSON SABINE, SAN AUGUSTINE, SAN JACINTO, SHELBY, TRINITY, TYLER WALKER, WASHINGTON, WHARTON	
1,238,368					
Houston Area Office					
METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS					
SOUTHEAST TEXAS					
HOUSTON PMSA					
NONMETROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS					
HOUSTON AREA NONMETRO					

Southwest

Texas State Office
METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS
DALLAS PMSA

EAST TEXAS METRO

WEST TEXAS METRO

NEW MEXICO METRO
NONMETROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS
NORTH TEXAS NONMETRO

NEW MEXICO NONMETRO

Houston Area Office
METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS
SOUTHEAST TEXAS
HOUSTON PMSA
NONMETROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS
HOUSTON AREA NONMETRO

FISCAL YEAR 1995 SECTION 8 CERTIFICATE AND VOUCHER ALLOCATION
DOLLARS

PAGE 16

UNITS-COMPONENT PARTS OF ALLOCATION AREA

Arkansas State Office METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS ARKANSAS METRO AREAS	2,895,595	109 BENTON, WASHINGTON, CRAWFORD, SEBASTIAN, FAULKNER, LONOKE PULASKI, SALINE, CRITTENDEN, JEFFERSON, MILLER
NONMETROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS NONMET Nonmetro Arkansas	2,567,709	126 ARKANSAS, ASHLEY, BAXTER, BOONE, BRADLEY, CALHOUN, CARROLL CHICOT, CLARK, CLAY, CLEBURNE, CLEVELAND, COLUMBIA, CONWAY CRAIGHEAD, CROSS, DALLAS, DESHA, DREW, FRANKLIN, FULTON GARLAND, GRANT, GREENE, HEMPSTEAD, HOT SPRING, HOWARD INDEPENDENCE, IZARD, JACKSON, JOHNSON, LAFAYETTE, LAWRENCE LEE, LINCOLN, LITTLE RIVER, LOGAN, MADISON, MARION MISSISSIPPI, MONROE, MONTGOMERY, NEVADA, NEWTON, OUACHITA PERRY, PHILLIPS, PIKE, POINSETT, POLK, POPE, PRAIRIE, RANDOLPH ST. FRANCIS, SCOTT, SEARCY, SEVIER, SHARP, STONE, UNION VAN BUREN, WHITE, WOODRUFF, YELL
Louisiana State Office METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS South-Western Louisiana Metro Areas	2,195,446	80 LAFOURCHE, TERREBONNE, LAFAYETTE, ST. MARTIN, CALCASIEU ACADIA, ST. LANDRY
Baton Rouge Metro Area New Orleans Metro Area	1,488,820 6,256,538	56 ASCENSION, EAST BATON ROUGE, LIVINGSTON, WEST BATON ROUGE 232 JEFFERSON, ORLEANS, PLAQUEMINES, ST. BERNARD, ST. CHARLES ST. JOHN THE BAPTIST, ST. TAMMANY, ST. JAMES
North-Central Louisiana Met NONMETROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS Nonmetropolitan Louisiana All	2,008,446 2,064,307	74 BOSSIER, CADDO, WEBSTER, OUACHITA, RAPIDES 107 ALLEN, ASSUMPTION, AVOUELLES, BEAUREGARD, BIENVILLE, CALDWELL CAMERON, CATAHOULA, CLAIBORNE, CONCORDIA, DE SOTO EAST CARROLL, EAST FELICIANA, EVANGELINE, FRANKLIN, GRANT IBERIA, IBERVILLE, JACKSON, JEFFERSON DAVIS, LA SALLE, LINCOLN MADISON, MOREHOUSE, NATCHITOCHES, POINTE COUPEE, RED RIVER RICHLAND, SABINE, ST. HELENA, ST. MARY, TANGIPAHOA, TENSAS UNION, VERMILION, VERNON, WASHINGTON, WEST CARROLL WEST FELICIANA, WINN
Oklahoma State Office METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS WESTERN METRO	3,287,654 2,041,773	124 GARFIELD, COMANCHE, CANADIAN, CLEVELAND, LOGAN, MCCLAIN OKLAHOMA, POTTAWATOMIE 76 CREEK, OSAGE, ROGERS, TULSA, WAGONER, SEQUOYAH
EASTERN METRO NONMETROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS WESTERN NON-METRO	1,238,685	61 ALFALFA, BEAVER, BECKHAM, BLAINE, CADDO, CARTER, CIMARRON COTTON, CUSTER, DEWEY, ELLIS, GARVIN, GRADY, GRANT, GREER HARMON, HARPER, JACKSON, JEFFERSON, JOHNSTON, KAY, KINGFISHER KIOWA, LINCOLN, LOVE, MAJOR, MARSHALL, MURRAY, NOBLE, PAYNE PONTOTOC, ROGER MILLS, SEMINOLE, STEPHENS, TEXAS, TILLMAN WASHITA, WOODS, WOODWARD
EASTERN NON-METRO	1,141,539	58 ADAIR, ATOKA, BRYAN, CHEROKEE, CHOCTAW, COAL, CRAIG, DELAWARE HASKELL, HUGHES, LATIMER, LE FLORE, MCCURTAIN, MCINTOSH, MAYES MUSKOGEE, NOWATA, OKFUSKEE, OKMULGEE, OTTAWA, PAWNEE PITTSBURG, PUSHMATAHA, WASHINGTON

San Antonio Area Office

FISCAL YEAR 1995 SECTION 8 CERTIFICATE AND VOUCHER ALLOCATION				PAGE 17
DOLLARS		UNITS-COMPONENT PARTS OF ALLOCATION AREA		
METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS				
Metro Area A				
	8,829,980	270	BASTROP, CALDWELL, HAYS, TRAVIS, WILLIAMSON, BEXAR, COMAL	
			GUADALUPE, WILSON	
	5,436,299	168	CAMERON, NUECES, SAN PATRICIO, WEBB, HIDALGO, VICTORIA	
Metro Area B				
NONMETROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS				
SAN ANTONIO AREA NONMETRO				
	1,488,104	69	ARANSAS, ATASCOSA, BANDERA, BEE, BLANCO, BROOKS, BURNET	
			CALHOUN, DEWITT, DIMMIT, DUVAL, EDWARDS, FAYETTE, FRIO	
			GILLESPIE, GOLIAD, GONZALES, JACKSON, JIM HOGG, JIM WELLS	
			KARNES, KENDALL, KENEDY, KERR, KINNEY, KLEBERG, LA SALLE	
			LAVACA, LEE, LIVE OAK, LLANO, MCMULLEN, MAVERICK, MEDINA, REAL	
			REFUGIO, STARR, UVALDE, VAL VERDE, WILLACY, ZAPATA, ZAVALA	
Great Plains				
Iowa State Office				
METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS				
	2,706,460	93	BLACK HAWK, DUBUQUE, JOHNSON, LINN, SCOTT	
	2,057,662	71	DALLAS, POLK, WARREN, POTTAWATTAMIE, WOODBURY	
NONMETROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS				
EASTERN IOWA NON METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREA				
	1,899,646	86	ALLAMAKEE, APPANOOSE, BENTON, BREMER, BUCHANAN, BUTLER, CEDAR	
			CERRO GORDO, CHICKASAW, CLAYTON, CLINTON, DAVIS, DELAWARE	
			DES MOINES, FAYETTE, FLOYD, FRANKLIN, GRUNDY, HANCOCK, HARDIN	
			HENRY, HOWARD, IOWA, JACKSON, JEFFERSON, JONES, KEOKUK	
			KOSSUTH, LEE, LOUISA, LUCAS, MAHASKA, MARSHALL, MITCHELL	
			MONROE, MUSCATINE, POWESHIEK, TAMA, VAN BUREN, WAPELLO	
			WASHINGTON, WAYNE, WINNEBAGO, WINNEBIEK, WORTH	
WESTERN IOWA NON METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREA				
	1,611,914	71	ADAIR, ADAMS, AUDUBON, BOONE, BUENA VISTA, CALHOUN, CARROLL	
			CASS, CHEROKEE, CLARKE, CLAY, CRAWFORD, DECATUR, DICKINSON	
			EMMET, FREMONT, GREENE, GUTHRIE, HAMILTON, HARRISON, HUMBOLDT	
			IDA, JASPER, LYON, MADISON, MARION, MILLS, MONONA, MONTGOMERY	
			O'BRIEN, OSCEOLA, PAGE, PALO ALTO, PLYMOUTH, POCAHONTAS	
			RINGGOLD, SAC, SHELBY, SIOUX, STORY, TAYLOR, UNION, WEBSTER	
			WRIGHT	
Kansas/Missouri State Office				
METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS				
	4,698,917	166	CASS, CLAY, CLINTON, JACKSON, LAFAYETTE, PLATTE, RAY, JASPER	
			NEWTON, ANDREW, BUCHANAN, CHRISTIAN, GREENE, WEBSTER	
WESTERN MISSOURI METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREA				
	4,081,071	144	JOHNSON, LEAVENWORTH, MIAMI, WYANDOTTE, DOUGLAS, SHAWNEE	
			BUTLER, HARVEY, SEDGWICK	
KANSAS METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREA				
NONMETROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS				
KANSAS NON METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREA				
	2,363,632	101	ALLEN, ANDERSON, ATCHISON, BARBER, BARTON, BOURBON, BROWN	
			CHASE, CHAUTAUQUA, CHEROKEE, CHEYENNE, CLARK, CLAY, CLOUD	
			COFFEY, COMANCHE, COWLEY, CRAWFORD, DECATUR, DICKINSON	
			DONIPHAN, EDWARDS, ELK, ELLIS, ELLSWORTH, FINNEY, FORD	
			FRANKLIN, GEARY, GOVE, GRAHAM, GRANT, GRAY, GREELEY, GREENWOOD	
			HAMILTON, HARPER, HASKELL, HODGEMAN, JACKSON, JEFFERSON	
			JEWELL, KEARNY, KINGMAN, KIOWA, LABETTE, LANE, LINCOLN, LINN	
			LOGAN, LYON, MCPHERSON, MARION, MARSHALL, MEADE, MITCHELL	
			MONTGOMERY, MORRIS, MORTON, NEMAH, NEOSHO, NESS, NORTON	
			OSAGE, OSBORNE, OTTAWA, PAWNEE, PHILLIPS, POTTAWATOMIE, PRATT	
			RAWLINS, RENO, REPUBLIC, RICE, RILEY, ROOKS, RUSH, RUSSELL	
			SALINE, SCOTT, SEWARD, SHERIDAN, SHERMAN, SMITH, STAFFORD	

FISCAL YEAR 1995 SECTION 8 CERTIFICATE AND VOUCHER ALLOCATION		DOLLARS		UNITS-COMPONENT PARTS OF ALLOCATION AREA		PAGE 18	
WESTERN MISSOURI NON METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION		1,203,109	57	STANTON, STEVENS, SUMNER, THOMAS, TREGO, WABAUNSEE, WALLACE WASHINGTON, WICHITA, WILSON, WOODSON ATCHISON, BARRY, BARTON, BATES, BENTON, CALDWELL, CAMDEN CARROLL, CEDAR, CHARITON, DADE, DALLAS, DAVIESS, DEKALB GENTRY, GRUNDY, HARRISON, HENRY, HICKORY, HOLT, JOHNSON LACLEDE, LAWRENCE, LINN, LIVINGSTON, McDONALD, MERCER, MILLER MORGAN, NODAWAY, PETTIS, POLK, PULASKI, PUTNAM, ST. CLAIR SALINE, STONE, SULLIVAN, TANEY, VERNON, WORTH			
Nebraska State Office							
METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS							
NEBRASKA METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREA		2,944,389	99	DAKOTA, CASS, DOUGLAS, SARPY, WASHINGTON, LANCASTER			
NONMETROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS							
NEBRASKA NON METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREA		1,644,603	68	ADAMS, ANTELOPE, ARTHUR, BANNER, BLAINE, BOONE, BOX BUTTE BOYD, BROWN, BUFFALO, BURT, BUTLER, CEDAR, CHASE, CHERRY CHEYENNE, CLAY, COLFAX, CUMING, CUSTER, DAWES, DAWSON, DEUEL DIXON, DODGE, DUNDY, FILLMORE, FRANKLIN, FRONTIER, FURNAS GAGE, GARDEN, GARFIELD, GOSPER, GRANT, GREELEY, HALL, HAMILTON HARLAN, HAYES, HITCHCOCK, HOLT, HOOKER, HOWARD, JEFFERSON JOHNSON, KEARNEY, KEITH, KEYA Paha, KIMBALL, KNOX, LINCOLN LOGAN, LOUP, MCPHERSON, MADISON, MERRICK, MORRILL, NANCE NEMAHA, NUCKOLLS, OTOE, PAWNEE, PERKINS, PHELPS, PIERCE PLATTE, POLK, RED WILLOW, RICHARDSON, ROCK, SALINE, SAUNDERS SCOTTS BLUFF, SEWARD, SHERIDAN, SHERMAN, SIOUX, STANTON THAYER, THOMAS, THURSTON, VALLEY, WAYNE, WEBSTER, WHEELER YORK			
St. Louis Area Office							
METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS							
Metropolitan Allocation Area		6,414,750	227	BOONE, FRANKLIN, JEFFERSON, LINCOLN, ST. CHARLES, ST. LOUIS WARREN, ST. LOUIS CITY			
NONMETROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS							
Nonmetropolitan Allocation Area		1,857,316	99	ADAIR, AUDRAIN, BOLLINGER, BUTLER, CALLAWAY, CAPE GIRARDEAU CARTER, CLARK, COLE, COOPER, CRAWFORD-SULLIVAN (PART), DENT DOUGLAS, DUNKLIN, GASCONADE, HOWARD, HOWELL, IRON, KNOX, LEWIS MACON, MADISON, MARIES, MARION, MISSISSIPPI, MONITEAU, MONROE MONTGOMERY, NEW MADRID, OREGON, OSAGE, OZARK, PEMISCOT, PERRY PHELPS, PIKE, RALLS, RANDOLPH, REYNOLDS, RIPLEY STE. GENEVIEVE, ST. FRANCOIS, SCHUYLER, SCOTLAND, SCOTT SHANNON, SHELBY, STODDARD, TEXAS, WASHINGTON, WAYNE, WRIGHT			
Rocky Mountain							
Colorado State Office							
METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS							
Northern Colorado Front Range Metro		2,471,626	78	BOULDER, LARIMER, WELD			
Southern Colorado Front Range Metro		1,975,398	63	PUEBLO, EL PASO			
Montana/Wyoming Metro		1,134,457	37	YELLOWSTONE, CASCADE, NATRONA, LARAMIE			
North/South Dakota Metro		2,148,209	68	BURLEIGH, MORTON, CASS, GRAND FORKS, PENNINGTON, LINCOLN MINNEHAHA			
Utah Metro							
Denver, Colorado PMSA		4,152,680	132	UTAH, DAVIS, SALT LAKE, WEBER			
NONMETROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS		5,497,689	175	ADAMS, ARAPAHOE, DENVER, DOUGLAS, JEFFERSON			

FISCAL YEAR 1995 SECTION 8 CERTIFICATE AND VOUCHER ALLOCATION			UNITS-COMPONENT PARTS OF ALLOCATION AREA		PAGE 19
DOLLARS					
Colorado/Utah Nonmetro	2,272,947	91	ALAMOSA, ARCHULETA, BACA, BENT, CHAFFEE, CHEYENNE, CLEAR CREEK, CONEJOS, COSTILLA, CROWLEY, CUSTER, DELTA, DOLORES, EAGLE, ELBERT, FREMONT, GARFIELD, GILPIN, GRAND, GUNNISON, HINSDALE, HUERFANO, JACKSON, KIOWA, KIT CARSON, LAKE, LA PLATA, LAS ANIMAS, LINCOLN, LOGAN, MESA, MINERAL, MOFFAT, MONTEZUMA, MONTROSE, MORGAN, OTERO, OURAY, PARK, PHILLIPS, PITKIN, PROWERS, RIO BLANCO, RIO GRANDE, ROUTT, SAGUACHE, SAN JUAN, SAN MIGUEL, SEDGWICK, SUMMIT, TELLER, WASHINGTON, YUMA, BEAVER BOX ELDER, CACHE, CARBON, DAGGETT, DUCHESNE, EMERY, GARFIELD, GRAND, IRON, JUAB, KANE, MILLARD, MORGAN, PIUTE, RICH, SAN JUAN, SANPETE, SEVIER, SUMMIT, TOOELE, UINTAH, WASATCH, WASHINGTON, WAYNE		
	2,320,111	92	BEAVERHEAD, BIG HORN, BLAINE, BROADWATER, CARBON, CARTER, CHOUTEAU, CUSTER, DANIELS, DAWSON, DEER LODGE, FALLON, FERGUS, FLATHEAD, GALLATIN, GARFIELD, GLACIER, GOLDEN VALLEY, GRANITE HILL, JEFFERSON, JUDITH BASIN, LAKE, LEWIS AND CLARK, LIBERTY, LINCOLN, MCCONE, MADISON, MEAGHER, MINERAL, MISSOULA, MUSSELSHELL, PARK, PETROLEUM, PHILLIPS, PONDERA, POWDER RIVER, POWELL, PRAIRIE, RAVALLI, RICHLAND, ROOSEVELT, ROSEBUD, SANDERS, SHERIDAN, SILVER BOW, STILLWATER, SWEET GRASS, TETON, TOOLE, TREASURE, VALLEY, WHEATLAND, WIBAUX, ALBANY, BIG HORN, CAMPBELL, CARBON, CONVERSE, CROOK, FREMONT, GOSHEN, HOT SPRINGS, JOHNSON, LINCOLN, NIobrARA, PARK, PLATTE, SHERIDAN, SUBLETTE, SWEETWATER, TETON, UINTA, WASHAKIE, WESTON, ADAMS, BARNES, BENSON, BILLINGS, BOTTINEAU, BOWMAN, BURKE, CAVALIER, DICKEY, DIVIDE, DUNN, EDDY, EMMONS, FOSTER, GOLDEN VALLEY, GRANT, GRIGGS, HETTINGER, KIDDER, LAMOUR, LOGAN, MCHENRY, MCINTOSH, MCKENZIE, MCLEAN, MERCER, MOUNTRAIL, NELSON, OLIVER, PEMBINA, PIERCE, RAMSEY, RANSOM, RENVILLE, RICHLAND, ROLETTE, SARGENT, SHERIDAN, SIOUX, SLOPE, STARK, STEELE, STUTSMAN, TOWNER, TRAILL, WALSH, WARD, WELLS, WILLIAMS, AURORA, BEADLE, BENNETT, BON HOMME, BROOKINGS, BROWN, BRULE, BUFFALO, BUTTE, CAMPBELL, CHARLES MIX, CLARK, CLAY, CODINGTON, CORSON, CUSTER, DAVISON, DAY, DEUEL, DEWEY, DOUGLAS, EDMUNDS, FALL RIVER, FAULK, GRANT, GREGORY, HAAKON, HAMLIN, HAND, HANSON, HARDING, HUGHES, HUTCHINSON, HYDE, JACKSON, JERAULD, JONES, KINGSBURY, LAKE, LAWRENCE, LYMAN, MCCOOK, MCPHERSON, MARSHALL, MEADE, MELLETTE, MINER, MOODY, PERKINS, POTTER, ROBERTS, SANBORN, SHANNON, SPINK, STANLEY, SULLY, TODD, TRIPP, TURNER, UNION, WALWORTH, YANKTON, ZIEBACH		
Montana/Wyoming Nonmetro	2,320,111	92	BEAVERHEAD, BIG HORN, BLAINE, BROADWATER, CARBON, CARTER, CHOUTEAU, CUSTER, DANIELS, DAWSON, DEER LODGE, FALLON, FERGUS, FLATHEAD, GALLATIN, GARFIELD, GLACIER, GOLDEN VALLEY, GRANITE HILL, JEFFERSON, JUDITH BASIN, LAKE, LEWIS AND CLARK, LIBERTY, LINCOLN, MCCONE, MADISON, MEAGHER, MINERAL, MISSOULA, MUSSELSHELL, PARK, PETROLEUM, PHILLIPS, PONDERA, POWDER RIVER, POWELL, PRAIRIE, RAVALLI, RICHLAND, ROOSEVELT, ROSEBUD, SANDERS, SHERIDAN, SILVER BOW, STILLWATER, SWEET GRASS, TETON, TOOLE, TREASURE, VALLEY, WHEATLAND, WIBAUX, ALBANY, BIG HORN, CAMPBELL, CARBON, CONVERSE, CROOK, FREMONT, GOSHEN, HOT SPRINGS, JOHNSON, LINCOLN, NIobrARA, PARK, PLATTE, SHERIDAN, SUBLETTE, SWEETWATER, TETON, UINTA, WASHAKIE, WESTON, ADAMS, BARNES, BENSON, BILLINGS, BOTTINEAU, BOWMAN, BURKE, CAVALIER, DICKEY, DIVIDE, DUNN, EDDY, EMMONS, FOSTER, GOLDEN VALLEY, GRANT, GRIGGS, HETTINGER, KIDDER, LAMOUR, LOGAN, MCHENRY, MCINTOSH, MCKENZIE, MCLEAN, MERCER, MOUNTRAIL, NELSON, OLIVER, PEMBINA, PIERCE, RAMSEY, RANSOM, RENVILLE, RICHLAND, ROLETTE, SARGENT, SHERIDAN, SIOUX, SLOPE, STARK, STEELE, STUTSMAN, TOWNER, TRAILL, WALSH, WARD, WELLS, WILLIAMS, AURORA, BEADLE, BENNETT, BON HOMME, BROOKINGS, BROWN, BRULE, BUFFALO, BUTTE, CAMPBELL, CHARLES MIX, CLARK, CLAY, CODINGTON, CORSON, CUSTER, DAVISON, DAY, DEUEL, DEWEY, DOUGLAS, EDMUNDS, FALL RIVER, FAULK, GRANT, GREGORY, HAAKON, HAMLIN, HAND, HANSON, HARDING, HUGHES, HUTCHINSON, HYDE, JACKSON, JERAULD, JONES, KINGSBURY, LAKE, LAWRENCE, LYMAN, MCCOOK, MCPHERSON, MARSHALL, MEADE, MELLETTE, MINER, MOODY, PERKINS, POTTER, ROBERTS, SANBORN, SHANNON, SPINK, STANLEY, SULLY, TODD, TRIPP, TURNER, UNION, WALWORTH, YANKTON, ZIEBACH		
	2,158,084	78	ADAMS, BARNES, BENSON, BILLINGS, BOTTINEAU, BOWMAN, BURKE, CAVALIER, DICKEY, DIVIDE, DUNN, EDDY, EMMONS, FOSTER, GOLDEN VALLEY, GRANT, GRIGGS, HETTINGER, KIDDER, LAMOUR, LOGAN, MCHENRY, MCINTOSH, MCKENZIE, MCLEAN, MERCER, MOUNTRAIL, NELSON, OLIVER, PEMBINA, PIERCE, RAMSEY, RANSOM, RENVILLE, RICHLAND, ROLETTE, SARGENT, SHERIDAN, SIOUX, SLOPE, STARK, STEELE, STUTSMAN, TOWNER, TRAILL, WALSH, WARD, WELLS, WILLIAMS, AURORA, BEADLE, BENNETT, BON HOMME, BROOKINGS, BROWN, BRULE, BUFFALO, BUTTE, CAMPBELL, CHARLES MIX, CLARK, CLAY, CODINGTON, CORSON, CUSTER, DAVISON, DAY, DEUEL, DEWEY, DOUGLAS, EDMUNDS, FALL RIVER, FAULK, GRANT, GREGORY, HAAKON, HAMLIN, HAND, HANSON, HARDING, HUGHES, HUTCHINSON, HYDE, JACKSON, JERAULD, JONES, KINGSBURY, LAKE, LAWRENCE, LYMAN, MCCOOK, MCPHERSON, MARSHALL, MEADE, MELLETTE, MINER, MOODY, PERKINS, POTTER, ROBERTS, SANBORN, SHANNON, SPINK, STANLEY, SULLY, TODD, TRIPP, TURNER, UNION, WALWORTH, YANKTON, ZIEBACH		
North/South Dakota Nonmetro	2,158,084	78	ADAMS, BARNES, BENSON, BILLINGS, BOTTINEAU, BOWMAN, BURKE, CAVALIER, DICKEY, DIVIDE, DUNN, EDDY, EMMONS, FOSTER, GOLDEN VALLEY, GRANT, GRIGGS, HETTINGER, KIDDER, LAMOUR, LOGAN, MCHENRY, MCINTOSH, MCKENZIE, MCLEAN, MERCER, MOUNTRAIL, NELSON, OLIVER, PEMBINA, PIERCE, RAMSEY, RANSOM, RENVILLE, RICHLAND, ROLETTE, SARGENT, SHERIDAN, SIOUX, SLOPE, STARK, STEELE, STUTSMAN, TOWNER, TRAILL, WALSH, WARD, WELLS, WILLIAMS, AURORA, BEADLE, BENNETT, BON HOMME, BROOKINGS, BROWN, BRULE, BUFFALO, BUTTE, CAMPBELL, CHARLES MIX, CLARK, CLAY, CODINGTON, CORSON, CUSTER, DAVISON, DAY, DEUEL, DEWEY, DOUGLAS, EDMUNDS, FALL RIVER, FAULK, GRANT, GREGORY, HAAKON, HAMLIN, HAND, HANSON, HARDING, HUGHES, HUTCHINSON, HYDE, JACKSON, JERAULD, JONES, KINGSBURY, LAKE, LAWRENCE, LYMAN, MCCOOK, MCPHERSON, MARSHALL, MEADE, MELLETTE, MINER, MOODY, PERKINS, POTTER, ROBERTS, SANBORN, SHANNON, SPINK, STANLEY, SULLY, TODD, TRIPP, TURNER, UNION, WALWORTH, YANKTON, ZIEBACH		
	2,158,084	78	ADAMS, BARNES, BENSON, BILLINGS, BOTTINEAU, BOWMAN, BURKE, CAVALIER, DICKEY, DIVIDE, DUNN, EDDY, EMMONS, FOSTER, GOLDEN VALLEY, GRANT, GRIGGS, HETTINGER, KIDDER, LAMOUR, LOGAN, MCHENRY, MCINTOSH, MCKENZIE, MCLEAN, MERCER, MOUNTRAIL, NELSON, OLIVER, PEMBINA, PIERCE, RAMSEY, RANSOM, RENVILLE, RICHLAND, ROLETTE, SARGENT, SHERIDAN, SIOUX, SLOPE, STARK, STEELE, STUTSMAN, TOWNER, TRAILL, WALSH, WARD, WELLS, WILLIAMS, AURORA, BEADLE, BENNETT, BON HOMME, BROOKINGS, BROWN, BRULE, BUFFALO, BUTTE, CAMPBELL, CHARLES MIX, CLARK, CLAY, CODINGTON, CORSON, CUSTER, DAVISON, DAY, DEUEL, DEWEY, DOUGLAS, EDMUNDS, FALL RIVER, FAULK, GRANT, GREGORY, HAAKON, HAMLIN, HAND, HANSON, HARDING, HUGHES, HUTCHINSON, HYDE, JACKSON, JERAULD, JONES, KINGSBURY, LAKE, LAWRENCE, LYMAN, MCCOOK, MCPHERSON, MARSHALL, MEADE, MELLETTE, MINER, MOODY, PERKINS, POTTER, ROBERTS, SANBORN, SHANNON, SPINK, STANLEY, SULLY, TODD, TRIPP, TURNER, UNION, WALWORTH, YANKTON, ZIEBACH		
Pacific/Hawaii	7,374,050	111	HONOLULU		
	3,254,052	58	HAWAII, KAUAI, MAUI, PACIFIC ISLANDS		
Hawaii State Office METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS HONOLULU, HI MSA NONMETROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS NONMETRO AREA	5,222,935	107	KERN, SAN LUIS OBISPO		
	87,151,828	1788	LOS ANGELES		
Los Angeles Area Office METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS KERN - SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTIES LOS ANGELES COUNTY	5,222,935	107	KERN, SAN LUIS OBISPO		
	87,151,828	1788	LOS ANGELES		

FISCAL YEAR 1995 SECTION 8 CERTIFICATE AND VOUCHER ALLOCATION

UNITS-COMPONENT PARTS OF ALLOCATION AREA

DOLLARS

ORANGE COUNTY
RIVERSIDE - SAN BERNARDINO COUNTIES
SAN DIEGO COUNTY
SANTA BARBARA - VENTURA COUNTIES
NONMETROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA NON-METRO COUNTIES

11,087,557 227 ORANGE
12,775,164 262 RIVERSIDE, SAN BERNARDINO
16,286,759 334 SAN DIEGO
6,085,594 124 SANTA BARBARA, VENTURA
703,466 22 IMPERIAL, INYO, MONO

Arizona State Office
METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS
METRO AREA
NONMETROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS
NONMETRO AREA

10,653,620 338 MOHAVE, MARICOPA, PINAL, PIMA, YUMA
1,437,638 51 APACHE, COCHISE, COCONINO, GILA, GRAHAM, GREENLEE, LA PAZ
NAVAJO, SANTA CRUZ, YAVAPAI

Sacramento Area Office
METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS
METRO AREA 1
METRO AREA 2
METRO AREA 3
METRO AREA 4
NONMETROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS
NONMETRO AREA

7,810,014 217 SACRAMENTO, SAN JOAQUIN
1,713,520 48 YOLO, SUTTER, YUBA
2,242,334 62 BUTTE, SHASTA
894,722 25 EL DORADO, PLACER
1,299,352 42 ALPINE, AMADOR, CALAVERAS, COLUSA, GLENN, LASSEN, MODOC
NEVADA, PLUMAS, SIERRA, SISKIYOU, TEHAMA, TRINITY, TUOLUMNE

California State Office
METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS
METRO AREA 1
METRO AREA 2
METRO AREA 3
METRO AREA 4
METRO AREA 5
METRO AREA 6
NONMETROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS
NONMETRO AREA

13,029,952 265 FRESNO, MADERA, MERCED, STANISLAUS, TULARE
15,670,439 320 ALAMEDA, CONTRA COSTA
17,261,385 352 MARIN, SAN FRANCISCO, SAN MATEO
12,686,117 259 MONTEREY, SANTA CLARA, SANTA CRUZ
4,751,061 96 SONOMA, NAPA, SOLANO
6,201,289 127 CLARK, NYE, WASHOE
2,594,053 76 DEL NORTE, HUMBOLDT, KINGS, LAKE, MARIPOSA, MENDOCINO
SAN BENITO, CHURCHILL, DOUGLAS, ELKO, ESMERALDA, EUREKA
HUMBOLDT, LANDER, LINCOLN, LYON, MINERAL, PERSHING, STOREY
WHITE PINE, CARSON CITY

Northwest/Alaska

Alaska State Office
METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS
METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREA 1
NONMETROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS
NONMETROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREA 1

954,880 22 ANCHORAGE

1,228,199 31 ALEUTIAN EAST, ALEUTIAN WEST, BETHEL, BRISTOL BAY, DILLINGHAM
FAIRBANKS NORTH STAR, HAINES, JUNEAU, KENAI PENINSULA
KETCHIKAN GATEWAY, KODIAK ISLAND, LAKE & PENINSULA
MATANUSKA-SUSITNA, NOME, NORTH SLOPE, NORTHWEST ARCTIC
PR. WALES-OUTER KETCHIKAN, SITKA, SKAGWAY-YAKUTAT-ANGOOK
SOUTHEAST FAIRBANKS, VALDEZ-CORDOVA, WADE HAMPTON
WRANGELL-PETERSBURG, YUKON-KOYUKUK

Oregon State Office

PAGE 21

FISCAL YEAR 1995 SECTION 8 CERTIFICATE AND VOUCHER ALLOCATION

DOLLARS UNITS-COMPONENT PARTS OF ALLOCATION AREA

METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS

Id-Or-Wa Metro

11,135,929	345	ADA, CANYON, LANE, JACKSON, CLACKAMAS, COLUMBIA, MULTNOMAH WASHINGTON, YAMHILL, CLARK, MARION, POLK
------------	-----	--

NONMETROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS
Id-Or-Wa Nonmetro.

4,386,716	173	ADAMS, BANNOCK, BEAR LAKE, BENEWAH, BINGHAM, BLAINE, BOISE BONNER, BONNEVILLE, BOUNDARY, BUTTE, CAMAS, CARIBOU, CASSIA CLARK, CLEARWATER, CUSTER, ELMORE, FRANKLIN, FREMONT, GEM GOODING, IDAHO, JEFFERSON, JEROME, KOOTENAI, LATAH, LEWIS LEWIS, LINCOLN, MADISON, MINIDOKA, NEZ PERCE, ONEIDA, OWYHEE PAYETTE, POWER, SHOSHONE, TETON, TWIN FALLS, VALLEY WASHINGTON, BAKER, BENTON, CLATSOP, COOS, CROOK, CURRY DESCHUTES, DOUGLAS, GILLIAM, GRANT, HARNEY, HOOD RIVER JEFFERSON, JOSEPHINE, KLAMATH, LAKE, LINCOLN, LINN, MALHEUR MORROW, SHERMAN, TILLAMOOK, UMATILLA, UNION, WALLOWA, WASCO WHEELER, KLICKITAT, SKAMANIA
-----------	-----	--

Washington State Office

METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS

SEATTLE METROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREA 1

18,431,637	497	WHATCOM, KITSAP, THURSTON, BENTON, FRANKLIN, ISLAND, KING SNOHOMISH, SPOKANE, PIERCE, YAKIMA
------------	-----	---

NONMETROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREAS

NONMETROPOLITAN ALLOCATION AREA 1

2,718,117	101	ADAMS, ASOTIN, CHELAN, CLALLAM, COLUMBIA, COWLITZ, DOUGLAS FERRY, GARFIELD, GRANT, GRAYS HARBOR, JEFFERSON, KITTITAS LEWIS, LINCOLN, MASON, OKANOGAN, PACIFIC, PEND OREILLE SAN JUAN, SKAGIT, STEVENS, WAHKIAKUM, WALLA WALLA, WHITMAN
-----------	-----	---

Attachment 2

**NOFA for Fair Share
SUGGESTED FORMAT FOR PORTABILITY CERTIFICATION**

Dear Director, Office of Public Housing or Native American Programs Office:

This letter is to request rental vouchers and certificates as reimbursement for Portability units from the Fair Share funding for FY 95.

The Housing Agency has submitted a Form HUD-52515, Application for Existing Housing, in response to a NOFA published on [insert date of publication], 1995, and included in its application is a request for reimbursement of rental vouchers and certificates for families that have moved into the Housing Agency's jurisdiction under portability procedures. The Housing Agency hereby requests reimbursement out of the FY 95 Fair Share allocation for (insert the County or MSA Allocation Area) for the number of rental vouchers and certificates by bedroom size shown below:

REIMBURSEMENT REQUEST	Zero BR	One BR	Two BR	Three BR	Four BR	TOTALS
Rental Vouchers						
Rental Certificates						
TOTALS						

The Housing Agency certifies that the following information, on the number of rental vouchers and certificates for which the Housing Agency billed another housing agency during the most recent month prior to application and has absorbed into its program during the Housing Agency's most recently ended fiscal year, is true and accurate:

HA PROGRAM STATUS	Zero BR	One BR	Two BR	Three BR	Four BR	TOTALS
Absorbed Rental Vouchers						
Billed Rental Vouchers						
Absorbed Rental Certificates						
Billed Rental Certificates						
TOTALS						

The Housing Agency acknowledges that HUD has a right to inspect its records to verify the accuracy of the information provided in this letter.

Name of HA _____

Signature of HA Representative _____

Attachment 3

NOFA for Persons with HIV/AIDS

SERVICE AREA (State or Locality):	BUDGET AUTHORITY	UNITS
<u>New England Region CONNECTICUT, MAINE, MASSACHUSETTS, NEW HAMPSHIRE, RHODE ISLAND, and VERMONT</u>		
STATE OF CONNECTICUT (outside of the Hartford EMSA)	\$ 714,000	21
HARTFORD CT MSA	395,000	12
COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS (outside of the Boston EMSA)	599,000	19
BOSTON, MA-NH PMSA	1,142,000	30
<u>New York, New Jersey Region NEW JERSEY and NEW YORK</u>		
STATE OF NEW JERSEY (outside of 5 EMSAs)	722,000	19
Paterson for the BERGEN-PASSAIC, NJ PMSA	779,000	16
JERSEY CITY, NJ PMSA	1,259,000	30
Woodbridge for the MIDDLESEX-SOMERSET-HUNTERDON NJ PMSA	368,000	8
NEWARK, NJ PMSA	3,205,000	76
STATE OF NEW YORK (outside of 2 EMSAs)	1,392,000	56
Islip for the NASSAU-SUFFOLK, NY PMSA	750,000	15
NEW YORK, NY PMSA	25,607,000	584
<u>Mid-Atlantic Region DELAWARE, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, MARYLAND, PENNSYLVANIA, VIRGINIA, and WEST VIRGINIA</u>		
WASHINGTON, DC-MD-VA-WV PMSA	2,861,000	67
BALTIMORE, MD PMSA	1,639,000	55
COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA (outside of the Philadelphia EMSA)	785,000	34
PHILADELPHIA, PA-NJ PMSA	1,981,000	59
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA (outside of the Washington DC EMSA)	706,000	25
<u>Southeast Region ALABAMA, FLORIDA, GEORGIA, KENTUCKY, MISSISSIPPI, NORTH CAROLINA, PUERTO RICO, SOUTH CAROLINA, and TENNESSEE</u>		
STATE OF ALABAMA	551,000	24
STATE OF FLORIDA (outside of 6 EMSAs)	1,582,000	63
FORT LAUDERDALE, FL PMSA	1,945,000	57
JACKSONVILLE, FL MSA	489,000	19
MIAMI, FL PMSA	4,855,000	135
ORLANDO, FL MSA	542,000	17
TAMPA-ST. PETERSBURG-CLEARWATER, FL MSA	1,028,000	38
WEST PALM BEACH-BOCA RATON, FL MSA	953,000	27
STATE OF GEORGIA (outside of the Atlanta EMSA)	601,000	27

ATLANTA, GA MSA	1,615,000	53
STATE OF MISSISSIPPI	362,000	15
STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA	978,000	36
COMMONWEALTH OF PUERTO RICO (outside of the San Juan EMSA)	962,000	40
SAN JUAN-BAYAMON, PR PMSA	2,472,000	108
STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA	766,000	29
STATE OF TENNESSEE	684,000	27

Midwest Region ILLINOIS, INDIANA, MICHIGAN, MINNESOTA, OHIO, and WISCONSIN

CHICAGO, IL PMSA	2,196,000	62
STATE OF MICHIGAN (outside of the Detroit EMSA)	349,000	11
DETROIT, MI PMSA	806,000	28
STATE OF INDIANA	633,000	24
MINNEAPOLIS-ST PAUL MN-WI MSA	380,000	13
STATE OF OHIO (outside of the Cleveland- Lorain-Elvyria EMSA)	860,000	36
CLEVELAND-LORAIN-ELVYRIA OH PMSA	333,000	13
STATE OF WISCONSIN (outside of the Minneapolis-St Paul MSA)	399,000	15

Southwest Region ARKANSAS, LOUISIANA, NEW MEXICO, OKLAHOMA, and TEXAS

STATE OF LOUISIANA (outside of the New Orleans EMSA)	494,000	23
NEW ORLEANS, LA MSA	787,000	34
STATE OF OKLAHOMA	416,000	21
STATE OF TEXAS (outside of 5 EMSAs)	973,000	40
DALLAS, TX PMSA	1,376,000	52
FT WORTH-ARLINGTON, TX PMSA	320,000	13
HOUSTON, TX PMSA	2,873,000	111
AUSTIN-SAN MARCOS, TX MSA	645,000	23
SAN ANTONIO, TX MSA	426,000	18

Great Plains Region IOWA, KANSAS, MISSOURI, and NEBRASKA

KANSAS CITY, MO-KS MSA	505,000	21
ST. LOUIS, MO-IL MSA	529,000	24

Rocky Mountain Region COLORADO, MONTANA, NORTH DAKOTA, SOUTH DAKOTA, UTAH, and WYOMING

DENVER, CO PMSA	705,000	27
-----------------	---------	----

Pacific/Hawaii Region ARIZONA, CALIFORNIA, HAWAII, and NEVADA

PHOENIX-MESA, AZ MSA	508,000	20
STATE OF NEVADA	395,000	13
STATE OF CALIFORNIA (outside of 8 EMSAs)	1,323,000	44
OAKLAND, CA PMSA	960,000	24
SACRAMENTO, CA PMSA	359,000	12
SAN FRANCISCO, CA PMSA	7,588,000	161
SAN JOSE, CA PMSA	369,000	8
Santa Ana for ORANGE COUNTY, CA PMSA	671,000	16
LOS ANGELES, CA PMSA	5,973,000	146
RIVERSIDE-SAN BERNARDINO, CA PMSA	681,000	22
SAN DIEGO, CA MSA	1,277,000	38

Northwest/Alaska Region ALASKA, IDAHO, OREGON, and WASHINGTON

PORTLAND-VANCOUVER, OR-WA PMSA	444,000	17
SEATTLE-BELLEVUE-EVERETT, WA PMSA	958,000	30

PERSONS WITH AIDS SUBPROGRAM TOTAL	\$ 102,800,000	3,000
---	-----------------------	--------------

ATTACHMENT 4A

**FY 95 SECTION 8 SUBPROGRAM FOR HOMELESS FAMILIES
BUDGET AUTHORITY AND ESTIMATED NUMBER OF UNITS
STATE ALLOCATIONS**

Name of State	Budget Authority	Approximate Number of Units
Alabama	\$2,424,000	95
Alaska	139,000	2
Arizona	900,000	28
Arkansas	1,725,000	76
California	7,894,000	193
Colorado	1,394,000	23
Connecticut	1,467,000	29
Delaware	118,000	4
Florida	3,052,000	69
Georgia	2,775,000	96
Hawaii	202,000	3
Idaho	599,000	18
Illinois	3,787,000	157
Indiana	2,757,000	98
Iowa	2,239,000	83
Kansas	1,348,000	51
Kentucky	2,176,000	88
Louisiana	2,521,000	99
Maine	942,000	27
Maryland	760,000	20
Massachusetts	3,193,000	45
Michigan	4,113,000	137
Minnesota	1,788,000	64
Mississippi	2,306,000	87
Missouri	2,046,000	88
Montana	567,000	21
Nebraska	936,000	39
Nevada	330,000	8
New Hampshire	705,000	17
New Jersey	2,048,000	44
New Mexico	879,000	32
New York	4,306,000	107
North Carolina	3,645,000	119
North Dakota	470,000	21
Ohio	4,598,000	167
Oklahoma	1,431,000	61
Oregon	1,115,000	35
Pennsylvania	4,077,000	113
Puerto Rico	3,713,000	139
Rhode Island	436,000	10
South Carolina	2,372,000	73
South Dakota	546,000	22
Tennessee	2,131,000	82
Texas	6,824,000	256
Utah	642,000	20
Vermont	437,000	13
Virginia	2,148,000	68
Washington	1,404,000	37
West Virginia	1,451,000	61
Wisconsin	2,712,000	94
Wyoming	267,000	8
TOTAL	\$102,855,000	3,343

ATTACHMENT 48

**SECTION 8 SUBPROGRAM FOR HOMELESS FAMILIES
BUDGET AUTHORITY AND ESTIMATED NUMBER OF UNITS
CITY/URBAN COUNTY ALLOCATIONS**

Name of Jurisdiction	Budget Authority	Approximate Number of Units	Name of Jurisdiction	Budget Authority	Approximate Number of Units
Alabama			Colorado		
BIRMINGHAM	\$1,235,000	48	COLORADO SPRINGS	401,000	14
MOBILE	505,000	22	DENVER	1,621,000	49
MONTGOMERY	431,000	16	STATE TOTAL	\$2,022,000	63
JEFFERSON COUNTY	463,000	18			
STATE TOTAL	\$2,634,000	105	Connecticut		
Alaska			BRIDGEPORT	589,000	12
ANCHORAGE	297,000	7	HARTFORD	674,000	16
STATE TOTAL	\$297,000	7	NEW BRITAIN	286,000	7
			NEW HAVEN	624,000	14
Arizona			WATERBURY	340,000	9
MESA	401,000	13	STATE TOTAL	\$2,513,000	58
PHOENIX	1,930,000	65	Delaware		
TUCSON	986,000	33	WILMINGTON	423,000	12
MARICOPA COUNTY	509,000	17	NEW CASTLE COUNTY	366,000	10
PIMA COUNTY	394,000	13	STATE TOTAL	\$789,000	22
STATE TOTAL	\$4,220,000	141			
Arkansas			District of Columbia	2,899,000	60
LITTLE ROCK	340,000	13			
STATE TOTAL	\$340,000	13	Florida		
California			FORT LAUDERDALE	373,000	9
ANAHEIM	488,000	10	HIALEAH	635,000	15
BAKERSFIELD	327,000	11	JACKSONVILLE	1,187,000	40
BERKELEY	474,000	10	MIAMI	1,772,000	41
FRESNO	947,000	32	MIAMI BEACH	359,000	8
LONG BEACH	1,040,000	21	ORLANDO	327,000	9
LOS ANGELES	10,760,000	215	ST. PETERSBURG	436,000	14
OAKLAND	1,287,000	27	TALLAHASSEE	290,000	9
OXNARD	370,000	7	TAMPA	678,000	21
PASADENA	316,000	10	BREVARD COUNTY	323,000	10
POMONA	338,000	7	BROWARD COUNTY	912,000	22
RIVERSIDE	390,000	11	DADE COUNTY	2,633,000	60
SACRAMENTO	771,000	21	ESCAMBIA COUNTY	390,000	16
SAN BERNARDINO	427,000	12	HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY	811,000	25
SAN DIEGO	2,113,000	54	ORANGE COUNTY	682,000	19
SAN FRANCISCO	3,129,000	54	PALM BEACH COUNTY	962,000	24
SAN JOSE	1,313,000	24	PASCO COUNTY	423,000	13
SANTA ANA	865,000	17	PINELLAS COUNTY	498,000	16
STOCKTON	535,000	16	POLK COUNTY	559,000	21
COMPTON	388,000	8	SEMINOLE COUNTY	330,000	9
EL MONTE	388,000	8	VOLUSIA COUNTY	420,000	13
GLENDALE	390,000	8	STATE TOTAL	\$15,002,000	415
INGLEWOOD	305,000	6			
CONTRA COSTA COUNTY	459,000	10	Georgia		
FRESNO COUNTY	743,000	25	ATLANTA	1,714,000	50
IKERN COUNTY	897,000	29	AUGUSTA	308,000	12
LOS ANGELES COUNTY	4,830,000	96	COLUMBUS	399,000	16
ORANGE COUNTY	617,000	12	MACON	308,000	12
RIVERSIDE COUNTY	1,173,000	32	SAVANNAH	434,000	15
SACRAMENTO COUNTY	925,000	26	COBB COUNTY	370,000	11
SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY	1,079,000	30	DE KALB COUNTY	639,000	18
SAN DIEGO COUNTY	765,000	19	FULTON COUNTY	359,000	10
SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY	455,000	13	GWINNETT COUNTY	297,000	9
SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY	330,000	8	STATE TOTAL	\$4,828,000	153
SAN MATEO COUNTY	449,000	8			
SANTA CLARA COUNTY	394,000	7			
SONOMA COUNTY	319,000	7			
VENTURA COUNTY	362,000	7			
STATE TOTAL	\$41,158,000	917			

**SECTION 8 SUBPROGRAM FOR HOMELESS FAMILIES
BUDGET AUTHORITY AND ESTIMATED NUMBER OF UNITS
CITY/URBAN COUNTY ALLOCATIONS**

Hawaii			Massachusetts		
HONOLULU	1,902,000	30	BOSTON	3,215,000	70
STATE TOTAL	\$1,902,000	30	CAMBRIDGE	488,000	11
			FALL RIVER	449,000	12
Illinois			LAWRENCE	330,000	9
CHICAGO	15,406,000	377	LOWELL	344,000	9
EAST ST. LOUIS	377,000	14	LYNN	438,000	10
EVANSTON	290,000	7	NEW BEDFORD	455,000	14
PEORIA	344,000	13	SPRINGFIELD	667,000	19
ROCKFORD	330,000	12	WORCESTER	750,000	19
CICERO	295,000	7	NEWTON	327,000	7
COOK COUNTY	1,840,000	45	QUINCY	323,000	7
DU PAGE COUNTY	542,000	13	SOMERVILLE	459,000	10
LAKE COUNTY	377,000	9	STATE TOTAL	\$8,245,000	196
MADISON COUNTY	524,000	19			
ST. CLAIR COUNTY	330,000	12	Michigan		
STATE TOTAL	\$20,655,000	530	DEARBORN	351,000	11
			DETROIT	7,760,000	237
Indiana			FLINT	811,000	30
EVANSVILLE	509,000	21	GRAND RAPIDS	617,000	21
FORT WAYNE	474,000	17	KALAMAZOO	301,000	11
GARY	693,000	23	LANSING	305,000	10
HAMMOND	401,000	13	PONTIAC	286,000	9
INDIANAPOLIS	1,510,000	51	SIGINAW	444,000	16
SOUTH BEND	485,000	16	GENESSEE COUNTY	416,000	15
TERRE HAUTE	334,000	14	OAKLAND COUNTY	574,000	18
STATE TOTAL	\$4,406,000	156	WAYNE COUNTY	516,000	16
			STATE TOTAL	\$12,381,000	393
Iowa					
DES MOINES	674,000	22	Minnesota		
SIOUX CITY	334,000	13	MINNEAPOLIS	2,217,000	63
STATE TOTAL	\$1,008,000	35	ST. PAUL	1,235,000	35
			HENNEPIN COUNTY	477,000	14
Kansas			ST. LOUIS COUNTY	879,000	36
KANSAS CITY	431,000	15	STATE TOTAL	\$4,808,000	148
TOPEKA	338,000	13			
WICHITA	516,000	18	Mississippi		
STATE TOTAL	\$1,285,000	46	JACKSON	557,000	20
			STATE TOTAL	\$557,000	20
Kentucky					
LEXINGTON-FAYETTE	390,000	15	Missouri		
LOUISVILLE	1,654,000	68	KANSAS CITY	1,636,000	59
COVINGTON	301,000	11	ST. JOSEPH	334,000	16
JEFFERSON COUNTY	474,000	19	ST. LOUIS	3,792,000	140
STATE TOTAL	\$2,819,000	113	ST. LOUIS COUNTY	990,000	36
			STATE TOTAL	\$6,752,000	250
Louisiana					
BATON ROUGE	854,000	34	Nebraska		
HOUMA	295,000	13	OMAHA	912,000	32
NEW ORLEANS	2,659,000	96	STATE TOTAL	\$912,000	32
SHREVEPORT	581,000	23			
JEFFERSON PARISH	754,000	27	Nevada		
STATE TOTAL	\$5,143,000	193	LAS VEGAS	434,000	12
			CLARK COUNTY	503,000	13
Maine			STATE TOTAL	\$937,000	25
PORTLAND	323,000	8			
STATE TOTAL	\$323,000	8			
Maryland					
BALTIMORE	3,978,000	113			
ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY	351,000	10			
BALTIMORE COUNTY	696,000	20			
MONTGOMERY COUNTY	696,000	14			
PRINCE GEORGES COUNTY	886,000	18			
STATE TOTAL	\$6,607,000	175			

SECTION 8 SUBPROGRAM FOR HOMELESS FAMILIES
BUDGET AUTHORITY AND ESTIMATED NUMBER OF UNITS
CITY/URBAN COUNTY ALLOCATIONS

New Jersey			FRANKLIN COUNTY	316,000	11
ATLANTIC CITY	305,000	7	HAMILTON COUNTY	524,000	19
CAMDEN	531,000	13	MONTGOMERY COUNTY	370,000	13
ELIZABETH	384,000	8	STATE TOTAL	\$15,031,000	528
JERSEY CITY	1,205,000	26			
NEWARK	1,805,000	37	Oklahoma		
PATERSON	542,000	10	OKLAHOMA CITY	875,000	36
TRENTON	524,000	12	TULSA	667,000	24
BAYONNE	316,000	7	STATE TOTAL	\$1,542,000	60
BERGEN COUNTY	1,751,000	33			
BURLINGTON COUNTY	297,000	7	Oregon		
CAMDEN COUNTY	377,000	9	PORTLAND	1,506,000	48
ESSEX COUNTY	973,000	20	CLACKAMAS COUNTY	319,000	10
HUDSON COUNTY	836,000	18	WASHINGTON COUNTY	284,000	9
MONMOUTH COUNTY	470,000	9	STATE TOTAL	\$2,109,000	68
MORRIS COUNTY	316,000	6			
OCEAN COUNTY	330,000	7	Pennsylvania		
UNION COUNTY	854,000	17	ALLEN TOWN	431,000	13
STATE TOTAL	\$11,816,000	246	ALTOONA	349,000	16
			ERIE	600,000	25
New Mexico			HARRISBURG	401,000	13
ALBUQUERQUE	721,000	24	JOHNSTOWN	301,000	15
STATE TOTAL	\$721,000	24	LANCASTER	290,000	9
			PHILADELPHIA	9,166,000	231
New York			PITTSBURGH	3,021,000	113
ALBANY	617,000	18	READING	516,000	17
BINGHAMTON	388,000	14	SCRANTON	563,000	22
BUFFALO	2,877,000	100	WILKES-BARRE	319,000	13
NEW YORK CITY	30,768,000	643	YORK	284,000	10
NIAGARA FALLS	463,000	16	CHESTER	286,000	7
ROCHESTER	1,558,000	47	UPPER DARBY TOWNSHIP	323,000	8
SCHENECTADY	409,000	12	ALLEGHENY COUNTY	2,544,000	95
SYRACUSE	1,016,000	34	BEAVER COUNTY	657,000	25
TROY	323,000	10	BERKS COUNTY	412,000	14
UTICA	503,000	19	BUCKS COUNTY	355,000	9
ISLIP TOWN	334,000	6	CHESTER COUNTY	416,000	10
MOUNT VERNON	308,000	5	DELAWARE COUNTY	589,000	15
TONAWANDA TOWN	286,000	10	LANCASTER COUNTY	505,000	16
YONKERS	809,000	11	LUZERNE COUNTY	757,000	30
ROCKLAND COUNTY	305,000	6	MONTGOMERY COUNTY	531,000	13
ERIE COUNTY	416,000	14	WASHINGTON COUNTY	761,000	28
MONROE COUNTY	290,000	9	WESTMORELAND COUNTY	682,000	26
NASSAU COUNTY	2,217,000	38	YORK COUNTY	394,000	14
SUFFOLK COUNTY	617,000	10	STATE TOTAL	\$25,453,000	807
WESTCHESTER COUNTY	821,000	14			
STATE TOTAL	\$45,125,000	1,037	Puerto Rico		
			AGUADILLA MUNICIPIO	381,000	21
North Carolina			ARECIBO MUNICIPIO	589,000	22
CHARLOTTE	628,000	21	CAGUAS MUNICIPIO	711,000	32
GREENSBORO	295,000	11	CAYEY MUNICIPIO	297,000	13
RALEIGH	312,000	10	MAYAGUEZ MUNICIPIO	589,000	32
STATE TOTAL	\$1,235,000	42	PONCE MUNICIPIO	1,202,000	46
			SAN JUAN MUNICIPIO	2,152,000	82
Ohio			BAYAMON MUNICIPIO	973,000	37
AKRON	1,173,000	39	CAROLINA MUNICIPIO	778,000	30
CANTON	509,000	20	GUAYNABO MUNICIPIO	401,000	15
CINCINNATI	2,236,000	79	HUMACAO MUNICIPIO	327,000	12
CLEVELAND	4,349,000	148	TOA BAJA MUNICIPIO	463,000	18
COLUMBUS	1,227,000	44	TRUJILLO ALTO MUNICIPIO	290,000	11
DAYTON	1,127,000	39	VEGA BAJA MUNICIPIO	351,000	13
SPRINGFIELD	344,000	12	STATE TOTAL	\$9,504,000	384
TOLEDO	1,266,000	45			
YOUNGSTOWN	804,000	34	Rhode Island		
LAKEWOOD	305,000	10	PAWTUCKET	319,000	8
CUYAHOGA COUNTY	481,000	16	PROVIDENCE	1,005,000	27
			WOONSOCKET	197,000	5
			STATE TOTAL	\$1,521,000	40

**SECTION 8 SUBPROGRAM FOR HOMELESS FAMILIES
BUDGET AUTHORITY AND ESTIMATED NUMBER OF UNITS
CITY/URBAN COUNTY ALLOCATIONS**

South Carolina			Washington		
GREENVILLE COUNTY	362,000	15	SEATTLE	1,944,000	51
STATE TOTAL	\$362,000	15	SPOKANE	624,000	21
			TACOMA	416,000	13
Tennessee			KING COUNTY	819,000	22
CHATTANOOGA	351,000	14	PIERCE COUNTY	548,000	17
KNOXVILLE	370,000	15	SNOHOMISH COUNTY	401,000	11
MEMPHIS	1,725,000	66	STATE TOTAL	\$4,752,000	134
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON	879,000	30			
STATE TOTAL	\$3,325,000	124	West Virginia		
			CHARLESTON	351,000	15
Texas			HUNTINGTON	388,000	18
AMARILLO	334,000	14	STATE TOTAL	\$739,000	33
ARLINGTON	316,000	10			
AUSTIN	1,023,000	28	Wisconsin		
BEAUMONT	319,000	13	MADISON	334,000	10
BROWNSVILLE	538,000	19	MILWAUKEE	2,852,000	88
CORPUS CHRISTI	717,000	26	RACINE	316,000	11
DALLAS	2,457,000	74	STATE TOTAL	\$3,502,000	109
EL PASO	1,858,000	59			
FORT WORTH	1,019,000	33	NATIONAL TOTAL	\$308,565,000	8,828
HOUSTON	4,570,000	141			
LAREDO	589,000	22			
LUBBOCK	459,000	17			
MC ALLEN	344,000	14			
SAN ANTONIO	2,759,000	93			
WACO	305,000	11			
BEXAR COUNTY	334,000	11			
FORT BEND COUNTY	286,000	9			
HARRIS COUNTY	1,285,000	40			
HIDALGO COUNTY	1,131,000	47			
TARRANT COUNTY	488,000	16			
STATE TOTAL	\$20,931,000	698			
Utah					
PROVO	286,000	12			
SALT LAKE CITY	707,000	27			
SALT LAKE COUNTY	553,000	21			
STATE TOTAL	\$1,546,000	59			
Virginia					
NEWPORT NEWS	297,000	9			
NORFOLK	850,000	27			
PORTSMOUTH	301,000	10			
RICHMOND	775,000	25			
ROANOKE	295,000	12			
VIRGINIA BEACH	390,000	12			
ARLINGTON COUNTY	301,000	6			
FAIRFAX COUNTY	700,000	14			
STATE TOTAL	\$3,909,000	116			

ATTACHMENT 5

FY 95 SECTION 8 COUNSELING FUNDS

	METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREA	LEAD HOUSING AGENCY	SECTION 8 BUDGET AUTHORITY
1	NEW YORK, NY	NEW YORK CITY HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$10,000,000
2	LOS ANGELES—LONG BEACH, CA	HOUSING AUTHORITY OF CITY OF LOS ANGELES	\$10,000,000
3	CHICAGO, IL	COOK COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$8,350,000
4	BOSTON, MA	BOSTON HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$4,959,000
5	PHILADELPHIA, PA	PHILADELPHIA HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$4,684,000
6	WASHINGTON, DC	PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$3,969,000
7	DETROIT, MI	MICHIGAN STATE HOUSING DEVELOPMENT AGENCY	\$3,623,000
8	OAKLAND, CA	OAKLAND HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$2,855,000
9	MIAMI, FL	DADE COUNTY SPECIAL HOUSING PROGRAMS	\$2,844,000
10	NEWARK, NJ	NEWARK HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$2,752,000
11	SAN DIEGO, CA	SAN DIEGO CITY HOUSING COMMISSION	\$2,679,000
12	HOUSTON, TX	HOUSTON HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$2,549,000
13	BALTIMORE, MD	BALTIMORE CITY HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$2,121,000
14	DALLAS, TX	DALLAS HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$1,945,000
15	CLEVELAND—LORAIN—ELY, OH	CUYAHOGA METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$1,835,000
16	RIVERSIDE—SAN BERNARDINO, CA	RIVERSIDE HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$1,810,000
17	ATLANTA, GA	DEKALB COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$1,803,000
18	PITTSBURGH, PA	PITTSBURGH HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$1,793,000
19	MINNEAPOLIS—ST. PAUL, MN	METROPOLITAN COUNCIL	\$1,745,000
20	MILWAUKEE—WAUKESHA, WI	MILWAUKEE HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$1,697,000
21	ST. LOUIS, MO—IL	ST. LOUIS COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$1,443,000
22	PROVIDENCE, RI	PROVIDENCE HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$1,380,000
23	BUFFALO—NIAGARA FALLS, NY	BUFFALO RENTAL ASSISTANCE COUNCIL	\$1,377,000
24	PHOENIX—MESA, AZ	PHOENIX HOUSING DEPARTMENT	\$1,322,000
25	CINCINNATI, OH—KY—IN	CINCINNATI METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$1,244,000
26	NEW ORLEANS, LA	HOUSING AUTHORITY OF NEW ORLEANS	\$1,207,000
27	TAMPA—ST. PETERSBURG, FL	TAMPA HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$1,187,000
28	DENVER, CO	DENVER HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$1,185,000
29	SACRAMENTO, CA	SACRAMENTO HOUSING & REDEVELOPMENT	\$1,169,000
30	HARTFORD, CT	HARTFORD HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$1,148,000
31	SAN JUAN—BAYAMON, PR	SAN JUAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$1,109,000
32	ROCHESTER, NY	ROCHESTER HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$1,040,000
33	NORFOLK—VIRGINIA BEACH, VA	NORFOLK REDEVELOPMENT AND HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$965,000
34	COLUMBUS, OH	COLUMBUS METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$954,000
35	SAN ANTONIO, TX	SAN ANTONIO HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$944,000
36	FORT LAUDERDALE, FL	FT. LAUDERDALE HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$934,000
37	INDIANAPOLIS, IN	INDIANAPOLIS PUBLIC HOUSING DIVISION	\$895,000
38	KANSAS CITY, KS—MO	KANSAS CITY, KANSAS, HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$871,000
39	AUSTIN—SAN MARCOS, TX	AUSTIN HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$863,000
40	FORT WORTH—ARLINGTON, TX	FORT WORTH HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$792,000
41	SPRINGFIELD, MA	SPRINGFIELD HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$774,000
42	ORLANDO, FL	ORLANDO HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$751,000
43	FRESNO, CA	FRESNO CITY HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$747,000
44	DAYTON—SPRINGFIELD, OH	DAYTON METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$712,000
45	MEMPHIS, TN—AR—MS	MEMPHIS HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$628,000
46	SYRACUSE, NY	SYRACUSE HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$616,000
47	CHARLOTTE—GASTONIA, NC	CHARLOTTE HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$607,000
48	NASHVILLE, TN	NASHVILLE HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$585,000
49	RICHMOND—PETERSBURG, VA	RICHMOND REDEVELOPMENT & HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$573,000
50	STOCKTON—LODI, CA	COUNTY OF SAN JOAQUIN HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$539,000
51	LOUISVILLE, KY—IN	LOUISVILLE HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$534,000
52	TUCSON, AZ	CITY OF TUCSON COMMUNITY SERVICES DEPARTMENT	\$523,000
53	EL PASO, TX	EL PASO HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$520,000
54	JACKSONVILLE, FL	JACKSONVILLE DHUD	\$516,000
55	GRAND RAPIDS—MUSKEGON—HOLLAND, MI	GRAND RAPIDS HOUSING COMMISSION	\$504,000
56	OKLAHOMA CITY, OK	OKLAHOMA CITY HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$494,000
57	AKRON, OH	AKRON METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$485,000
58	TOLEDO, OH	TOLEDO METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$475,000
59	BAKERSFIELD, CA	KERN COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$426,000
60	BIRMINGHAM, AL	BIRMINGHAM HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$420,000
61	GARY, IN	GARY HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$404,000
62	TULSA, OK	TULSA HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$391,000
63	YOUNGSTOWN—WARREN, OH	YOUNGSTOWN HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$359,000
64	ALBUQUERQUE, NM	ALBUQUERQUE HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$357,000
65	LANSING—EAST LANSING, MI	LANSING HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$340,000
66	CHARLESTON—N. CHARLESTON, SC	HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF CHARLESTON	\$330,000
67	KALAMAZOO—BATTLE CREEK, MI	BATTLE CREEK HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$324,000

68	LEXINGTON, KY	LEXINGTON HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$313,000
69	FLINT, MI	FLINT HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$313,000
70	KNOXVILLE, TN	KNOXVILLE HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$303,000
71	SAGINAW-BAY CITY-MIDLAND, MI	SAGINAW HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$294,000
72	BATON ROUGE, LA	BATON ROUGE CITY HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$288,000
73	VISALIA-TULARE-PORTERVILLE, CA	TULARE COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$277,000
74	LITTLE ROCK-N. LITTLE ROCK, AR	LITTLE ROCK HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$273,000
75	JACKSON, MS	JACKSON HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$242,000
76	CORPUS CHRISTI, TX	CORPUS CHRISTI HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$230,000
77	MOBILE, AL	MOBILE HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$228,000
78	PONCE, PR	PONCE HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$219,000
79	SHREVEPORT-BOSSIER CITY, LA	SHREVEPORT HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$219,000
80	SAVANNAH, GA	SAVANNAH HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$201,000
81	TALLAHASSEE, FL	TALLAHASSEE HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$192,000
82	BROWNSVILLE-HARLINGEN-SAN BENITO, TX	BROWNSVILLE HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$192,000
83	BEAUMONT-PORT ARTHUR, TX	BEAUMONT HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$187,000
84	MC ALLEN-EDINBURG-MISSION, TX	EDINBURG HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$187,000
85	HUNTINGTON-ASHLAND, WV-KY-OH	HUNTINGTON HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$182,000
86	MACON, GA	MACON HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$180,000
87	COLUMBUS, GA-AL	COLUMBUS HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$173,000
88	GAINESVILLE, FL	GAINESVILLE HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$171,000
89	MONTGOMERY, AL	MONTGOMERY HOUSING AUTHORITY	\$160,000
		NATIONAL TOTAL	\$115,000,000

Attachment 6A

**FSS Service Coordinators Sample Letter Format
REQUEST FOR FSS PROGRAM COORDINATOR FUNDS
SAMPLE LETTER FORMAT**

Dear Director, Office of Public Housing: (or Administrator, Native American Programs Office:)

This is to request approval to hire a Family Self-Sufficiency (FSS) program coordinator for one year, for the X housing agency (HA) FSS program.

1. Total Number of Currently Enrolled FSS Families:

_____.

2. Total Number of Required FSS Program Slots (based on rental vouchers and certificates approved under FY 1992 incentive award funding and under FY 1993 and later rental voucher and certificate program funding):

_____.

3. Service Coordinator Salary:

a. Salary level, based on salaries for comparable jobs (modified by number of hours worked) _____.

b. Annual Salary plus Fringe Benefits:

_____ Hours/Week; _____ \$/Hour; _____ Fringe Rate (%);

Annual Salary _____.

4. Attachment: Evidence demonstrating salary comparability to similar positions in the local jurisdiction.

If there are any questions, please contact _____
at _____.

Sincerely,

Executive Director

Attachments

ATTACHMENT 6B**FAIR HOUSING AND EQUAL OPPORTUNITY CERTIFICATIONS**

The housing agency (HA) certifies that, in administering the activities of Family Self-Sufficiency program coordinators:

- (1) The HA will comply with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and related HUD regulations (24 CFR Part 1), which state that no person in the United States shall, on the ground of race, color, or national origin, be excluded from participation, be denied the benefits of, or be otherwise subjected to discrimination under any program or activity for which the applicant receives financial assistance; and will take any measures necessary to effectuate this agreement.
- (2) The HA will comply with the Fair Housing Act and related HUD regulations (24 CFR Part 100), which prohibit discrimination in housing on the basis of race, color, religion, sex, handicap, familial status, or national origin, and administer its programs and activities relating to housing in a manner to affirmatively further fair housing.
- (3) The HA will comply with Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 and related HUD regulations (24 CFR Part 8), which state that no otherwise qualified individual with handicaps in the United States shall, solely by reason of the handicap, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance.
- (4) The HA will comply with the provisions of the Age Discrimination Act of 1975 and related HUD regulations (24 CFR Part 146), which state that no person in the United States shall on the basis of age be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under a program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance.
- (5) The HA will comply with the provisions of Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act and related Department of Justice regulations (28 CFR Part 35) which state that subject to the provisions of Title II, no qualified individual with a disability shall, by reason of such disability, be excluded from participation in or be denied the benefits of services, programs or activities of a public entity, or be subjected to discrimination by any such entity.

Name of HA

Signature and Title of HA Representative

Date
